

SARAJI EAST MINING LEASE PROJECT

Environmental Impact Statement

Table of Contents

BHP

Saraji East Mining Lease Project

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Abbreviations and Glossary

List of Chapters

Chapter 1 Introduction	
Chapter 2 Project Alternatives and Justification	
Chapter 3 Project Description	
Chapter 4 Land Use and Tenure	
Chapter 5 Land Resources	
Chapter 6 Terrestrial Ecology	
Chapter 7 Aquatic Ecology	
Chapter 8 Surface Water Resources	
Chapter 9 Groundwater	
Chapter 10 Geochemistry	
Chapter 11 Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas	
Chapter 12 Noise and Vibration	
Chapter 13 Scenic Amenity and Lighting	
Chapter 14 Transport	
Chapter 15 Waste Management	
Chapter 16 Cultural Heritage	
Chapter 17 Social	
Chapter 18 Economics	
Chapter 19 Stakeholders	
Chapter 20 Hazards, Health and Safety	
Chapter 21 Matters of National Environmental Significance	
Chapter 22 Cumulative Impacts	
Chapter 23 References	

List of Appendices

Appendix A-1 Terms of Reference Cross Check

Appendix A-2 Approvals Framework

Appendix B-1 Land Resources and Soils Technical Report

Appendix B-2 Subsidence Modelling

Appendix C-1 Terrestrial Ecology Technical Report

Appendix C-2 Offset Strategy

Appendix C-3 Central Queensland Threatened Species Habitat Descriptions

Appendix D-1 Aquatic Ecology Technical Report

Appendix E-1 Surface Water Quality Technical Report

Appendix E-2 Mine Water Balance Technical Report

Appendix E-3 Hydrology, Hydraulics and Geomorphology Technical Report

Appendix F-1 Groundwater Technical Report

Appendix G-1 Geochemistry Technical Report

Appendix H-1 Air Quality Technical Report

Appendix I-1 Noise and Vibration Technical Report

Appendix J-1 Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment

Appendix K-1 Rehabilitation Management Plan

Appendix K-2 Subsidence Management Plan

Appendix L-1 Social Impact Assessment

Appendix M-1 Economics Technical Report

Appendix N-1 Public Consultation Report

Appendix O-1 Summary of Commitments

List of Chapter Figures

Figure 1-1 Flowchart of key approvals and opportunities for public comment.....	1-10
Figure 2-1 Alternative mine plan	2-4
Figure 3-1 Regional location.....	3-4
Figure 3-2 Project Site, Project Footprint and Underground Layout	3-5
Figure 3-3 Project layout	3-12
Figure 3-4 Tenements, tenure and ownership	3-17
Figure 3-5 Indicative layout of the MIA.....	3-22
Figure 3-6 Longwall top coal caving equipment.....	3-26
Figure 3-7 Mine plan.....	3-28
Figure 3-8 Overview of subsidence.....	3-30
Figure 3-9 Incidental mine gas pre-drainage process.....	3-31
Figure 3-10 Indicative raw coal handling process	3-35
Figure 3-11 Mine access and intersection upgrade locations	3-38
Figure 3-12 Power supply infrastructure	3-40
Figure 3-13 Water supply infrastructure and proposed discharge and monitoring points	3-41
Figure 3-14 Mine water schematic	3-44
Figure 4-1 Land tenure.....	4-2
Figure 4-2 Land use and homesteads.....	4-8
Figure 4-3 Existing infrastructure.....	4-12
Figure 4-4 Native title and indigenous land use agreements.....	4-14
Figure 4-5 Planning features.....	4-16
Figure 5-1 Strategic cropping land trigger map.....	5-3
Figure 5-2 Local landscape.....	5-8
Figure 5-3 Surface geology.....	5-10
Figure 5-4 Basement geology.....	5-11
Figure 5-5 Regional stratigraphy.....	5-12
Figure 5-6 Soil Mapping Units.....	5-15
Figure 5-7 Pre-mine beef cattle grazing suitability.....	5-20
Figure 5-8 Pre-mine rainfed cropping suitability.....	5-21
Figure 5-9 Agricultural land classes.....	5-22
Figure 5-10 Distribution of soil types in SCL Assessment Area.....	5-25
Figure 5-11 Verified SCL across SCL Assessment Area.....	5-26
Figure 5-12 Predicted subsidence.....	5-31

Figure 5-13 Indicative post mine land uses.....	5-45
Figure 6-1 Flora survey sites.....	6-10
Figure 6-2 Fauna Survey Sites.....	6-11
Figure 6-3 Ground-truthed Regional Ecosystems within Project Site.....	6-16
Figure 6-4 Observed TECs within Project Site.....	6-19
Figure 6-5 BPA mapped within the Project Site.....	6-21
Figure 6-6 Fauna habitat types within the Project Site.....	6-23
Figure 6-7 Essential habitat and conservation significant fauna and flora observed within Project Site.....	6-27
Figure 6-8 Environmentally Sensitive Areas with the Project Site and surrounding areas.....	6-35
Figure 6-9 Potential impacts on vegetation.....	6-39
Figure 6-10 Potential impacts on fauna habitat.....	6-42
Figure 7-1 Survey sites for the baseline studies.....	7-6
Figure 7-2 Other mapped aquatic features.....	7-9
Figure 7-3 Regulated vegetation and fish passage.....	7-10
Figure 8-1 Waterways and existing monitoring and release points.....	8-5
Figure 8-2 Monthly Rainfall (SILO Data Drill, 1889-2018).....	8-7
Figure 8-3 Monthly Pan Evaporation (SILO Data Drill, 1970-2017).....	8-8
Figure 8-4 Regional catchment	8-10
Figure 8-5 Existing surface water extraction users	8-11
Figure 8-6 Proposed water infrastructure.....	8-22
Figure 8-7 Mine water balance schematic.....	8-23
Figure 8-8 Cross Section of the proposed dams showing key components	8-29
Figure 8-9 Water depth for 2-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed out).....	8-40
Figure 8-10 Water depth for 2-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed in).....	8-41
Figure 8-11 Water depth for 50-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed out).....	8-42
Figure 8-12 Water depth for 50-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed in).....	8-43
Figure 8-13 Water depth for 100-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed out).....	8-44
Figure 8-14 Water depth for 100-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed in).....	8-45
Figure 8-15 Water depth for 1,000-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed out).....	8-46

Figure 8-16 Water depth for 1,000-year ARI event pre-subsidence (left) and post-subsidence (right) (zoomed in).....	8-47
Figure 8-17 Waterways and existing monitoring and release points.....	8-53
Figure 9-1 Groundwater assessment area.....	9-2
Figure 9-2 Mine plan.....	9-11
Figure 9-3 Approved Saraji open-cut mine plan.....	9-12
Figure 9-4 Surface geology.....	9-16
Figure 9-5 Basement geology.....	9-17
Figure 9-6 Groundwater bores.....	9-20
Figure 9-7 End of mining drawdown contours – Quaternary/Tertiary (Layer)	9-31
Figure 9-8 End of mining drawdown contours – Harrow Creek (H16) Seam Layer 6.....	9-32
Figure 9-9 End of mining drawdown contours – Dysart Lower (D14, D24) seam (Layer 10).....	9-33
Figure 9-10 Annual groundwater ingress estimates.....	9-36
Figure 9-11 Bores located within end of underground mining (FY 2042) predicted groundwater drawdown contours.....	9-38
Figure 9-12 Existing and proposed monitoring bores.....	9-42
Figure 10-1 Geochemistry sampling locations	10-3
Figure 11-1 Project layout and homesteads.....	11-8
Figure 11-2 Project Only Case: Summary of Emissions Inventory (Typical Conditions).....	11-11
Figure 11-3 Project Only Case: Summary of Emissions Inventory (Typical Conditions).....	11-12
Figure 11-4 Overview of scope and emissions across a reporting entity (Source: Greenfleet).....	11-14
Figure 11-5 BoM Moranbah Water Treatment Plant annual 9 am wind rose.....	11-19
Figure 11-6 BoM Moranbah Water Treatment Plant annual 3 pm wind rose.....	11-20
Figure 11-7 Maximum annual average concentration of total suspended particulate.....	11-23
Figure 11-8 Maximum 24 hour average concentration of PM ₁₀ (Typical conditions)	11-25
Figure 11-9 Maximum 24 hour average concentration of PM ₁₀ (Upset conditions)	11-26
Figure 11-10 Maximum annual average concentration of PM ₁₀	11-27
Figure 11-11 Maximum 24 hour average concentration of PM _{2.5}	11-29
Figure 11-12 Maximum annual average concentration of PM _{2.5}	11-30
Figure 11-13 Maximum 30 day average dust deposition	11-32
Figure 11-14 Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions from the Project's operation phase over 20 years .	11-36
Figure 11-15 Breakdown of Australia's emissions by state in 2016.....	11-38
Figure 12-1 Sensitive receptors	12-10
Figure 12-2 Construction phase 1 (2021) – worst-case meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels	12-14

Figure 12-3 Construction phase 1 (2021) – neutral meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels	12-15
Figure 12-4 Construction phase 2.1 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Meadowbrook Homestead – worst-case meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-16
Figure 12-5 Construction phase 2.1 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Meadowbrook Homestead – neutral meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels	12-17
Figure 12-6 Construction phase 2.2 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Kyewong and Tay Glen Homesteads – worst-case meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-18
Figure 12-7 Construction phase 2.2 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Lake Vermont Homestead – neutral meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels	12-19
Figure 12-8 Construction phase 2.3 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Kyewong and Tay Glen Homesteads – worst-case meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-20
Figure 12-9 Construction phase 2.3 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Kyewong and Tay Glen Homesteads – neutral meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-21
Figure 12-10 Operational phase (2024-2043) – worst-case meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels	12-22
Figure 12-11 Operational phase (2024-2043) – neutral meteorological conditions – LAeq(1h) free-field noise levels	12-23
Figure 12-12 Construction phase 1 (2021) – worst-case meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels	12-28
Figure 12-13 Construction phase 1 (2021) – neutral meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels	12-29
Figure 12-14 Construction phase 2.1 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Meadowbrook Homestead - worst-case meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-30
Figure 12-15 Construction phase 2.1 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Meadowbrook Homestead – neutral meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels	12-31
Figure 12-16 Construction phase 2.2 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Lake Vermont Homestead - worst-case meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-32
Figure 12-17 Construction phase 2.2 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Lake Vermont Homestead – neutral meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels	12-33
Figure 12-18 Construction phase 2.3 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Kyewong and Tay Glen Homesteads - worst-case meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-34
Figure 12-19 Construction phase 2.3 (2022-2023) and operational phase (2023) – powerline and water line construction near Kyewong and Tay Glen Homesteads – neutral meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels.....	12-35

Figure 12-20 Operational phase (2024-2043) - worst-case meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels	12-36
Figure 12-21 Operational phase (2024-2043) – neutral meteorological conditions – LA1(1h) free-field noise levels	12-37
Figure 13-1 Regional landscape context.....	13-6
Figure 13-2 Project Site and local landscape context	13-17
Figure 13-3 Landscape character context.....	13-18
Figure 13-4 Visible Project components.....	13-27
Figure 13-5 Lighting plan.....	13-29
Figure 13-6 Visual receptors and representative viewpoint locations	13-36
Figure 13-7 Viewpoint 1: view from Dysart-Moranbah Road looking south-east.....	13-47
Figure 13-8 Viewpoint 2: view from Dysart-Moranbah Road looking north.....	13-48
Figure 13-9 Viewpoint 3: view from Dysart-Moranbah Road near Saraji Homesteads 1 and 2 looking north-east.....	13-49
Figure 13-10 Viewpoint 4: view from Luxor Quarry Road looking north-east	13-50
Figure 13-11 Viewpoint 5: view from near Meadowbrook Homestead looking north-west.....	13-51
Figure 13-12 Viewpoint 6: view from Lake Vermont Homestead looking north-west	13-52
Figure 14-1 Regional Road Network	14-6
Figure 14-2 Road Network Study Area	14-7
Figure 14-3 Railway level crossings	14-8
Figure 14-4 Crash Data	14-11
Figure 14-5 Port, rail and air infrastructure.....	14-16
Figure 15-1 Waste and resource management hierarchy.....	15-13
Figure 16-1 Hydrology and potentially uncleared areas.....	16-9
Figure 16-2 CHMPs relevant to the Project Site	16-15
Figure 16-3 Recorded DATSIP Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Sites within 5km of the Project Site..	16-16
Figure 16-4 Detail of 1872 run map showing the Project Site.....	16-18
Figure 16-5 Detail of 1944 topographic map showing vegetation on the Project Site	16-19
Figure 17-1 Project layout and surrounding land use	17-9
Figure 17-2 Regional context	17-11
Figure 17-3 Operations workforce estimates	17-24
Figure 18-1 Average age of residents, Isaac LGA, MIW SA4 and Queensland, 2006-2016.....	18-7
Figure 18-2 Average weekly household income, Isaac LGA, MIW SA4 and Queensland, 2006-2016.....	18-9
Figure 18-3 Unemployment rate, Isaac LGA, MIW SA4 and Queensland, 2010-2017.....	18-10
Figure 19-1 Attendance of stakeholder groups	19-12

Figure 19-2 Attendance by workshop location	19-12
Figure 20-1 The ISO 31000:2018 Risk Management Process	20-3
Figure 21-1 Alternative mine plan.....	21-6
Figure 21-2 Project Site.....	21-9
Figure 21-3 Nearby resource projects.....	21-10
Figure 21-4 Incidental mine gas pre-drainage process.....	21-14
Figure 21-5 Optimised underground mine layout and maximised footprint (limit of subsidence)....	21-32
Figure 21-6 Approved Saraji open-cut mine plan.....	21-33
Figure 21-7 Underground mine plan and the Saraji Mine open-cut pit mining sections per year....	21-34
Figure 21-8 Project mine plan and Saraji open-cut mine plan overlap.....	21-35
Figure 21-9 Flora survey sites.....	21-46
Figure 21-10 Fauna survey sites.....	21-47
Figure 21-11 Cumulative Rainfall Departure plot.....	21-74
Figure 21-12 Regional catchment.....	21-80
Figure 21-13 Watercourses and surface water extraction users.....	21-81
Figure 21-14 Waterways and existing monitoring points.....	21-82
Figure 21-15 Groundwater bores.....	21-89
Figure 21-16 Groundwater dependent ecosystems.....	21-91
Figure 21-17 Vegetation communities within the Project Site.....	21-97
Figure 21-18 Fauna habitat types within the Project Site.....	21-102
Figure 21-19 BPA for the Brigalow Belt Bioregion within the Project Site.....	21-104
Figure 21-20 Observed TECs within the Project Site.....	21-108
Figure 21-21 Observed threatened flora and fauna within the Project Site.....	21-122
Figure 21-22 Proposed release point and water quality monitoring points.....	21-128
Figure 21-23 Conceptual west to east regional cross section of underground mining (not to scale).....	21-129
Figure 21-24 Conceptual groundwater model.....	21-129
Figure 21-25 Annual groundwater ingress estimates for approved and revised open-cut Saraji Mine.....	21-133
Figure 21-26 Predicted groundwater drawdown at end of mining.....	21-134
Figure 21-27 Existing and proposed monitoring bores.....	21-155
Figure 21-28 Brigalow (<i>Acacia harpophylla</i>) dominant and co-dominant TEC.....	21-171
Figure 21-29 Grasslands of the Queensland Central Highlands and the Northern Fitzroy Basin TEC.....	21-177
Figure 21-30 Potential habitat of Bluegrass (<i>Dichanthium setosum</i>)	21-183

Figure 21-31 Potential habitat of King Bluegrass (<i>Dichanthium queenslandicum</i>)	21-189
Figure 21-32 Squatter Pigeon (<i>Geophaps scripta scripta</i>) potential habitat within the Project Site.....	21-198
Figure 21-33 Ornamental Snake (<i>Denisonia maculata</i>) potential habitat within the Project Site...	21-206
Figure 21-34 Koala (<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>) potential habitat within the Project Site.....	21-219
Figure 21-35 Australian Painted Snipe (<i>Rostratula australis</i>) potential habitat within the Project Site.....	21-226
Figure 21-36 Greater Glider (<i>Petauroides volans</i>) potential habitat.....	21-235
Figure 22-1 Development Projects.....	22-4
Figure 22-2 Nearby resource projects.....	22-5
Figure 22-3 Road network upgrades.....	22-13

List of Chapter Tables

Table 1.1 Key legislation relevant to the Project.....	1-11
Table 2.1 Incorporation of standard criteria into Project development.....	2-11
Table 2.2 Integration of ESD principles into the Project development.....	2-12
Table 3.1 Key features of the Project.....	3-7
Table 3.2 Project Site mining tenements.....	3-15
Table 3.3 Prerequisite tenements for the Project.....	3-16
Table 3.4 Overlapping tenements	3-16
Table 3.5 Tenure and ownership.....	3-17
Table 3.6 Construction activities	3-19
Table 3.7 Indicative workforce numbers: construction	3-25
Table 3.8 Estimates of the mineral resource in accordance with the JORC code	3-26
Table 3.9 Indicative coal production schedule	3-28
Table 3.10 Indicative mine equipment.....	3-30
Table 3.11 Daily coal train movements	3-37
Table 3.12 Number of additional ships.....	3-38
Table 3.13 Projected water demands.....	3-47
Table 3.14 Water storages	3-47
Table 3.15 Indicative workforce numbers: operation	3-48
Table 3.16 Waste generation and management: construction phase.....	3-49
Table 3.17 Waste generation and management: operational phase	3-51
Table 3.18 Project elements within existing mining lease areas.....	3-53

Table 4.1 Homesteads within and nearby to the Project Site.....	4-3
Table 4.2 Prerequisite tenements for the Project.....	4-4
Table 4.2 QLUMP land use classes. Source: DES, 2016.....	4-9
Table 4.3 Native title surrounding the Project.....	4-13
Table 4.4 ILUAs relevant to the Project.....	4-13
Table 4.5 Regional planning interests.....	4-15
Table 4.6 Relevant state interest policies and assessment benchmarks under the SPP.....	4-18
Table 4.7 DROs relevant to the Project.....	4-25
Table 4.8 Assessment against relevant Broadsound Planning Scheme DEOs.....	4-28
Table 4.9 Assessment against relevant Belyando Planning Scheme DEOs.....	4-29
Table 5.1 Criteria to meet SCL in the Western Cropping Zone.....	5-6
Table 5.2 Geological units in the vicinity of the Project.....	5-9
Table 5.3 Summary of SMUs.....	5-13
Table 5.4 Land suitability classes.....	5-16
Table 5.5 Agricultural land classes.....	5-17
Table 5.6 Land suitability summary for the Project Site.....	5-18
Table 5.7 SCL assessment summary.....	5-23
Table 5.8 Notifiable activities likely or unlikely to occur within the Project Site.....	5-27
Table 5.9 Potential for pre-existing notifiable activities to occur within the Project Site.....	5-28
Table 5.10 Summary of monitoring requirements.....	5-34
Table 5.11 Indicative mitigation techniques.....	5-36
Table 5.12 Recommended topsoil strip depth and recommended use.....	5-38
Table 5.13 Post mining land for each domain.....	5-44
Table 5.14 Indicative completion criteria, objectives and indicators (BHP, 2018).....	5-47
Table 5.15 Indicative summary of the rehabilitation program.....	5-51
Table 5.16 Indicative rehabilitation mitigation measures.....	5-52
Table 6.1 Summary of flora and fauna surveys undertaken.....	6-7
Table 6.2 Habitat category definition.....	6-9
Table 6.3 Ground-truthed regional ecosystems within the Project Site.....	6-13
Table 6.4 HVR regional ecosystems mapped by DNRME within the Project Site.....	6-15
Table 6.5 EPBC Listed Threatened Ecological Communities and related regional ecosystems.....	6-17
Table 6.6 Declared weed species recorded in the Project Site.....	6-18
Table 6.7 Fauna habitat types within the Project Site.....	6-22

Table 6.8 Listed threatened species recorded within and adjacent to the Project Site.....	6-24
Table 6.9 Migratory fauna species occurring within or adjacent to the Project Site.....	6-26
Table 6.10 Pest animals identified within the Project Site.....	6-28
Table 6.11 MSES values within the Project Footprint.....	6-29
Table 6.12 Category A ESAs.....	6-31
Table 6.13 Category B ESAs.....	6-32
Table 6.14 Category C ESAs.....	6-33
Table 6.15 Potential disturbance to REs.....	6-38
Table 6.16 Potential disturbance to fauna habitat types.....	6-41
Table 6.17 Subsidence impacts to vegetation and fauna habitat during operation.....	6-47
Table 6.18 Likelihood of impact to ESAs.....	6-53
Table 7.1 Survey sites for the aquatic ecology baseline studies.....	7-5
Table 7.2 Criteria used to assess environmental values.....	7-7
Table 7.3 Ratings used to assess the consequence of potential impacts.....	7-7
Table 7.4 Ratings used to assess the likelihood of potential impacts.....	7-8
Table 7.5 Environmental risk matrix.....	7-8
Table 7.6 Fish passage ratings.....	7-11
Table 8.1 Surface water extraction licences.....	8-9
Table 8.2 ARI to AEP conversion table	8-12
Table 8.3 Design discharges generated from hydrologic modelling (m3/s)	8-12
Table 8.4 Water quality objectives	8-15
Table 8.5 Summary of downstream water quality data for 2010-2020	8-17
Table 8.6 Environmental harm criteria and receiving environment considerations.....	8-25
Table 8.7 Hydrological and hydraulic design criteria for mine WMS dams.....	8-26
Table 8.8 Assumed Saraji East Mine water Management System Water Demands	8-27
Table 8.9 Preliminary dam design and capacities.....	8-28
Table 8.10 Mine Salt Balance Summary	8-31
Table 8.11 Review of potential risks associated with mine water management.....	8-35
Table 8.12 Preliminary response plan for exceedance of water quality objectives.....	8-55
Table 9.1 IESC requirements.....	9-4
Table 9.2 Stratigraphy.....	9-18
Table 9.3 Environmental values for groundwater.....	9-28
Table 9.4 Summary of predicted drawdown.....	9-34

Table 9.5 Groundwater ingress estimate (in m3)	9-35
Table 9.6 Recommended project monitoring bores.....	9-41
Table 10.1 Summary of mineral waste samples assessed for the Project	10-2
Table 10.2 Summary of geochemical testing that was completed for the Project (RGS-Terrenus, 2012)	10-6
Table 10.3 Likelihood of AMD generation	10-7
Table 10.4 Estimated total mineral waste quantities generated by the Project	10-7
Table 10.5 AMD hazard classification system (RGS-Terrenus, 2012)	10-9
Table 10.6 Salinity classification system (DME, 1995)	10-9
Table 10.7 Summary of mineral waste total sulfur analysis. Source: RGS-Terrenus (2012)	10-10
Table 10.8 Summary of mineral waste pH1:5. Source: RGS-Terrenus (2012).....	10-10
Table 10.9 Summary of mineral waste EC1:5. Source: RGS-Terrenus (2012)	10-11
Table 10.10 Summary of geochemical acid-base accounting classification of mineral wastes	10-11
Table 11.1 Project adopted ambient air quality goals	11-3
Table 11.2 Key elements of the emissions reduction fund.....	11-4
Table 11.3 Assessment locations.....	11-7
Table 11.4 Project emission estimations (Typical conditions).....	11-11
Table 11.5 Project emission estimations (Upset conditions).....	11-12
Table 11.6 Principles of the GHG inventory	11-15
Table 11.7 National Greenhouse Accounts emissions factors.....	11-17
Table 11.8 Long term monthly averages for rainfall and mean max and minimum temperatures recorded at Moranbah Water Treatment Plant BoM station (operational 1972 – 2012)...	11-18
Table 11.9 Estimated background air pollutant levels.....	11-21
Table 11.10 Project-only predicted emissions of TSP at receptor locations.....	11-22
Table 11.11 Project-only predicted emissions of PM10 at receptor locations	11-24
Table 11.12 Project-only predicted emissions of PM2.5 at receptor locations	11-28
Table 11.13 Project-only predicted emissions of dust deposition at receptor locations	11-31
Table 11.14 Fugitive emissions of PM10 from local mining operations	11-33
Table 11.15 Fugitive emissions of TSP from local mining operations	11-33
Table 11.16 Fugitive emissions of PM2.5 from local mining operations	11-34
Table 11.17 Estimates of the annual Project usage of diesel, electricity, mine waste gas and ventilation air	11-34
Table 11.18 Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions from the Project over the 20 year mine production schedule	11-35
Table 11.19 Scope 3 GHG emissions over the 20 year life of Project.....	11-37

Table 11.20 Proposed mitigation options	11-39
Table 11.21 Projected climate change scenarios for the Whitsunday, Hinterland and Mackay Region relative to 1990 (Source: OCC 2009).....	11-42
Table 11.22 Potential impacts of climate change and proposed mitigation measures	11-42
Table 12.1 EPP (Noise) acoustic quality objectives.....	12-2
Table 12.2. Summary of EPP (Noise) background creep criteria	12-3
Table 12.3 MMC17 noise criteria	12-3
Table 12.4 Summary of WHO guideline noise levels	12-4
Table 12.5 Summary of Project specific external noise criteria	12-5
Table 12.6 Summary of low frequency noise screening assessment at sensitive receptors	12-5
Table 12.7 Subjective effect of increases in noise levels.....	12-6
Table 12.8 Meteorological noise modelling parameters.....	12-7
Table 12.9 Assessment scenarios	12-7
Table 12.10 Predicted operational noise impacts from Saraji Mine, including the Grevillia Pit Extension	12-8
Table 12.11 Identified sensitive receptors nearest to the Project	12-9
Table 12.12 Summary of measured noise levels	12-11
Table 12.13 Predicted external LAeq(1h) noise impact to nearby receptors under neutral and worst-case meteorological conditions	12-13
Table 12.14 Summary of impacts of LAeq(1h) at each receptor.....	12-24
Table 12.15 Predicted external LA1(1h) noise impact to nearby receptors	12-27
Table 12.16 Summary of impacts of LA1(1h) at each receptor.....	12-38
Table 12.17 Predicted external LLINeq(T) noise impact to nearby receptors.....	12-40
Table 12.18 Summary of low frequency noise impacts.....	12-41
Table 12.19 Predicted increase in road traffic noise levels due to the Project	12-41
Table 12.20 Predicted increase in rail traffic noise levels due to the Project.....	12-42
Table 12.21 Predicted increase in industrial noise impact to nearby receptors due to the Project..	12-43
Table 13.1 Regional landscape planning policy context	13-2
Table 13.2 Local landscape planning policy context.....	13-3
Table 13.3 Defining landscape sensitivity	13-8
Table 13.4 Defining magnitude of change to landscape character	13-8
Table 13.5 Significance of effect	13-9
Table 13.6 Defining viewpoint sensitivity.....	13-10
Table 13.7 Defining magnitude of change to visual amenity.....	13-11
Table 13.8 Defining viewpoint sensitivity to lighting	13-12

Table 13.9 Defining magnitude of change to lighting amenity	13-13
Table 13.10 IBRA sub bioregion descriptions	13-15
Table 13.11 Typical homesteads	13-20
Table 13.12 Selected viewpoints.....	13-20
Table 13.13 Potential Project impacts on landscape and visual values	13-21
Table 13.14 Landscape Character Type A (LCT A): mined and transitional lands.....	13-30
Table 13.15 Landscape Character Type B (LCT B): lowland rural plains.....	13-31
Table 13.16 Landscape Character Type C (LCT C): undulating hills with open forest.....	13-32
Table 13.17 Landscape Character Type D (LCT D): rural ranges and peaks	13-34
Table 13.18 Likely visual effect of the Project on Viewpoint 1	13-37
Table 13.19 Likely visual effect of the Project on Viewpoint 2	13-38
Table 13.20 Likely visual effect of the Project on Viewpoint 3	13-40
Table 13.21 Likely visual effect of the Project on Viewpoint 4	13-42
Table 13.22 Likely visual effect of the Project on Viewpoint 5	13-43
Table 13.23 Likely visual effect of the Project on Viewpoint 6	13-45
Table 13.24 Mitigation measures	13-54
Table 13.25 Summary of effect on landscape character.....	13-56
Table 13.26 Summary of effect on visual amenity	13-57
Table 13.27 Summary of effect on lighting amenity	13-58
Table 14.1 Relevant planned road improvements in the vicinity of the Project Site	14-12
Table 14.2 Background traffic (2018) – highway links	14-12
Table 14.3 Background traffic (2018) – intersections.....	14-12
Table 14.4 Growth rates in 1, 5 & 10 years.....	14-13
Table 14.5 Future background traffic – highway links.....	14-13
Table 14.6 Future background traffic – intersections	14-14
Table 14.7 Construction workers traffic.....	14-17
Table 14.8 Construction materials and equipment traffic.....	14-17
Table 14.9 Construction traffic summary.....	14-18
Table 14.10 Operation workers traffic	14-18
Table 14.11 Quantities for operation materials & equipment.....	14-19
Table 14.12 Operation materials and equipment traffic	14-19
Table 14.13 Operation traffic.....	14-19
Table 14.14 FY 2021 average delays	14-20
Table 14.15 Highest percentage of development traffic over background traffic.....	14-21

Table 14.16 Preliminary pavement impact assessment results – (Loaded direction).....	14-23
Table 14.17 Preliminary pavement impact assessment results – development traffic (Unloaded direction).....	14-24
Table 15.1 Potential waste management facilities to be used by the Project.....	15-5
Table 15.2 Waste generation and management construction.....	15-6
Table 15.3 Waste generation and management: operation	15-10
Table 15.4 Waste management hierarchy and controls.....	15-14
Table 15.5 Performance indicators for waste management for the Project	15-15
Table 16.1 Summary of the environmental setting.....	16-7
Table 16.2 Aboriginal Party for the Project Site	16-12
Table 16.3 Cultural Heritage Body for the Project Site	16-12
Table 16.4 Cultural Heritage Management Plans with BMA	16-12
Table 16.5 DATSIP sites within 5 km of the Project Site	16-13
Table 16.6 Cultural heritage sites previously identified in the Project Site	16-13
Table 16.7 Summary of historical heritage register searches undertaken for the Project	16-18
Table 17.1 SIA engagement stakeholders and engagement strategies	17-6
Table 17.2 Identified sensitive receptors nearest to the Project	17-8
Table 17.3 Potentially affected communities.....	17-10
Table 17.4 Summary of social baseline in SIA Study Area	17-12
Table 17.5 Mining industry skill shortages, Queensland, December 2016	17-19
Table 17.6 Potential impacts and benefits identified by stakeholders	17-20
Table 17.7 Estimated population and housing demand change	17-29
Table 17.8 Workforce accommodation villages owned or used by BMA	17-30
Table 17.9 Proposed projects within Isaac LGA	17-39
Table 17.10 SIA stakeholders and key issues	17-41
Table 17.11 Significance assessment criteria	17-44
Table 17.12 Summary and significance	17-45
Table 18.1 Measures of economic impact.....	18-2
Table 18.2 Qualitative measures of likelihood.....	18-3
Table 18.3 Qualitative measures of consequence.....	18-3
Table 18.4 Qualitative impact assessment matrix.....	18-4
Table 18.5 Assumptions for the cost benefit analysis.....	18-5
Table 18.6 Nominal GRP by industry for MIW SA4 and Queensland (prices \$ million)	18-6
Table 18.7 Labour force size.....	18-10

Table 18.8 Employment by industry, 2016.....	18-11
Table 18.9 Regional comparison of businesses by industry.....	18-13
Table 18.10 Anticipated capital expenditures (\$ million)	18-15
Table 18.11 Estimated annual value of ecosystems impacted by the Project.....	18-19
Table 18.12 Assessment of positive economic impacts.....	18-20
Table 18.13 Assessment of adverse economic impacts.....	18-20
Table 18.14 Cost benefit analysis results – base case scenario.....	18-22
Table 18.15 Cost benefit analysis results – alternative scenario.....	18-22
Table 19.1 Key features of the consultation process	19-5
Table 19.2 Overview of methods and tools used for each stakeholder group	19-6
Table 19.3 SIA and community workshop details	19-7
Table 19.4 Advertising schedule for the Project.....	19-10
Table 19.5 Summary of key common themes discussed.....	19-13
Table 20.1 State legislation	20-1
Table 20.2 Applicable standards and guidelines.....	20-2
Table 20.3 Preliminary risk assessment matrix.....	20-4
Table 20.4 Likelihood matrix	20-5
Table 20.5 Consequence matrix	20-5
Table 20.6 Climate Projections for the Project Site.....	20-8
Table 20.7 Preliminary risk assessment.....	20-10
Table 20.8 Indicative list of dangerous goods and hazardous chemicals.....	20-27
Table 20.9 Emergency plan elements for mine-site related emergencies	20-30
Table 21-1 Matters of national environmental significance relevance to Project.....	21-1
Table 21-2 Integration of EPBC Act ESD principles into the Project development.....	21-7
Table 21-3 Key features of the Project.....	21-11
Table 21-4 Easements width.....	21-13
Table 21-5 Indicative coal production schedule.....	21-13
Table 21-6 Indicative mine rehabilitation schedule.....	21-15
Table 21-7 IESC information requirements checklist.....	21-18
Table 21-8 Bore census data.....	21-29
Table 21-9 Model layers.....	21-37
Table 21-10 Simulation timings.....	21-38
Table 21-11 Data source search parameters.....	21-42

Table 21-12 Fauna survey methods employed during respective survey periods.....	21-48
Table 21-13 Target MNES fauna species, survey guidelines and effort undertaken to date.....	21-51
Table 21-14 Habitat category definitions.....	21-69
Table 21-15 Significant impact criteria.....	21-71
Table 21-16 Stratigraphy.....	21-76
Table 21-17 Surface water extraction licences.....	21-78
Table 21.18 Summary of water quality data.....	21-78
Table 21-19 Environmental values for groundwater.....	21-86
Table 21-20 Questions to determine likelihood of aquatic GDEs.....	21-92
Table 21-21 Questions to determine likelihood of terrestrial GDEs.....	21-93
Table 21-22 Monitoring bores sampled for stygofauna.....	21-94
Table 21-23 Vegetation communities within the Project Site.....	21-96
Table 21-24 Fauna habitat types within the Project Site.....	21-98
Table 21-25 Likelihood of occurrence assessment for TECs.....	21-106
Table 21-26 Observed TECs within Project Site.....	21-107
Table 21-27 Likelihood of occurrence for EPBC Act threatened flora species within the Project Site.....	21-109
Table 21-28 EPBC Listed Threatened Fauna Species Potentially Occurring in the Project Site...	21-111
Table 21-29 Mine water balance.....	21-125
Table 21-30 Mine salt balance.....	21-125
Table 21-31 Summary of predicted drawdown.....	21-135
Table 21-32 Vegetation clearing, and habitat loss impacts during construction	21-137
Table 21-33 Habitat modification impacts as a result of subsidence during operation	21-140
Table 21-34 Interim water quality trigger values.....	21-148
Table 21-35 Groundwater monitoring bore network.....	21-151
Table 21-36 Key management and monitoring plans and procedures.....	21-157
Table 21-37 Water resources significant impact assessment – surface water.....	21-160
Table 21-38 Water resources significant impact assessment – groundwater.....	21-164
Table 21-39 Direct and indirect impacts to Brigalow TEC within the Project Footprint.....	21-168
Table 21-40 Assessment of Significance of Impact – Brigalow (Acacia harpophylla dominant and co-dominant)	21-169
Table 21-41 Direct and indirect impacts to Grassland TEC within the Project Footprint.....	21-174
Table 21-42 Assessment of Significance of Impact - Natural Grasslands of the Queensland Central Highlands and the northern Fitzroy Basin.....	21-175
Table 21-43 Potential habitat for Bluegrass (Dichanthium setosum)	21-179

Table 21-44 Direct and indirect impacts to <i>Dichanthium setosum</i> within the Project Footprint.....	21-180
Table 21-45 Assessment of Significance of Impact - <i>Dichanthium setosum</i> (Bluegrass)	21-181
Table 21-46 Potential habitat for King Bluegrass (<i>Dichanthium queenslandicum</i>).....	21-185
Table 21-47 Direct and indirect impacts to <i>Dichanthium queenslandicum</i> within the Project Footprint.....	21-186
Table 21-48 Assessment of Significance of Impact - <i>Dichanthium queenslandicum</i> (king bluegrass).....	21-187
Table 21-49 Potential habitat for Squatter Pigeon (<i>Geophaps scripta scripta</i>).....	21-192
Table 21-50 Direct and indirect impacts to Squatter Pigeon (southern) (<i>Geophaps scripta scripta</i>) within the Project Footprint.....	21-195
Table 21-51 Assessment of significance of impacts– squatter pigeon.....	21-196
Table 21-52 Potential habitat for Ornamental Snake (<i>Denisonia maculata</i>)	21-200
Table 21-53 Direct and indirect impacts to Ornamental Snake (<i>Denisonia maculata</i>) within the Project Footprint.....	21-203
Table 21-54 Assessment of Significance of Impacts on Threatened Species – Ornamental Snake (<i>Denisonia maculata</i>)	21-203
Table 21-55 Potential habitat for Koala (<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>)	21-209
Table 21-56 Direct and indirect impacts to Koala within the Project Footprint.....	21-212
Table 21-57 Assessment of significance of impacts – Koala.....	21-213
Table 21-58 Impacts that are likely to substantially interfere with the recovery of the Koala (<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>)	21-216
Table 21-59 Potential habitat for Australian Painted Snipe (<i>Rostratula australis</i>)	21-222
Table 21-60 Direct and indirect impacts to Australian painted snipe within the Project Footprint..	21-223
Table 21-61 Assessment of significance of impacts– Australian Painted Snipe (<i>Rostratula australis</i>)	21-224
Table 21-62 Potential habitat for Greater Glider (<i>Petauroides volans</i>)	21-229
Table 21-63 Direct and indirect impacts to Greater Glider (<i>Petauroides volans</i>) within the Project Footprint.....	21-231
Table 21-64 Assessment of significance of impacts – Greater Glider.....	21-232
Table 21-65 Water resources residual risk assessment.....	21-238
Table 21-66 Likelihood criteria.....	21-239
Table 21-67 Consequence criteria.....	21-239
Table 21-68 Risk assessment matrix.....	21-239
Table 21-69 Maximum predicted significant residual impacts on MNES.....	21-241
Table 21-70 Maximum predicted significant residual impacts on MNES.....	21-242
Table 21-71 Comparison of Commonwealth and Queensland habitat quality indicators.....	21-247
Table 21-72 Potential offset availability for maximum predicted significant residual impacts to MNES.....	21-249

Table 21-73 Potential time frames for various impacts on vegetation.....	21-251
Table 21-74 Indicative milestone dates.....	21-252
Table 21-75 Potential offset availability for maximum predicted significant residual impacts to MNES.....	21-253
Table 21-76 Habitat clearance from the Project and within the Bioregion.....	21-256
Table 22-1 Projects in development which are relevant to the cumulative impact assessment.....	22-2