## **OS Maintenance Agreement Record of Meeting**

Date: 3 February 2025

Time: Open: 10:00 AEST / 08:00 AWST. Close: 11:17 AEST / 9:17 AWST

Location: WebEx

**Attendees:** See **Appendix 1** 

Agenda Agenda		
The proposed changes to the EA and explanation document		
Classifications	The Company has provided updated classifications within the latest marked up version of the Agreement which included all the classification descriptions. Prior to the meeting the AMWU provided feedback in writing that they believe the BCMI Award allows for tyre fitters to be employed under the mine worker level 2 classification. The AMWU advised that ability to progress as a Tyre fitter is not clear in the Award but that other industry agreements will have Tyre Fitters commencing on a level 2.	
	The Company agreed to consider this further and to provide something in writing to the group to consider.	
Tool Allowance	The AMWU put forward a claim that the Company should provide a tool allowance for employees who provide their own tools, namely at Saraji. The AMWU noted that employees want to see the dollar figure in the BOOT calculations to see where tooling allowance fits into their base salary currently.	
	The Company provided the BOOT calculations to the bargaining group in the week prior to the meeting. The Company confirmed that the changes were to clarify the Company's position within the document so that the wording reflected what was negotiated. The Company also confirmed that this was not a change to current practice, as tooling is presently factored into employee's base salary.	
	The Company confirmed its position that the tooling allowance is factored into the annual salary and committed to providing more information to employees through explanation material.	
Dynamic Rostering	The AMWU provided feedback ahead of the meeting on concerns from employees in some circumstances about how their rosters were allocated and where there were subsequent changes to their Annual Leave.	
	The Company confirmed these matters sit outside of the EA and would be investigated. The Company reiterated that the Dynamic Roster Guide is readily available to employees if they have any questions on processes that are to be followed.	
Night Shift Allowance	The MEU sought clarification if the updates to night shift allowance were more or less beneficial to employees or if this is a neutral change.  The Company confirmed that there is no change to the current	
	The company committee that there is no change to the culterit	

	process or how night shift allowance is processed and maintained, and so these updates are neutral.
	The Company clarified that when compared to the previous draft of the Agreement, the Night Shift Allowance is not payable for STI or matched super payments as an example. The Company reiterated that this change had nil impact when compared to current practice. The Company would ensure that all of the explanatory materials would be clear on what the change means for employees.
7.4 Remuneration	The Company made clarifying amendments to clause 7.4.
(contractual salary)	The MEU wanted clarification on what scenarios the Company has considered where the Higher Contractual Salary wouldn't occur.  The Company confirmed that it is the Company's intention that employees with a higher contractual salary will continue to be paid at the higher rate
7.6 (a) Salary increases	The MEU advised that they understood clause 7.6 (a) to mean that the locked in 4% increase would continue after the nominal expiry date of the Agreement and that the amendments to this clause did not align to that understanding.  The Company confirmed that has never been the intention for the
7.6 (d) change of salary	4% increase to continue beyond the nominal expiry date of the Agreement, nor was that agreed to as part of bargaining.  The MEU and individual bargaining reps sought clarification on how
7.6 (u) Change of Salary	remuneration would be calculated due to changes in roster/classification/hub for employees who are on higher contractual salaries than the minimum annual salaries in the Agreement.
	The Company confirmed that these employees would still be paid their higher contracted rate but committed to reviewing the wording and providing a formal response to the bargaining group after the meeting.
Ballot Process	The AMWU queried if it was the Company's intention to update any further documentation that's provided to employees on the proposed changes to the Agreement.
	The Company confirmed that it will be updating the proposed Agreement, all of the explanatory materials and the FAQs etc to reflect the changes and feedback we have received so employees can genuinely understand the changes that have been made to the Agreement and what it means for them.
AMWU and MEU log of claims	The AMWU and MEU both maintained their log of claims. The Company confirmed that its position remains unchanged on any of outstanding claims.
Next steps	BHP OS to provide formal response to the bargaining group on:

## Appendix 1 - Attendees

Attendance List OS Maintenance Agreement		
Bryce Seaton	Individual Bargaining Rep	
Trevor Hawkins	Individual Bargaining Rep	
Cassie Ward	Individual Bargaining Rep	
Heath Timmins	MEU	
Aidan Nash	MEU	
Aaron Neary	AMWU	
Craig Thomas	ETU	
Tahnee Rettke	OS Manager Maintenance	
Callum Baxter-Walters	BHP OS Employee Relations	
Libby MacDonald	BHP OS Employee Relations	
David Tuxworth	BHP OS Employee Relations	