Procedure		Document No.	49329
Document Title	Environment Management Program		
Area	HSE	Issue Date	23 August 2017
Major Process	Environment	Sub Process	Planning
Authoriser	Jacqui McGill – Asset President Olympic Dam	Version Number	12

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ID 1	USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES	3
	ID 1.1 Land disturbance and rehabilitation	3
	ID 1.2 Aquifer level drawdown	8
ID 2	STORAGE, TRANSPORT AND HANDLING OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	11
	ID 2.1 Chemical / hydrocarbon spills	11
	ID 2.2 Radioactive process material spills	14
ID 3	OPERATION OF INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS	17
	ID 3.1 Particulate emissions	17
	ID 3.2 Sulphur dioxide emissions	20
	ID 3.3 Saline aerosol emissions	22
	ID 3.4 Radioactive emissions	24
	ID 3.5 Greenhouse gas emissions	27
ID 4	GENERATION OF INDUSTRIAL WASTES	29
	ID 4.1 Embankment stability of TSF	29
	ID 4.2 Tailings seepage	31
	ID 4.3 Fauna interaction with Tailings Retention System	
	ID 4.4 Solid waste disposal	
	ID 4.5 Radioactive waste	
ID 5	INTERACTION WITH COMMUNITIES	41
	ID 5.1 Community interaction	41

# PREAMBLE

This **Environmental Management Program** (EM Program) forms part of the Environmental Protection and Management Program (EPMP). The EPMP comprises the following:

- The Environmental Management Manual (EMM);
- This EM Program;
- The EM Program Targets, Actions and Major Changes;
- The Monitoring Programs (MPs);
- The Mine Closure and Rehabilitation Plan.

The EM Program addresses the potentially significant **environmental aspects and impacts** that have been identified through an analysis and prioritisation of the **environmental risks**, legal obligations and community concerns relevant to BHP Billiton Olympic Dam Corporation Pty Ltd (ODC) Olympic Dam Operations. It documents the processes, systems, criteria and other requirements designed to manage the prioritised **aspects and impacts**, including (as appropriate):

- Environmental values, and the key risks to those values;
- Environmental outcomes that ODC aims to achieve relating to potential environmental impacts;
- Clear, specific and measurable compliance criteria that demonstrate achievement of the outcome(s);
- **Leading indicator(s)** criteria, providing early warning of trends that indicate a compliance criterion may not be met;
- Management and operational controls designed to deal with the environmental risk (of the impact), including any regulatory conditions (where specified);
- Contingency options to be used in the event that identified risks are realised;
- Continuous Improvement and Development Opportunities identified that can assist in achieving compliance criteria and environmental outcomes; and
- Environmental **improvement targets** and possible actions to achieve those targets.

The EM Program is divided into five distinct categories or 'IDs', each related to an area of the operation for which specific environmental management measures are required. Each ID is further subdivided into the specific EM Programs focused on one specific **aspect and impact**. The five top level IDs are:

- 1. Use of natural resources;
  - Measures for dealing with **environmental impacts** associated with land clearing and disturbance, spread of weeds and other pest species, and groundwater level drawdown.
- 2. Storage, transport and handling of hazardous materials;
  - Prevention and mitigation of **environmental impacts** as a result of spills involving chemicals, hydrocarbons or radioactive process materials.
- 3. Operation of industrial systems;
  - Control and prevention measures for emissions associated with the operation of the Olympic Dam mine and processing facility. These include particulate (dust) and radioactive emissions, sulphur dioxide and greenhouse gases.
- 4. Generation of industrial wastes;
  - Measures for dealing with **environmental impacts** resulting from waste generation and storage. This includes issues associated with the storage of tailings, such as seepage to groundwater, embankment wall stability, and impacts to native fauna (birds) arising from contact with the tailings storage facilities. Also included are controls for waste rock storage, and the disposal and storage of radioactive and solid wastes.
- 5. Interaction with communities;
  - Covers community relations, social character and wellbeing of people.

This EM Program also refers to a number of MPs. The MPs describe how data is collected to support the outcomes and criteria of each ID in this EM Program. The relevant MPs associated with each ID are listed under that ID. In some instances, MPs cover a broader scope of monitoring than that required by the specific ID, so where appropriate specific elements of the MPs are described.

# ID 1 USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES

### ID 1.1 LAND DISTURBANCE AND REHABILITATION

### 1.1.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- Lead Environment Radiation and Health
- General Manager Mining
- General Manager Surface

#### 1.1.2 Scope

All surface development activities for Olympic Dam require the disturbance of land. **Environmental impacts** associated with land disturbance may include loss of habitat for local flora and fauna, increased opportunity for introduced flora and fauna to become established, soil erosion, or loss/damage of indigenous heritage sites. In order to minimise impacts occurring as a result of construction and development work, ODC has developed an internal Environmental Disturbance Permit (EDP).

Rehabilitation of disturbed areas is progressive or when that site ceases to be used. Rehabilitation is conducted in accordance with the agreed land use as described in the Olympic Dam Mine Closure and Rehabilitation Plan.

Where applicable, land disturbances will be allocated an appropriate Significant Environment Benefit (SEB) offset ratio. Each offset area will then be subtracted from the total Olympic Dam SEB area, either at Gosse Springs or the newly determined areas.

Pest plant and animal species cause a range of environmental and economic impacts throughout Australia and across a spectrum of industries. While many pest species may be present in an area prior to development, the numbers may increase or new species may be introduced as a result of the operation. Factors that may lead to pest introduction and increases are ground disturbance, movement of vehicles, the operation of waste facilities and the provision of water or other resources. The level of effort required for a particular species correlates to the level of environmental and / or economic risk that the species may cause, and the likelihood that control options will be effective.

This EM Program applies to all land disturbance activities undertaken by, or on behalf of ODC.

## 1.1.3 Management strategy

ODC has developed several key documents cited in this EM Program that provide a basis for avoiding, minimising impacts to, compensating for and rehabilitating areas proposed for land disturbance activities.

The EDP system uses GIS software to map known locations and preferred habitats of threatened flora and fauna species and to flag 'no go' areas for disturbance. Erosion and Soil Control Plans (ESCP) and Topsoil Management Plans are used to guide construction practices in a manner that minimises the impacts of disturbance when they are deemed to be required.

Management strategies aimed at reducing the risk of spreading, monitoring the abundance and through targeted control programs for pest plants and animals include:

- Implementing controls to prevent the introduction and/or spread of declared weed species;
- Implementing controls for priority weed species where there is a likelihood of success; and
- Controlling feral animal species around project infrastructure and landfill sites where required.

To promote the effective management of pest plants and animals, control actions are typically undertaken on a local and regional scale and as such, control programs are conducted by ODC in collaboration with the Roxby Downs Council, **Arid Recovery**, government bodies and other relevant local land owners and organisations.

## 1.1.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Native Vegetation Act 1991 (SA)
- Native Vegetation Regulations 2003 (SA)
- Heritage Places Act (SA) 1993
- National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972 (SA)
- Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC Act) 1999 (Cth)
- Guidelines for calculating a Significant Environmental Benefit Under the *Native Vegetation Act 1991* and *Native Vegetation Regulation 2003*, Department of Environment Water and Natural Resources 2015
- Policy for Significant Environmental Benefit Under the *Native Vegetation Act 1991* and *Native Vegetation Regulation 2003*, Department of Environment Water and Natural Resources 2015.
- Radiation Protection and Control Act 1982 (SA)
- Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988 (SA)
- Natural Resources Management Act 2004 (SA) (NRM Act)
- Pastoral Land Management and Conservation Act 1989 (SA)
- 'Australian Weeds Strategy A national strategy for weed management in Australia' published by the Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council (Cth) in 2007
- 'South Australian Arid Lands Biodiversity Strategy: Volume 4 Gawler Conservation Priorities' published by the SA Arid Lands NRM Board in 2009.
- Public and Environmental Health Act 1987 (SA)

#### 1.1.5 Values

- Diversity of ecological communities.
- Listed species.
- Significant cultural (Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal) sites.
- Current and future land uses.

#### 1.1.6 Key risks

- Loss of listed fauna habitat.
- Loss of listed flora species or ecological communities.
- Spread or local introduction of declared pest plant species.
- Spread or local introduction of pest animals.

## 1.1.7 Environmental outcome

• No significant adverse impacts to populations of listed species (South Australian, Commonwealth) as a result of the construction, operation and closure of Olympic Dam.

#### 1.1.8 Compliance criteria

• No significant impact to the size of an important population of a community of native species dependent on natural discharge of groundwater from the Great Artesian Basin, including *Eriocaulon carsonii*.

Note: Significant impact is as defined in the **Significant Impact Guidelines** and greater than predicted in the **EIS**.

• No loss of an **important population** of Plains Rat (*Pseudomys australis*).

• Clearing of vegetation not to exceed the total area of 17,269 hectares as indicated in the **EIS** (DEIS and SEIS).

### 1.1.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

## 1.1.10 Management plan(s)

- 1. SEB Gosse Springs Paddock Native Vegetation Management Plan:
  - describes the legislative requirements for vegetation clearance subject to an SEB at Olympic Dam;
  - allows for the clearance of 1,370 hectares (ha), assuming an 8:1 ratio;
  - describes the management of the SEB area at Gosse Springs that is set aside to offset relevant clearance; and,
  - includes management actions to protect existing biodiversity within the SEB area.
- 2. Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Protocol:
  - in situations where disturbance is unavoidable, the Olympic Dam Agreement requires ODC to discuss the matter with Aboriginal custodians prior to making an application to the South Australian Government for permission to disturb sites (DEIS 17.5.4; SEIS 18.3, 18.4);
  - if it is necessary to disturb archaeological or ethnographic sites (with relevant approvals), a site disturbance mitigation plan will be developed in consultation with the appropriate Aboriginal groups (DEIS 17.3.3);
  - workforce induction training includes heritage awareness of known heritage sites and the need to comply with laws relating to their protection (DEIS Appendix U; SEIS 18.4); and
  - the **Olympic Dam Agreement** includes arrangements for regular consultation between ODC and the Kokatha, Barngarla and Kuyani groups about environmental matters. These arrangements will continue for the remaining life-of-mine, including any expansion, and will also deal with rehabilitation issues. (SEIS 18.1). Representatives of the native title claimant groups have been trained and employed in heritage management and recording activities (SEIS 18.2).
- 3. Topsoil Management Plan, Document No. 111269:
  - wherever possible, temporary sand and topsoil stockpiles will be placed in already disturbed areas, or areas proposed for future disturbance, to minimise additional vegetation clearance (DEIS 23.9.1; SEIS 5.4.5); and,
  - the use of topsoil for rehabilitation within one to two years will be targeted to maximise the potential for biological stock to remain within the soil (DEIS 23.9.1; SEIS 5.4.5).
- 4. Mine Closure and Rehabilitation Plan (2013)
  - the Mine Closure and Rehabilitation Plan was submitted to government on the 23rd of September 2013 as per condition 55 of the **Major Development Approval.**

## 1.1.11 Monitoring program(s)

- 1. Flora Monitoring Program, Document No. 2664:
  - remotely sensed imagery is used annually to define the disturbance impact footprint of infrastructure, development, resource drilling and associated waste management activities. Annual disturbance records are also used to account for SEB offset requirements;
  - records of known listed species locations have been included in the EDP GIS system which is reviewed prior to ground disturbance works, this list and locations are reviewed annually and updated as required;
  - areas of vegetation cleared are compared against SEB offset areas to ensure the area of SEB is sufficient.
  - the current distribution of declared weeds species is determined through periodic monitoring of sites and approved declared plant pest policies.

- construction sites are surveyed prior to construction activities, 12 months after the completion of works and/or after significant rains; and
- should a material increase in the abundance of invasive species be detected during postconstruction monitoring surveys, control measures are implemented in consultation with respective NRM Boards.
- 2. Fauna Monitoring Program, Document No. 2663:
  - monitoring of 'At-risk' species is conducted to provide an indication of environmental change due to the operations, and allows for known locations of listed species to be included in the EDP GIS system.
  - targeted management actions as determined by an annual risk assessment in line with SAAL NRM Board priorities and actions ensures that ODC protects native species in the region;
  - triennial review of baseline monitoring data to ensure no significant increase in the abundance of pest animals at the operation; and
  - the results of management activities are publicly reported in the Annual EPMP Report.

### 1.1.12 Controls and management actions

- 1. Land disturbance is controlled through the site EDP system incorporating:
  - Procedure for issue of an Environmental Disturbance Permit, Document No. 512;
  - Application for an Environmental Disturbance Permit, Document No. 56830;
  - Olympic Dam Rehabilitation Strategy, Document No. 78220;
  - This system protects native vegetation and fauna habitat through the requirement to obtain an EDP before any surface disturbing project and associated works begin. All permits are assessed by authorised environment personnel and signed off by the Project Manager of the proposed activity; and
  - A 10,963 ha SEB area has been established at Gosse Springs on the edge of Lake Eyre South (DEIS 15.5.1, 15.4.2) to offset the residual impact of vegetation clearance associated with the deferred expansion project and the existing Olympic Dam operation and associated infrastructure which have SEB offset requirements.
- 2. Additional SEB offset areas, bringing the total to the approved maximum of 139,781 ha, will be progressively developed to compensate for vegetation clearance and other **environmental impacts** associated with any expansion and operations. The proposed SEB offset is comprised of the following elements:
  - An SEB totalling 138,153 ha is to be established in the Arid Lands NRM region, including Gosse Springs, Emerald Springs, Bedourie, Black Swan and One Box paddocks as shown in Appendix C of the Flora Monitoring Program – Document No. 2664. The delivery of these SEB areas is funded and managed by BHP Billiton's ongoing operations. Detailed management strategies and actions for each of the SEB areas are detailed in the Native Vegetation Management Plan (see section 1.1.10). This plan is approved by the Native Vegetation Council. Monitoring of the delivery of these actions is provided as part of the Flora MP. The Native Vegetation Management Plan provides details on the delivery and funding arrangements for each SEB area. New SEB areas and native vegetation management plans are progressively developed and implemented as vegetation clearance occurs and to ensure the SEB area is always greater than the SEB obligation.
- 3. The SEB areas are chosen to contribute to the biodiversity conservation priorities of the Australian and South Australian Governments, particularly in respect of:
  - The selected SEB areas will increase representation of the Stony Plains IBRA Regions (currently at 5.65 per cent) to 6.55 per cent and have been made with consideration to the national approach to developing landscape scale ecological linkages. The inclusion of Emerald Springs and One Box as an SEB area will connect Lake Eyre National Park with Wabma Kadarbu Conservation Park. In addition the inclusion of Bedourie and Black Swan as SEB areas will create an additional area of managed reserves adjoining the Wabma Kadarbu Conservation Park. In total, a contiguous area of 15,650 square kilometres (km<sup>2</sup>) of reserves will be created from these SEB areas;

- Development strategies for SEB areas incorporate good land management practices such as weed management and erosion control, and also contribute to the protection and recovery of biodiversity, including 21 listed fauna and 18 listed flora species, through targeted actions. These actions include the fencing of reserves and removal of cattle and pest animals, the closure and rehabilitation of stock watering points, and the designation of tracks and parking bays to minimise disturbance and support rehabilitation;
- The selected offset areas include heritage sites at the Curdimurka Railway Siding contributing to the management and protection of cultural heritage; and
- In accordance with the Native Vegetation Management Plan approved by the South Australian Government, each SEB area is placed under a Heritage Agreement with the South Australian Government, legally securing the obligation to conserve and manage native flora and fauna in these areas in perpetuity.
- 4. A 500 metre (m) buffer is maintained between the mining (RSF) and processing operations and the existing footprint of **Arid Recovery** (DEIS 9.7.2).
- 5. Topsoil progressively stripped from the backfill limestone quarry is stockpiled in readiness for rehabilitation, and ripped and seeded where required to minimise wind erosion.
- 6. ODC continues to provide funding, land and other in-kind support for the **Arid Recovery** Project. This includes scientific, managerial and professional support by ODC (DEIS 15.3.10) and research support (SEIS 32.2.1).
- 7. ODC provides in-kind support to the Spencer Gulf Ecosystem and Development Initiative. This initiative is collaboration between industry investors and the University of Adelaide, with the South Australian Research Development Institute and Marine Innovation South Australia as partners. The objective of this initiative is to develop programs that provide all stakeholders with access to independent and credible information about the Spencer Gulf.
- 8. Threatened flora and fauna habitats are avoided where ever possible. All threatened species habitats are mapped and these areas are avoided wherever possible. If these areas cannot be avoided, targeted surveys are undertaken to determine whether threatened species are present. If threatened species are found to be present and cannot be avoided, they are relocated. When appropriate, EDP conditions include the identification and flagging of 'no go' areas prior to disturbance (DEIS 15.5.4). Where **listed species** cannot be avoided, the justification for their removal is documented.
- 9. Standard engineering practices are applied to control erosion in areas with low and moderate erosion potential as defined in the Olympic Dam Expansion Draft Environmental Impact Statement 2009 (DEIS). In areas of high and very high erosion potential additional measures are applied as part of an ESCP as either a stand-alone document or as part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan. The ESCP is developed before disturbance works begin (DEIS 10.5.1; SEIS 6.2.1, 10.1). Monitoring of disturbed areas and erosion control structures (if installed) occur during construction activities, particularly after high rainfall and wind events, and continue after construction until the disturbed areas are stabilised (DEIS 10.5.1; SEIS 10.1). Clearing of vegetation will not exceed the total area of 17,269 ha as indicated in the EIS. Any disturbance activities outside those assessed in the native vegetation management plan(s) are to gain the appropriate regulatory approvals.
- 10. The scope change for the Olympic Dam Project announced in August 2012 led ODC to undertake a review of potential impacts to the environment resulting from the change. A number of activities were identified for consideration to address potential impacts identified in this review. Actions have been incorporated into the site Rehabilitation Strategy and include the ongoing monitoring of surface areas for erosion and re-vegetation.
- 11. Declared pest plant species are controlled in accordance with the NRM Act 2004.
- Weed management is undertaken by ODC. ODC continues to work with regional land managers, Arid Recovery, Roxby Council and relevant NRM Boards (DEIS 15.5.11, 15.6; SEIS 16.7, 29.4).
- 13. An Environmental Disturbance Permit (EDP), Document No. 512, is required before undertaking any construction activities:
  - field surveys for final infrastructure locations are undertaken to determine the presence / absence of declared and priority weed species;

- during the EDP process the Weed Management Database is cross referenced for known pest plant locations;
- EDP procedure details controls for the spread of soil in known areas of weed infestation;
- vehicle hygiene practices are conditional to all land disturbance activities in areas of known weeds;
- disturbance caused by construction and operational activities is minimised wherever practicable; and
- vehicles are restricted to designated tracks to minimise ground disturbance and spread of weeds.
- 14. An equipment hygiene policy is applied to earth moving equipment brought to site.
- 15. Trapping is conducted in areas that targeted feral animal species are known to frequent.
- 16. Collaborate with Roxby Council to support the management of feral cats and dogs in the township (DEIS 15.5.11; SEIS 16.3).

### 1.1.13 Contingency options

- Rehabilitate land as soon as practicable following any unplanned disturbance.
- Increase the area under the SEB in the event of clearing beyond that described in the **EIS**.
- Implement a dedicated eradication plan for declared species in accordance with the *NRM Act 2004* requirements.

# ID 1.2 AQUIFER LEVEL DRAWDOWN

## 1.2.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Mining
- General Manager Surface

### 1.2.2 Scope

The water supply for the current Olympic Dam operation and the Roxby Downs township is sourced from two wellfields (Wellfields A and B) located on the south-western edge of the Great Artesian Basin (GAB). A number of pastoral properties in the wellfields area also rely on artesian pressure to distribute water along extensive private water supply piping networks and to maintain artificial wetlands.

Olympic Dam groundwater extraction is currently approximately 5 megalitres per day (ML/d) from Wellfield A and 27 ML/d from Wellfield B. Total groundwater abstraction, including pastoral abstraction, within the vicinity of the Olympic Dam wellfields is approximately 47 ML/d. The Far North Prescribed Wells Area Water Allocation Plan anticipates long term demand for mining as 120 ML/d in the South Australian GAB.

Groundwater modelling of the areas of the GAB that include the Olympic Dam **Special Water Licences** predicts that Olympic Dam abstractions are mainly sourced from storage and induced through-flow from the north. Both are reversible processes, as predicted by modelled recovery (following cessation of mining) of drawdown to the north and south-east of Wellfield B. At forecast abstraction rates, drawdown at Wellfield A will remain similar to current observed drawdown.

Abstraction of water from the GAB locally reduces artesian pressure around the points of abstraction and in some circumstances has the potential to affect environmental flows to artesian springs. Reduction of artesian pressure may also lead to changes in the quality of water flowing from springs. The communities of native species dependent on GAB springs are listed as endangered under the EPBC Act.

A number of monitoring programs are aimed at assessing aspects of GAB and spring health in the vicinity of the wellfields, and collectively provide an assessment of the impacts to GAB spring dependent listed species and threatened ecological communities. These include measurement of GAB spring flow rates, surveys of aquatic spring invertebrates and surveys of an endemic plant (the Salt Pipewort).

No residual impact to third-party groundwater users is expected in the Stuart Shelf area.

### 1.2.3 Management strategy

Aquifer drawdown potentially affects both the GAB and the Stuart Shelf. These two areas have different characteristics, and a different management approach is applied to each.

### 1.2.3.1 Great Artesian Basin

Within the GAB wellfield areas, the management strategy is focussed on the protection of GAB springs through preservation of artesian pressures and flows, protection of the water resource by maintaining overall sustainability, and the management of impacts to third parties. This is primarily achieved through the monitoring, modelling and management of drawdown.

The **Indenture** provides for the designation of an area for each special water license under the **Indenture**. These designated areas serve several purposes, including:

- ODC has monitoring obligations in relation to the designated area, including water pressures and levels.
- ODC is afforded certain rights in relation to water abstraction and certain inconsistent land uses are restricted within the designated areas.
- Wells within the designated areas must be prescribed and water resources within the areas are afforded certain protections.
- Third party users' rights to water and how they are affected.

The **Indenture** does not stipulate or require any specific drawdown limits in relation to the designated areas. However, under clauses 13(8)(c)(ii) and 13(8)(c)(iv) of the **Indenture** the Water Minister may restrict abstraction from a designated area where the continued abstraction of water will be detrimental to the water resource, there is a reasonable possibility of a complete or partial failure of the water supply from the resource, or an emergency situation exists.

The monitoring and assessment of wellfield performance reflects the management strategy by using a multi layered approach to protect the key values:

- The use of specific drawdown criteria in the south where springs may potentially be impacted.
- The measurement of a drawdown footprint area for wellfield B. The extent and rate of change of the footprint provides a measure of resource sustainability and impact to third parties, and provides an additional indicator to potential spring impacts.
- Leading indicators to the drawdown limits and drawdown footprint that prompt action before any limits are reached.

The quantification of the magnitude of drawdown is achieved through an extensive monitoring network, and through regular flow measurement and ecological surveys of GAB springs. In the event that monitoring indicated that a potential risk may be realised, a contingency plan specifies the measures that may be taken.

### 1.2.3.2 Stuart Shelf

Local depressurisation of the Stuart Shelf aquifer is required for underground mining activities. No impacts are expected to third-party users during the operating period of the mine. However, as with the GAB, the management of drawdown is achieved through monitoring of groundwater levels.

#### 1.2.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Special Water Licence
- Special Water Licence No. 2
- Environment Protection Act 1993

#### 1.2.5 Values

• Water resources of the GAB and Stuart Shelf.

• GAB spring-dependent listed species or ecological communities.

### 1.2.6 Key risks

- Potential impacts to third-parties on the Stuart Shelf from excessive drawdown.
- Impacts to GAB spring-dependent listed species or ecological communities.

#### 1.2.7 Environmental outcome

- No significant adverse impacts to existing third-party users' right to access water from within the GAB wellfield **Designated Areas** for the proper development or management of the existing use of the lands as a result of ODC activities.
- No significant adverse impacts to the availability and quality of groundwater to existing Stuart Shelf third-party users as a result of groundwater drawdown associated with ODC activities.
- No significant adverse impact on groundwater-dependent **listed species or ecological communities** as a result of groundwater drawdown associated with ODC activities.

#### 1.2.8 Compliance criteria

- A 4 m drawdown limit at the point on the **designated area** for Wellfield A that is mid-way between GAB8 and HH2 based on the 12-month moving average.
- A 4 m drawdown limit for Wellfield B at the point between monitoring bores S1 and S2 (measured as the average drawdown of the two bores) and based on the 12-month moving average.
- A drawdown footprint for Wellfield B, measured as the area contained within the 10 m drawdown contour, that is less than or equal to 4,450 km<sup>2</sup>.
- No material change in the availability and quality of groundwater at existing bores in the Stuart Shelf area operated by third-party users.

#### 1.2.9 Leading indicators

- A drawdown trend at monitoring bore S1 that may exceed 4.5 m in the next 12 months.
- A drawdown footprint for Wellfield B, measured as the area contained within the 10 m drawdown contour that is greater than 4,000 km<sup>2</sup>.
- A hydraulic gradient between wells in the NESB and HH2 exceeding 0.0009 meters calculated as the six-monthly moving mean hydraulic gradient between HH2 and NESB wells GAB7, GAB8, GAB10, GAB11 and GAB19.
- A combination of the following factors that can be attributed to water extraction from Wellfields A and B:
  - Evidence that flow reductions at GAB springs in the vicinity of the wellfields may exceed the predictions made in the Olympic Dam Environmental Impact Statements of 1982 and 1997.

Evidence of water quality change (measured as pH or conductivity) at GAB springs.

- A continuing drawdown trend at GAB pastoral bores that may exceed the predictions of the Olympic Dam Environmental Impact Statement of 1997.
- A drawdown trend or changes in groundwater quality in the Stuart Shelf area that may impact on existing third-party users.

#### 1.2.10 Management plan(s)

• None applicable.

## 1.2.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring Program, Document No. 2791:

groundwater abstraction, for comparison with groundwater levels;

groundwater levels across the monitoring bore network.

Great Artesian Basin (GAB) Monitoring Program, Document No. 2789:

abstraction volumes, groundwater levels and artesian pressures;

GAB spring flow rates.

• Flora Monitoring Program, Document No. 2664:

Great Artesian Basin (GAB) springs wetland vegetation composition assessment; abundance of the Salt Pipewort, *Eriocaulon carsonnii*, in GAB springs.

• Fauna Monitoring Program, Document No. 2663 :

presence/absence of endemic aquatic invertebrates in GAB springs.

#### 1.2.12 Controls and management actions

- A regional GAB groundwater flow model is used to predict the outcomes of various management options that may be applied to the GAB wellfields and third-party activities. Application of these options to minimise drawdown impacts.
- Water use budgets are maintained for all major sections of the operation, and an active water efficiency program is in place to drive water savings across site.
- Water use efficiency is reported throughout the operation.
- ODC owned pastoral properties are managed to conserve water, including flow reductions of large flowing bores to reduce GAB abstraction.
- Triennial qualitative comparison of GAB spring data from management programs Great Artesian Basin (GAB) (section 2.4), Flora (sections 2.5 and 2.6) and Fauna (section 2.5), to assess evidence of drawdown impacts on GAB springs and threatened ecological communities.

#### 1.2.13 Contingency options

- In accordance with a condition of the December 1997 assessment report (Assessment of the Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed expansion of the Olympic Dam Operations at Roxby Downs) the Wellfield Contingency Plan (Document No. ODENV034) for the existing GAB Wellfields:
  - defines the action triggers that initiate management action;
  - provides the response plan, including communication to identified stakeholders;
  - explains remediation options.

If monitoring shows that drawdown is affecting current Stuart Shelf third-party users, alternative water supply options will be investigated. These may include relocating or deepening existing groundwater wells, or providing an alternative water supply. Options will be considered in consultation with the third-party user (DEIS 12.6.3).

## ID 2 STORAGE, TRANSPORT AND HANDLING OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

### ID 2.1 CHEMICAL / HYDROCARBON SPILLS

#### 2.1.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface
- General Manager Mining

### 2.1.2 Scope

ODC handles a variety of chemicals and hydrocarbons for use within the operation.

Chemicals used include acids, xanthates, flocculants, sodium chlorate, sodium cyanide, sodium hydroxide, ammonia and calcium hydroxide. Hydrocarbons are used as fuel in vehicles, mobile equipment, furnaces and boilers and to manufacture explosives for use underground and in the quarry.

Primary, secondary and tertiary containment systems (tanks, bunds and on-site drainage collection ponds) exist to minimise the risk of spills entering the environment beyond the boundaries of the operation. Spillage of chemicals and hydrocarbons during transport, from storage facilities or

underground fuel lines can lead to the pollution of soils, contamination of groundwater and impact on ecosystems.

This document consolidates the relevant information and ODC's commitments that are in place to manage chemical and hydrocarbon spills associated with the Olympic Dam operation.

### 2.1.3 Management strategy

Management of hazardous materials spillage is achieved by:

- Transporting hydrocarbons and chemicals to site in accordance with the requirements of the Australian Dangerous Goods Code;
- Maintaining the integrity of pipelines and equipment through planned maintenance and design features for new infrastructure;
- All chemicals or chemical products are stored, loaded or unloaded in an appropriately bunded area. The EPA will assess the appropriateness of any bund against the EPA's Bunding and Spill Management Guidelines (EPA 1301.7(S-5)). Bund inspections are conducted within the operational areas to review compliance and ensure maintenance programs are in place and effective.

### 2.1.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Environment Protection (Water Quality) Policy 2015
- Australian Dangerous Goods Code (Edition 7.3)
- EPA Guideline Bunding and Spill Management 2007
- National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999
- EPA Licence 1301
- Explosives Act 1936 (SA)
- Dangerous Substances Act 1979 (SA)
- Dangerous Substances Regulations 2002 (SA)
- Mines and Works Inspection Act 1920 (SA)

#### 2.1.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Quality of soil and water resources.
- Diversity of ecological communities.

#### 2.1.6 Key risks

- Adverse impacts to human health.
- Contamination of soil, surface water or groundwater.
- Loss and/or displacement of ecological communities.

#### 2.1.7 Environmental outcome

• No significant site contamination of soils, surface water or groundwater, as a result of the transport, storage or handling of hazardous substances associated with ODC's activities.

#### 2.1.8 Compliance criteria

• No site contamination leading to **material environmental harm** (as defined in the EMM) arising from hydrocarbon/chemicals spills within the SML and Wellfields **Designated Areas**.

Note: Measurement and monitoring is carried out in response to a specific event and in accordance with the NEPM 1999 or EPP 2015, as appropriate. Remediation and monitoring programs are in place for historical contaminated sites.

### 2.1.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

### 2.1.10 Management plan(s)

• Hazardous Materials Management, Document No. 100614:

defines requirements for training, equipment and systems to be designed to protect personnel (employees, contractors and visitors involved in controlled activities) from exposure to hazardous materials (DEIS 22.6.8); and

outlines requirements for operations, storage and maintenance of hazardous materials to avoid and contain hazardous material spills (DEIS 22.6.8).

## 2.1.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Groundwater Monitoring Program, Document No. 2791:

routine groundwater quality monitoring around the operations.

Olympic Dam Event Report and Investigation Procedure, Document No. 51350

outlines requirements for reporting, investigating and communicating events.

• External reporting of environmental incidents, Document No. 3913.

outlines external reporting requirements.

### 2.1.12 Controls and management actions

- Fuel lines supplying hydrocarbons are located above ground in shielded racks to prevent deterioration of pipes and to enable rapid identification of leaks. Some pipelines remain underground in nylon coated steel pipes.
- All new hydrocarbon storage tanks and distribution lines are located above ground except in some instances where lines go underground at road crossings etc. In these cases controls for leakage detection or containment are required.
- All hazardous and dangerous substance storage areas are designed to ensure that substances are stored in bunded and sealed compounds or areas capable of preventing the escape of material into the soil, surface water or groundwater resources.
- All chemicals or chemical products are stored, loaded or unloaded in an appropriately bunded area. The EPA will assess the appropriateness of any bund against the EPA's Bunding and Spill Management Guidelines (EPA 1301.7(S-5)).
- Appropriate emergency spill kits are kept on the premises at all times in locations where listed wastes are stored, loaded or unloaded and are appropriately used in the event of a spill (EPA 1301.8(S-22)).
- Stormwater retention ponds that constitute a component of the tertiary containment system for chemical spills are designed and constructed to prevent the escape of material into the soil, surface water or groundwater resources. Trucks are washed at facilities with a wastewater collection system (EPA 1301.11(34-39).
- Regular operational area inspections are undertaken to ensure facilities comply with EPA Guidelines.
- Major chemical storages are routinely integrity tested.
- Where reasonably practicable, hazardous materials are substituted with non-toxic or less toxic substances.
- Restricted access to some chemical storage areas, such as the supply and reagents yards.
- Citect process alarm systems and level indicators are installed on most tanks, including CAF Plant silos, to prevent overflow. Preventative maintenance plans are in place to ensure plant and equipment is in good condition.

- Hazardous materials management procedures and standards outlining the systems are in place to effectively manage these materials.
- A procedure for Environmental Incident Reporting documents the process for managing and reporting spills.
- Any chemical and hydrocarbon spill 10L or greater outside a bund is reported via a company- wide system (SAP) and any spill that triggers the material environmental harm clause in the EP Act 1993 (costs \$5000 or more to clean up) must be reported via SAP and to the EPA as per external reporting of environmental incidents, Document No. 3913.
- A company-wide system (SAP) for incident reporting and tracking allows fast and comprehensive analysis of performance.
- Relevant senior management personnel must provide annual bund maintenance plans and upgrades to the environment department to report on.
- All new plant is designed to meet the appropriate legislation and standards as a minimum (e.g. AS 1940-2004). HAZOP studies are undertaken prior to construction to identify the potential for spills and the likelihood of spillages and operating procedures are developed for use by plant personnel. (SEIS 11.1.2, 11.4.3)

## 2.1.13 Contingency options

- A site Emergency Response Team with Emergency Service Officers (ESOs) is in place to attend emergency situations related to spills.
- Assess for the presence of site contamination resulting from spills that trigger the EPA material environmental harm clause in accordance with the *National Environment Protection* (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999 (or as amended) (commercial/industrial land-use).
- Remediate site contamination found to be present in accordance with the requirements of the *Environmental Protection Act* 1993.

## ID 2.2 RADIOACTIVE PROCESS MATERIAL SPILLS

#### 2.2.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface
- General Manager Mining

#### 2.2.2 Scope

The principal activity of the Olympic Dam operation is the mining and processing of ore containing copper, gold, silver and uranium. The existing operation has maintained systems for the control of radioactive material spills since operations began and these systems will continue.

BHP Billiton is currently required to report 'reportable spills' as defined by the Criteria and Procedures for Recording and Reporting Incidents at SA Uranium Mines (DSD), known as the 'Bachmann Criteria'. The Bachmann Criteria requires spills above a certain volume to be reported and the cleanup measures documented.

EM Program IDs 3.4 and 4.5 provide further detail on radiological control and the handling of any soils contaminated by radioactive spills.

This EM Program refers to spills of radioactive materials.

#### 2.2.3 Management strategy

The approach to management of radiation (including radioactive waste) at Olympic Dam is based on the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), which outline a system of dose limitation for the protection of humans and the environment from the harmful effects of radiation. It includes:

- Justifying any practice that results in radiation exposure;
- Optimising protection by ensuring that doses are as low as reasonably achievable;

• Establishing limits on individual doses.

The ODC approach also takes into account the standards and guidance published by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in its Safety Standards Series.

Radiation management in mining in Australia is guided by the Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Waste Management in the Mining and Processing of Radioactive Ores (ARPANSA 2005). This **Mining Code** elaborates on the ICRP and IAEA requirements and is generally adopted in its entirety in state legislation throughout Australia.

ODC aims to prevent spills primarily through effective design and control measures, including:

- Providing systems of multiple containment, including primary, secondary and tertiary containment systems (tanks, bunds and on-site drainage collection ponds) to minimise the risk of spills;
- Locating tailings pipelines within a secondary containment system (bunded corridors);
- Maintaining the integrity of pipelines and equipment through planned maintenance;
- Conducting inspections and regular maintenance programs to ensure integrity of controls.

Spill reporting requirements according to the Bachmann Criteria are communicated to area supervisors and managers. It is the responsibility of the area management to ensure spills are reported as per the external reporting of environmental incidents, Document No. 3913 and the Olympic Dam Event Report and Investigation Procedure, Document No. 51350

Although spills have minimal potential to cause radiological **significant impact**, as a measure of the effectiveness of the management controls, ODC monitor all radioactive process spills outside a bund via our SAP system. Spill tracking highlights areas that possibly need further controls and where Environment Improvement Plans might be required to improve process controls and maintenance.

### 2.2.4 Key legal and other requirements (MC 2.10.1(h))

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Environmental Protection (Water Quality) Policy 2015
- Radiation Protection and Control Act 1982 (SA)
- National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999
- EPA Licence 1301
- Radiation Protection and Control Act, Licence LM1
- EPA Guideline Bunding and Spill Management 2007 (SA)
- Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Radioactive Waste
  Management in Mining and Mineral Processing 2005 (ARPANSA)
- Criteria and Procedure for Recording and Reporting Incidents at SA Uranium Mines (DSD), Bachmann Criteria.

#### 2.2.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Quality of soil and water resources.
- Diversity of ecological communities.

#### 2.2.6 Key risks

- Harm to human health as a result of unexpected exposure of personnel to radioactive substances.
- Radioactive contamination of soil, surface water or groundwater.
- Harm to, loss and/or displacement of ecological communities.

#### 2.2.7 Environmental outcome

- No adverse impacts to public health as a result of radioactive process material spills from ODC's activities.
- No significant adverse impacts to populations of listed species or ecological communities as a result of radioactive process material spills from ODC's activities.

### 2.2.8 Compliance criteria

- A dose limit for radiation doses to **members of the public** of 1 mSv/y above natural background.
- No significant radioactive contamination arising from uncontrolled loss of radioactive material to the natural environment.

Note: Significant is defined as requiring assessment and remedial action in accordance with the NEPM 1999 or EPP 2003 and the **Mining Code.** Measurement and monitoring is carried out in response to a specific event.

#### 2.2.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

### 2.2.10 Management plan(s)

- Olympic Dam Event Report and Investigation Procedure, Document No. 51350
   Outlines requirements for reporting, investigating and communicating events.
- External reporting of environmental incidents, Document No. 3913.

Outlines requirements for external reporting against the Bachmann Criteria

• Hazardous Materials Management, Document No. 100614:

defines requirements for training, equipment and systems to be designed to protect personnel from exposure to hazardous materials; and

outlines requirements for operations, storage and maintenance of hazardous materials to avoid and contain hazardous material spills.

### 2.2.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Environmental Radiation Monitoring Program, Document No. 2790:

monitoring of frequency, location, causes, and remedial actions from radioactive spill events in order to identify and implement improved process controls.

#### 2.2.12 Controls and management actions (MC 2.10.1(h), 2.10.1(i))

- HAZOP studies are undertaken prior to construction of a process which will contain; transfer or store radioactive process material, to identify the potential and likelihood of spills and are used in the development and construction of operating procedures.
- The maintenance department has routine preventative maintenance and condition monitoring programs in place.
- Pressure sensors and routine plant inspections are used to ensure timely spill and leak detection.
- A procedure for Environmental Incident Reporting which documents the process for managing and reporting radioactive process material spills.
- A company-wide system for incident reporting and tracking allows fast and comprehensive analysis of performance according to the Bachmann Criteria. Annual reports include discussions on spill performance.
- Relevant senior management personnel must provide annual bund maintenance plans and upgrades to the environment department to report on.

### 2.2.13 Contingency options (MC 2.8.2(f))

• A site Emergency Response Team, ESOs and procedures are in place to attend emergency situations related to spills.

- Assess for the presence of site contamination resulting from spills in accordance with the *National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999* (or as amended) (commercial/industrial land-use), Document No. 149303
- Remediate site contamination found to be present in accordance with the requirements of the *Environmental Protection Act* 1993.

# ID 3 OPERATION OF INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS

### ID 3.1 PARTICULATE EMISSIONS

### 3.1.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface
- General Manager Mining

#### 3.1.2 Scope

Olympic Dam is currently Australia's largest underground mine, with on-site metallurgical processing facilities to convert the mined ore through to the final products of copper, gold, silver and uranium.

The current point sources of particulate emissions are as follows:

- Uranium Calciner A and B Stacks;
- Feed Preparation Dryer Stack;
- Slimes Treatment Plant Roaster Scrubber Stack;
- CAF Plant Silo Filters;
- Smelter 2 Stacks.

ODC maintains an environmental authorisation under the *Environment Protection Act* 1993, which establishes legal limits on the quantity of particulate emissions from the operation.

In addition, activities undertaken at Olympic Dam have the potential to result in the generation of fugitive dust emissions, including rock crushing and blasting at the backfill limestone quarry, vehicular movement on roadways, tailings wall raises and the stockpiling of materials. These emissions are managed through a number of control measures.

Particulates are monitored at nearby sensitive receivers through a real-time dust monitoring system that will ultimately be used to inform operational activities to ensure that dust concentrations at sensitive receivers remain within acceptable levels. The full functionality of this system (including monitoring of TSP and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) will be commissioned after the variation date (as defined in the **Amendment Act**).

The sensitive receivers in the vicinity of operations include the residents of Olympic Village and Roxby Downs and the local ecological communities.

#### 3.1.3 Management strategy

Management strategies are implemented to address particulate emissions both from point and fugitive sources at Olympic Dam.

For point source emissions, exhaust gas cleaning systems are installed throughout the process to remove particulates from gas streams venting to the atmosphere. These systems include:

- Off-gases from the Calciners are passed through venturi, droplet separator-based scrubbers to remove particulates before release to the atmosphere.
- Off-gases from the Feed Preparation Dryers are passed through baghouses to remove particulates before being released to the atmosphere.
- Slimes Treatment Plant emissions are scrubbed by either the roaster scrubber system, which utilises impaction scrubbing, or the nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) scrubber.
- CAF Plant silos are fitted with particulate filters.

• Off-gas from the Smelter 2 Flash Furnace is directed to the Electrostatic Precipitator to remove particulate matter for recycling to the furnace. Particulates are formed in the Flash Furnace by incomplete combustion of the feed in the reaction shaft. Off-gas from the Electric and Anode Furnaces are directed to individual off-gas cleaning systems which comprise a quench tower and venturi scrubber to remove particulates.

Management of fugitive particulates for operations is achieved either through 'at source' minimisation of emissions, or through active operational control to ensure ground-level particulate concentrations at sensitive receivers do not exceed the criteria. Such active operational control is based around managing the scale of dust-generating activities and the timing of such activities. The management response consists of a hierarchy of control measures of increasing effect, such as:

- relocating some or all blasting, loading and unloading activities to points at a greater distance from the sensitive receivers until meteorological conditions are more favourable;
- redirecting mine rock haulage activities;
- increasing the frequency of dust suppression activities where necessary;
- ceasing operations during adverse weather conditions (i.e. during windy conditions).

### 3.1.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Environment Protection (Air Quality) Policy 2016
- EPA Licence 1301
- EPA Exemption 3014
- EPA Air Quality Impact Assessment Guideline (2006)
- Radiation Protection and Control Act 1982
- National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure (2003)

#### 3.1.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Diversity of ecological communities.

#### 3.1.6 Key risks

- Adverse impacts to human health.
- Loss and / or displacement of ecological communities.

#### 3.1.7 Environmental outcome

No adverse impacts to public health as a result of particulate emissions from ODC's activities.

#### 3.1.8 Compliance criteria

 Ground level PM<sub>10</sub> dust concentrations at Roxby Downs and Olympic Village, derived from construction and operational sources at Olympic Dam must not exceed the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour average of 50 μg/m<sup>3</sup>.

### 3.1.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

#### 3.1.10 Management plan(s)

• Dust and Emissions Management Plan. Document No. 111276:

Outlines the dust management measures and integration of the dust monitoring network into operational activities. The results of the monitoring provide a real-time measure of dust concentrations so that appropriate management can be implemented as required.

### 3.1.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Airborne Emissions Monitoring Program, Document No. 2788:

routine monitoring of particulate emissions from point sources within the operations, as well as ambient air monitoring to determine impacts to sensitive receptors; and

a real-time monitoring system used to monitor the weather and fugitive particulates from the mine and towards the sensitive receptors of Roxby Downs and Olympic Village.

• Environmental Radiation Monitoring Program, Document No. 2790

routine monitoring of dust and radionuclide deposition for non-human biota radiological assessment and member of the public dose assessment.

Flora Monitoring Program, Document No. 2664:

monitoring of long-term changes in perennial flora communities surrounding the operation to determine impacts (if any) from operational atmospheric emissions.

#### 3.1.12 Controls and management actions

- The Calciners, Feed Preparation Dryers, Smelter 2 furnaces, CAF Plant silos and the Slimes Treatment Plant roaster are each fitted with emission reduction systems to remove particulate material.
- Particulate emissions for the Flash Furnace, Acid Plant, Anode and Electric Furnace Bypass Stacks are managed to less than 100 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> (EPA 1301.1(37-43)).
- The Flash Furnace, Acid Plant, Anode and Electric Furnace Bypass Stacks may be operated when the particulate concentration is greater than 100 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> in emergency or abnormal situations (EPA 3014.1(500-36)).
- Particulate emissions from the Slimes Treatment Plant Roaster Scrubber are managed to less than 100 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup>.
- Particulate emissions from the Calciners, Feed Preparation Dryer Baghouse stack and the CAF Plant silos are managed to less than 250 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup>.
- Maintain a pollution control register showing that pollution control equipment is maintained with planned maintenance programs to ensure effective operating of the gas cleaning systems (EPA 1301.6(S-2)).
- Clean scrap is used in anode furnaces to limit particulate emissions.
- Citect process system alarms exist for some gas cleaning systems to indicate when limits are exceeded. Process control information is available for trending to indicate the effectiveness of the systems.
- Citect process system level alarms ensure that ECAF Plant silos are not overfilled.
- Blasting is not conducted in the quarry during adverse wind conditions to minimise dust.
- Dust is minimised by regular application of saline water and / or suitable dust suppressants to active haul roads, roadways, the backfill limestone quarry blasted material and crushed material stockpiles. The water application effectiveness is monitored through daily watering records (DEIS 5.5.4 and 13.4.2).
- The National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure (NEPM) ground-level dust concentration and EPA Air Quality Impact Assessment Guideline will be met through design and operational management controls of mining operations at Olympic Dam (DEIS 13.3.2).
- A dust data system that permits operational control to meet particulate criteria.
- A 500 m separation between the RSF and **Arid Recovery** to minimise direct impacts from particulate matter (DEIS 13.3.4).
- Dry abrasive blasting activities are undertaken within a blast chamber and all blast material is contained. Pollution control equipment is used within the chamber to ensure dust emissions are minimised. For those items that are too large to be accommodated within a blast chamber or cannot otherwise be relocated all reasonable and practicable measures must be taken, including an appropriate enclosed area, to ensure dust emissions are

minimised. Only silica-free abrasive is used and all blast material is removed after blasting (EPA 1301.9(S-60)).

- When undertaking wet abrasive blasting all reasonable and practicable measures are taken to prevent wastewater from entering groundwater or stormwater; and corrosion inhibitors containing chromate, nitrate or nitrite are not used in any wet abrasive blasting operation (EPA 1301. 10(S-61)).
- Areas disturbed during construction of off-site infrastructure but no longer required will be rehabilitated in order to minimise the number of ongoing dust sources (DEIS 13.3.5).

### 3.1.13 Contingency options

- Increase the frequency of dust suppression activities on haul roads.
- Relocate some or all blasting / loading or unloading activities to more favourable areas of the mining operation.
- Redirect mine rock haulage activities.
- Modify planned blasting activities.
- Cease operations (DEIS 13.3.5).

## ID 3.2 SULPHUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS

### 3.2.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface

### 3.2.2 Scope

This program applies to Smelter 2, which is the single largest source of sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions at Olympic Dam and comprises a Flash Furnace, Electric Slag Reduction Furnace, two Anode Furnaces and an Acid Plant. Smelter 2 is used to process the copper concentrate into copper anode.

This document consolidates the relevant information and ODC's commitments that are in place to manage sulphur dioxide emissions from the Olympic Dam operation.

#### 3.2.3 Management strategy

Management of  $SO_2$  emissions from the Flash Furnace is achieved by directing off-gas to the Acid Plant, where the majority of  $SO_2$  is converted to sulphuric acid for use in processing, predominantly in the hydrometallurgical plant. The residual  $SO_2$  in off-gas is directed to the Acid Plant Tails Stack.

Electric furnace off-gas is directed to a quench tower and venturi scrubber gas cleaning system before release to the atmosphere via the Main Smelter Stack. Anode furnace off-gas is treated in gas cleaning systems similar to that of the Electric Furnace, with the exception of SO<sub>2</sub>-rich oxidation gases being directed to the Acid Plant for conversion to sulphuric acid. All furnaces have bypass stacks in addition to the Main Smelter Stack and the Acid Plant Tails Stack, for use in abnormal or emergency situations. In addition, the Acid Plant also has a bypass stack for use in the event of an Acid Plant abnormal or emergency situation.

During normal operations the above processes remove most of the  $SO_2$  from the stack emissions, with recovery rates of 95 per cent to 99 per cent. The majority of  $SO_2$  is released as a result of Acid Plant bypasses and through continuous Acid Plant tail gas emissions.

Inline analysers in the Main Smelter Stack and Acid Plant Tails Stack continuously monitor SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations emitted from the stacks (EPA 1301.13.(305-137)).

All information on bypass and exceedance emission events is reported as per licence conditions and ambient ground level  $SO_2$  concentrations are assessed as required (EPA 1301.14.(305-138), 15(305-139), 16(305-140),17(305-141)).

Additionally, independent stack testing is undertaken annually on the Main Smelter Stack and Acid Plant Tails Stack, providing data on  $SO_2$  and other off-gas concentrations. This assists in identifying the percentage of  $SO_2$  in the off-gas, and verifies the accuracy of the  $SO_2$  analysers within the Main Smelter and Acid Plant Tails Stacks (EPA 1301.13(305-137)).

## 3.2.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- EPA Licence 1301
- EPA Exemption 3014
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Environment Protection (Air Quality) Policy 2016 (SA)
- National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure 2003 (Cth)
- Native Vegetation Act 1991 (SA)
- Native Vegetation Regulations 2003 (SA)

## 3.2.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Diversity of ecological communities.

## 3.2.6 Key risks

- Adverse impacts to human health.
- Loss and / or displacement of ecological communities.

## 3.2.7 Environmental outcome

• No adverse impacts to public health as a result of sulphur dioxide emissions from ODC's operations.

### 3.2.8 Compliance criteria (EPA 1301.305-139, 305-140, 305-141)

- Annual average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration of less than 0.02 ppm at sensitive receivers, Olympic Village and Roxby Downs.
- 24 hour average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration of less than 0.08 ppm at sensitive receivers, Olympic Village and Roxby Downs.
- One hour average SO<sub>2</sub> concentration of less than 0.2 ppm at sensitive receivers, Olympic Village and Roxby Downs.

## 3.2.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable

## 3.2.10 Management plan(s)

• Dust Management Plan. Document No. 111276:

outlines the dust and emission management measures.

# 3.2.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Airborne Emissions Monitoring Program, Document No. 2788:

routine monitoring of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from Smelter 2 and the Acid Plant combined with SO<sub>2</sub> measurement to determine impacts to ambient air quality and sensitive receivers.

• Flora Monitoring Program, Document No. 2664:

monitoring of long-term changes in perennial flora communities surrounding the operation to determine impacts (if any) from operational atmospheric emissions.

## 3.2.12 Controls and management actions

• The Acid Plant and Smelter ventilation system captures all SO<sub>2</sub> generated by the Smelter, with emissions of total acid gases not exceeding concentrations of greater than 3,000 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> from the Acid Plant Tail Gas Stack and Main Smelter Stack under normal operating conditions (EPA 1301.1(37-43))

- Operation of the Flash Furnace, Anode Furnace and Electric Furnace Bypass Stacks only when emissions of sulphuric acid and/or sulphur trioxide are less than 100 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup>, except in emergency or abnormal situations (EPA 1301.1(37-43)) and (EPA 3014.2(500-37)).
- For the purpose of planned maintenance activities, the Acid Plant and Flash Furnace Bypass Stacks are not used until two hours following the cessation of concentrate feed to the Flash Furnace (EPA 3014.4(500-40)).
- The off-gas from the Anode Furnaces is not directed to the Main Smelter Stack until the sulphur content of the metal in the furnace is less than 0.005% weight per weight, except in emergency or abnormal situations (EPA 1301.18(305-142)).
- Operational controls, procedures and practices seek to minimise SO<sub>2</sub> emissions not treated in the Acid Plant.
- Maintain a pollution control register showing that pollution control equipment is maintained with regular planned maintenance programs to ensure effective operating of the gas cleaning systems (EPA 1301.6(S-2)). Citect process system alarms activate when limits are exceeded or bypass events occur.
- Negative pressure maintained to prevent gases from venting to atmosphere.
- Time-weighted or cumulative average alarm identifies when SO<sub>2</sub> is rising toward compliance limit so action can be taken.

### 3.2.13 Contingency options

- The Flash Furnace, Anode Furnace and Electric Furnace Bypass Stacks may be operated when the sulphuric acid and/or sulphur trioxide concentrations exceed 100 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> in abnormal or emergency situations (EPA 3014.2(500-37)).
- Emissions from the Acid Plant Tail Gas Stack may exceed 3,000 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup> of total acid gases for a period of less than five hours during cold plant start-up (EPA 3014.3(500-39)).
- Cease operations until plant and operating parameters are under control.

## ID 3.3 SALINE AEROSOL EMISSIONS

#### 3.3.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Mining

#### 3.3.2 Scope

Olympic Dam currently operates an underground mine that is ventilated via up-cast and down-cast raise bore ventilation shafts. These shafts pass through two saline groundwater aquifers between the mine and the surface. Groundwater flows passively into the unlined raise bores during normal operation. Saline water entering the shaft is collected by the updraft of air leaving the mine and is emitted at the surface as saline aerosols.

This document consolidates the relevant information and ODC's commitments that are in place to manage saline aerosol emissions for the Olympic Dam operations.

### 3.3.3 Management strategy

At raise bores where saline aerosols are produced, control measures have been implemented to capture the aerosols before they are emitted into the atmosphere. The emission of saline aerosols has the potential to result in soil contamination and may result in death, stress or displacement of flora and fauna in the vicinity of the ventilation shaft.

Saline emission trends identified from data collected for the Airborne Emissions Monitoring Program are used as indicators of the performance of saline emissions preventative controls. Management of saline aerosol emissions includes raise bore discharge design, splash ponds and enclosures. In extreme cases, drill holes have been sunk into the underlying aquifer to dewater the area and minimise saline emissions. Emissions diminish as the aquifer in the vicinity of the raise bores is dewatered. All raise bores discharge into an enclosed splash pond, and the most problematic of 'wet' raise bores, RB21, has been fitted with a mist eliminator. This limits the transfer of saline aerosol emissions beyond the confines of the enclosure.

## 3.3.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993

## 3.3.5 Values

- Quality of regional soils.
- Diversity of ecological communities.

### 3.3.6 Key risks

- Increase in soil salinity due to saline emissions.
- Loss and/or displacement of ecological communities.

### 3.3.7 Environmental outcome

• No significant adverse impacts to populations of listed species (South Australian, Commonwealth) as a result of ODC's activities.

### 3.3.8 Compliance criteria

• No loss of an **important population** of Plains Rat (*Pseudomys australis*).

### 3.3.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

### 3.3.10 Management plan(s)

• None applicable.

### 3.3.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Airborne Emissions Monitoring Program, Document No. 2788:

monitoring of saline aerosol emissions from raise bore ventilation shafts to provide data for determining impacts to sensitive receptors.

• Flora Monitoring Program, Document No. 2664:

monitoring of long-term changes in perennial flora communities surrounding the operation to determine impacts (if any) from operational atmospheric emissions.

#### 3.3.12 Controls and management actions

- All new exhaust raise bores have salt interception devices installed which consist of an inverted exhaust outlet and a ~ 20m splash pond which is surrounded by solid barricading.
- General dewatering of the local aquifer via mine dewatering.
- Maintain a watching brief on improvements or changes to saline emission interception devices.
- Ensure approved salt interception device standards are applied consistently to all new exhaust raise bore sites

## 3.3.13 Contingency options

• Implement immediate plans to rectify physical barricades to intercept saline aerosols if condition deteriorates.

# ID 3.4 RADIOACTIVE EMISSIONS

## 3.4.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Manager Analysis and Improvement Radiation, Health and Hygiene
- General Manager Surface
- General Manager Mining

### 3.4.2 Scope

The principal activity of the Olympic Dam operation is the mining and processing of ore containing copper, gold, silver and uranium. The existing operation has maintained effective systems for the control of radioactive emissions since commencement of operations. Potential impacts of radioactive emissions include exposure to the residents of Olympic Village and the Roxby Downs township and the aim is to ensure exposure is monitored and maintained within acceptable levels.

Recent adoption by the ICRP of its Publication 108 – Environmental Protection: the Concept and Use of Reference Animals and Plants – notes that assessments for radiological impacts to non-human biota (flora and fauna) should be undertaken. Where appropriate, BHP Billiton will undertake such assessments with the guidance of ARPANSA's published preliminary guidelines for assessing radiological impacts to non-human biota. The ARPANSA document outlines the management measures for radioactive emissions for the existing operations.

### 3.4.3 Management strategy

The approach to management of radiation (including radioactive waste) at Olympic Dam is based on the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), which outline a system of dose limitation for the protection of humans and the environment from the harmful effects of radiation. It includes:

- justifying any practice that results in radiation exposure;
- optimising protection by ensuring that doses are as low as reasonably achievable;
- establishing limits on individual doses.

The ODC approach also takes into account the standards and guidance published by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in its Safety Standards Series.

Radiation management in mining in Australia is guided by the Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Waste Management in the Mining and Processing of Radioactive Ores (ARPANSA 2005 – known as the **Mining Code**). This **Mining Code** elaborates on the ICRP and IAEA requirements and is generally adopted in its entirety in state legislation throughout Australia.

The **Mining Code** contains a specific requirement to develop a Radioactive Waste Management Plan (RWMP) covering environmental radioactive emissions. Due to the integrated Environment Management System that ODC implements at Olympic Dam, the specific requirements of the RWMP have been incorporated into the broader EPMP documentation.

Environmental radiation is therefore unique within the ODC Olympic Dam **Environmental Management System**, with specific aspects of the program integrated into other EM Programs or monitoring programs (e.g. radionuclide concentrations in groundwater are covered under the Groundwater Monitoring Program and radioactive airborne emissions are integrated into the air quality management documentation).

#### 3.4.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- *Radiation Protection and Control Act 1982* (Radiation Protection and Control (Ionising Radiation) Regulations 2015) (SA)
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Radiation Protection and Control Act, Licence LM1

- Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radioactive Waste Management in Mining and Mineral Processing (ARPANSA 2005)
- Code of Practice for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material (ARPANSA 2014 known as the Transport Code)
- Relevant ICRP and IAEA recommendations and codes

#### 3.4.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Diversity of ecological communities.

### 3.4.6 Key risks

- Radiation exposures higher than predicted at sensitive receivers.
- Dust and radon release from the operation greater than predicted.

### 3.4.7 Environmental outcome

- No adverse impacts to public health as a result of radioactive emissions from ODC's activities.
- No significant adverse impacts to populations of listed species or ecological communities as a result of radioactive emissions from ODC's activities.

### 3.4.8 Compliance criteria

- Radiation doses to **members of the public** less than 1 mSv/y above natural background.
- Deposition of project originated <sup>238</sup>U less than 25 Bq/m<sup>2</sup>/y at the non-human biota assessment sites.

### 3.4.9 Leading indicators

- Indications that a **dose constraint** of 0.3 mSv/y to **members of the public** above natural background will be exceeded.
- Indications that a reference level of 10 µGy/h for impacts on non-human biota above natural background will be exceeded.

Note: The reference level for non-human biota is set as an interim criteria until such time as an agreed national approach is determined.

## 3.4.10 Management plan(s)

- 1. Tailings Retention System (TRS) Management Plan, Document No. 80791:
  - provides details of the operating procedures for the TRS, including measures to minimise emissions.
- 2. Dust Management Plan, Document No. 111276:
  - details the location and systems for the monitoring of radionuclides in dust (via high-volume sampling) and active radon decay product monitoring.

## 3.4.11 Monitoring program(s)

- 1. Environmental Radiation Monitoring Program, Document No. 2790:
  - assessment of doses from monitoring results for:
  - members of the public dose assessment;
  - non-human biota radiological assessment.
  - monitoring and data collection including:
  - airborne radioactive dust monitoring
  - radioactive dust deposition monitoring
  - radon decay product monitoring
- 2. Airborne Emissions Monitoring Program, Document No. 2788

- monitoring of control systems (such as baghouse efficiencies and stack emissions).
- 3. Groundwater Monitoring Program, Document No. 2791:
  - radionuclide concentrations in groundwater monitoring.
- 4. Waste Monitoring Program, Document No. 2792:
  - monitoring of radioactive waste production; and
  - methods of waste control.

### 3.4.12 Controls and management actions (MC 2.8.2(c))

### 3.4.12.1 Radiation protection systems

• The existing site management processes and practices for radiological protection have been proven to work effectively. On occasion they will be upgraded through proven and tested improvements in technology or systems.

#### 3.4.12.2 Controls in existing operations

• The operation currently maintains a number of control systems, including:

exhaust gas cleaning on the two calcining furnaces, the feed preparation dryers, the flash furnace and the slimes treatment plant roaster;

preventative maintenance programs for pollution control equipment;

the process control system incorporates alarms to identify failures in key control systems such as ventilation systems;

process control information is reviewed to determine the effectiveness of the control systems;

regular application of water to roadways and stockpiles to minimise dust emissions;

dust suppression equipment installed on crushing infrastructure;

engineering design standards for raise bore exhausts to minimise particulate emissions;

tailings deposition is managed to minimise radon emanation and the potential for dusting;

appropriate training and education for operational personnel, with specialist training as required for personnel involved in specific tasks such as tailings disposal and servicing of emission controls;

appropriate training and education for supervising personnel involved in other tasks to ensure appropriate management of process materials.

### 3.4.12.3 Optimisation in design

• **ALARA** is built into the design of the operation. This means that all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that radiation and radioactive emissions are controlled and managed in the design of new plant. To achieve this, the following controls are applied:

radiation protection design criteria established and are mandatory for all facilities;

appropriate radiation protection training for personnel;

regular provision of monitoring data for operations personnel to assist in minimising radiological impacts;

an optimisation (**ALARA**) study will be conducted for selection and definition phases of the expansion with findings incorporated into designs;

design engineers, metallurgists, mining engineers, chemists and other specialist personnel participate in targeted "radiation in design" training

#### 3.4.12.4 Radioactive emissions from the TSF:

The following controls are primarily aimed at reducing radioactive emissions through seepage to groundwater:

- Tailings are placed to achieve competent consolidation to minimise dusting and radon emanation.
- A liquor balance / inventory for the evaporation pond operation is maintained.

- An audit of operational procedures for the TSF is conducted annually.
- Minimisation of free standing liquor on tailings through decant systems.

### 3.4.13 Contingency options

- Review of airborne emission controls.
- Review of tailings disposal and liquor management if required.

## ID 3.5 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

### 3.5.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface
- General Manager Mining
- Head of Projects

### 3.5.2 Scope

Olympic Dam consumes fossil fuels directly and indirectly as part of its activities, including its on-site operations and associated off-site activities, including materials transport and the operation of off-site infrastructure. Major greenhouse generating sources include the use of electricity and/or gas as major energy sources, combustion of LPG and/or natural gas, diesel, fuel oil and petrol, use of coke, soda ash and soderberg paste within the metallurgical plant and through the use of ammonium nitrate fuel oil (ANFO) and other explosives. The consumption of acid in the metallurgical plant and neutralisation of acidic liquor within the TSF also generate greenhouse gas emissions.

The National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 (Cth) (NGER Act) outlines the greenhouse emissions that are to be publicly reported. ODC currently reports as per the requirements of the NGER Act.

The *Climate Change and Greenhouse Emissions Reduction Act 2007* (SA) aims to promote action by developing specific targets for various sectors of the State's economy, and developing policies and programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

BHP Billiton's Climate Change Position is a multi-faceted approach to tackling climate change. ODC addresses the BHP Billiton-wide goals via this EM Program and the Energy Use and Greenhouse Gas Emissions MP and the supporting Carbon Emissions Management Plan.

## 3.5.3 Management strategy

Greenhouse gas emissions are managed at Olympic Dam through the quantification and tracking of the current greenhouse gas emissions performance of the existing operation and the identification, investigation and implementation of greenhouse gas reduction and abatement opportunities. ODC maintains a comprehensive approach to greenhouse gas abatement, integrated into the asset 5-year planning processes, as required by BHP Billiton Corporate Alignment Planning. The BHP Billiton Group Management Committee Letter of Intent (LOI) identifies priority areas for incorporation into the 5-year planning process. The FY2017 LOI recognises Climate Change and Energy Efficiency as a priority area, requiring plans to identify key actions to reduce emissions, exceed public targets and build resilience of our operations and communities to climate change.

As a part of the planning process ODC is also required to quantify emission reduction opportunities and achievements and monitor performance in accordance with the Energy Use and Greenhouse Gas Emissions MP (Document No. 67616).

### 3.5.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Renewable Energy (Electricity) Act 2000 (Cth)
- Renewable Energy (Electricity) Regulations 2001 (Cth)
- National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 (Cth)

- National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Regulations 2008 (Cth)
- National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting (Measurement) Determination 2008
- Climate Change and Greenhouse Emissions Reduction Act 2007 (SA)
- BHP Billiton Climate Change Position

### 3.5.5 Values

• Global atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations.

### 3.5.6 Key risks

• Excessive contribution to global greenhouse gas concentrations.

### 3.5.7 Environmental outcome

• Contribute to stabilising global atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations to minimise **environmental impacts** associated with climate change.

## 3.5.8 Compliance criteria

• Quantified emission reduction opportunities and achievements, reported annually.

### 3.5.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

## 3.5.10 Management plan(s)

• Energy and Greenhouse Gas Management Plan, Document No. 61598:

outlines BHP Billiton's internal policies and commitments to greenhouse gas reduction as well as the external regulations and policies impacting the approach.

details the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the operation, and identifies the mitigation measures and opportunities that will be investigated as potential emissions reduction projects.

## 3.5.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Energy Use and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Monitoring Program, Document No. 67616:

data collection and reporting of energy use and greenhouse gas emissions.

#### 3.5.12 Controls and management actions

- Continue dialogue to facilitate improving energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions as a result of site activities.
- Program to assess and implement improvement opportunities identified during energy balance/audits.
- Implementation of the HSE Design Criteria document (ODE000-S-0106), providing high-level guidelines for the consideration of greenhouse gas and energy efficiency during project design.

## 3.5.13 Contingency options

• None

## ID 4 GENERATION OF INDUSTRIAL WASTES

### ID 4.1 EMBANKMENT STABILITY OF TSF

### 4.1.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface

### 4.1.2 Scope (MC 2.8.2(c))

Tailings generated from hydrometallurgical processes are pumped to the TSF as slurry. The tailings are discharged onto the TSF Cells through off-takes from the tailings distribution pipes located at the crest of the perimeter embankments of the TSF. Supernatant liquor collects in ponds in the centre of each TSF cell and is pumped to evaporation ponds for storage and disposal. Some liquor is recycled to the metallurgical plant to recover metals and acid contained in the liquor.

Key aspects of the stability of the embankments are the strength of construction techniques and materials and deposited tailings, as well as the pore pressures within and adjacent to the embankments, which can reduce the effective strength of the materials.

### 4.1.3 Management strategy (MC 2.8.2(c))

Management of embankment stability is achieved by using quality assurance and quality control measures during construction of the original embankments, ongoing upstream embankment raises and the placement of tailings. Adequate factors of safety for stability are maintained by:

- Applying Australian National Committee on Large Dams/International Commission on Large Dams (ANCOLD/ICOLD) design and construction standards which ensure stability under static and seismic loading and minimise erosion on the outer face;
- Ensuring the rate of rise of tailings is limited to an average of 2 m per annum or less, which
  has been shown to provide adequate drying and consolidation of tailings to ensure adequate
  strength development;
- Monitoring the pore pressures within the tailings and embankments on a regular basis using an extensive network of piezometers;
- Conducting regular stability analyses, and
- Installing buttresses, filter zones and interception trenches to increase the factor of safety as required.

#### 4.1.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Radiation Protection Control Act 1982 (SA)
- Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Radioactive Waste Management in Mining and Mineral Processing (ARPANSA, 2005)

#### 4.1.5 Values

- Diversity of ecological communities.
- Quality of soil and water resources.

#### 4.1.6 Key risks

- Loss and / or displacement of ecological communities.
- Contamination of soil, surface water or groundwater.

#### 4.1.7 Environmental outcome

• No significant TSF embankment failure.

#### 4.1.8 Compliance criteria

• No significant radioactive contamination arising from uncontrolled loss of radioactive material as a result of an embankment failure to the natural environment.

Note: Any embankment failure that leads to a reportable spill under the Bachmann Criteria will be considered significant. Significant is defined as requiring assessment and remedial action in accordance with the NEPM or EPP and the Mining Code. Measurement and monitoring is carried out in response to a specific event.

### 4.1.9 Leading indicators

- Rate of rise of tailings at an average of 2 m per annum or less;
- The rate of rise of pore pressures within or adjacent to the TSF embankment is less than or equal to the rate of rise of tailings. and;
- The maximum supernatant pond area of individual TSF cells does not exceed 15ha for TSF1, 23ha for TSF2/3, 90ha for TSF4 and 135ha for TSF5.

Note: Each TSF has been assigned a maximum supernatant pond size which is calculated using critical operating parameters, surface contours and an allowance for significant rainfall events. Operating beyond these ponds sizes may not result in embankment failure but are considered an appropriate leading indicator in which operational processes should be reviewed.

#### 4.1.10 Management plan(s)

• Tailings Retention System Management Plan, Document No. 80791:

details loss control measures, current and critical design and operating parameters, monitoring and surveillance requirements including piezometer level monitoring and observed perimeter features.

### 4.1.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Waste Monitoring Program, Document No. 2792:

routine monitoring of the size and location of the supernatant liquor ponds in each TSF cell; and

routine monitoring of pore pressures within tailings adjacent to the external walls of the TSF.

#### 4.1.12 Controls and management actions (MC 2.8.2(c))

- The size of supernatant ponds is minimised and the location of ponds controlled by management practices (EPA 31543.500-433).
- Locations of active tailings discharge are progressively cycled around the perimeter of the cell, depositing in thin layers on each rotation.
- The rate of rise of tailings is kept to an average of 2 m per annum or less for all cells to ensure adequate drying and consolidation of tailings material.
- The external walls of the TSF can be rock armoured to minimise erosion.
- An annual operational audit and desktop geotechnical review is performed for the TSF by an independent tailings consultant.

#### 4.1.13 Contingency options

- Install buttress to the toe of embankments to increase the factor of safety for slope stability.
- Install filter blankets in areas of high seepage to prevent migration of fines and reduce the risk of a piping failure.
- Install liquor interception systems to collect liquor in areas of high seepage for TSF Cells 1 to 4. TSF Cell 5 East has a toe drain and collection system to intercept and collect any lateral seepage.

# ID 4.2 TAILINGS SEEPAGE

## 4.2.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface

## 4.2.2 Scope (MC 2.8.2(c))

Tailings generated from hydrometallurgical processes are pumped to the TSF as slurry. The tailings are discharged onto the TSF Cells through off-takes from the tailings distribution pipes, located at the crest of the TSF perimeter embankments. Supernatant liquor collects in ponds in the centre of each TSF cell and is pumped to evaporation ponds for storage and disposal. Some liquor is recycled to the metallurgical plant to recover metals and acid contained in the liquor. Seepage occurs in two main forms, comprising base seepage, which is essentially vertical flow through the floor of the TSF, and lateral seepage, which is horizontal flow through or below embankments. Base seepage includes seepage from the supernatant pond and seepage from the tailings beach.

Natural groundwater in the vicinity of the operation is of poor quality and is unable to support **environmental values** (aquatic ecosystems, primary industries, recreation and aesthetics, drinking water and industrial water) as defined by ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000). The high salinity of the groundwater makes it unsuitable for consumption by humans or stock, or for irrigation, and is currently classified as having no desired water quality conditions for ore processing at Olympic Dam.

Geochemical investigations and groundwater monitoring have supported the concept that any seepage of tailings liquor is effectively neutralised in the soils below the TSF. This is reported in the draft Environmental Impact Statement 2009 (**EIS**).

### 4.2.3 Management strategy (MC 2.8.2(c))

Seepage occurs as a function of the normal operation of the TSF and is minimised as far as practicable by:

- Providing effective drying and consolidation of deposited tailings;
- Minimising liquor area on the TSF as far as practicable by decanting to lined evaporation ponds (EPA 31543.500-433); and
- An underdrainage system which includes a HDPE liner installed in portions of TSF Cells 4 and 5.

A lysimeter installed in Cell 5 is used to help quantify base seepage through the tailings beach. Lateral seepage is captured in interception trenches and returned to the TSF or evaporation ponds.

A network of groundwater monitoring bores provides warning of any significant seepage that may be occurring.

Recharge of the Andamooka Limestone aquifer beneath the TSF with neutralised tailings liquor reduces the salinity of the groundwater and is at times extracted from LP2 to provide a useful addition to site water supply.

#### 4.2.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Radiation Protection Control Act 1982 (SA)
- Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Radioactive Waste Management in Mining and Mineral Processing (ARPANSA 2005)
- Criteria and Procedure for Recording and Reporting Incidents at SA Uranium Mines (DSD)

#### 4.2.5 Values

• Diversity of ecological communities.

- Quality of soil and water resources.
- Current and future land use.

#### 4.2.6 Key risks

- Impacts to native vegetation from seepage-induced mounding beneath the TSF.
- Contamination of soil, surface water or groundwater as a result of seepage greater than predicted.
- Impacts from seepage that compromise future land uses of the SML or adjoining areas.

### 4.2.7 Environmental outcome

- No significant adverse impact on vegetation as a result of seepage from the TSF.
- No compromise of current and future land uses on the SML or adjoining areas as a result of seepage from the TSF.
- No compromise of the **environmental values** of groundwater outside the SML as a result of seepage from the TSF.

#### 4.2.8 Compliance criteria

- Maintain groundwater level (attributable to seepage from the TSF) outside the external perimeter road of TSF Cells 1 to 5 to not higher than 80 mAHD (20 m below ground level).
- All TSF seepage attenuated within the SML, as demonstrated by a numerical geochemical model confirmed by monitoring.

### 4.2.9 Leading indicators

- A measurement of groundwater level outside the external perimeter road of the TSF that exceeds 70 mAHD (30 m below ground level) as a result of seepage.
- A numerical geochemical model trend that indicates that all TSF seepage may not be attenuated within the SML should the trend continue.

## 4.2.10 Management plan(s)

• Tailings Retention System Management Plan, Document No. 80791:

details loss control measures, current and critical design and operating parameters, monitoring and surveillance requirements including observed perimeter features and groundwater level monitoring.

## 4.2.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Groundwater Monitoring Program, Document No. 2791:

routine groundwater level monitoring around the TSF and evaporation ponds; and

routine groundwater quality monitoring around the TSF and evaporation ponds (EPA 31543.500-436).

• Waste Monitoring Program, Document No. 2792:

a liquor balance of each evaporation pond is conducted to highlight potential significant leaks (EPA 31543.500-435).

## 4.2.12 Controls and management actions (MC 2.8.2(c))

- Monitoring and review of performance data relating to the TSF.
- The size of supernatant ponds are minimised and the location of ponds controlled by management practices (EPA 31543.500-433).
- Locations of active tailings discharge are progressively cycled around the perimeter of the cell, depositing in thin layers on each rotation to ensure effective drying and consolidation.
- The rate of rise of tailings is kept to an average of 2 m per annum or less for all cells to ensure adequate drying and consolidation of tailings material.
- A desktop geotechnical review and operational review of the TSF is undertaken annually by an independent tailings consultant.

- A water balance is used to assist in the management of the TSF and enable future tailings and plant liquor disposal or recycle requirements to be assessed (EPA 31543.500-435).
- Stormwater collected within the TSF is evaporated and/or redistributed as necessary to maintain the water balance and minimise risks associated with the collection of water on the TSF (EPA 31543.500-434).
- Perimeter seepage interception trench is installed around TSF Cell 5.
- Underdrainage system including HDPE liner is installed in TSF Cells 4 and 5.
- Regular inspections around the perimeter of the TSF identify any new areas of lateral seepage. Existing perimeter features are also monitored to determine if there is any change in size, location and appearance.

## 4.2.13 Contingency options (MC 2.8.2(f))

• The TRS Operation, Maintenance and Surveillance Manual (Document No. 83204) as per approval conditions for TSF Cells 4 and 5 (EPA 31543.500-407):

defines the action triggers that initiate management action;

provides the response plan, including communication to identified stakeholders; and

explains remediation options.

## ID 4.3 FAUNA INTERACTION WITH TAILINGS RETENTION SYSTEM

### 4.3.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface

### 4.3.2 Scope

Open ponds of acidic liquor and wet beach environments at the Tailings Retention System (TRS) present a risk of attracting fauna, particularly waterbirds and some mammals. Large numbers of these species are regularly recorded using non-toxic water storages, such as process water and sewage ponds, in the vicinity of the operation. Acidic liquor ponds and wet beach environments within the TRS offer poor-quality habitat for fauna, but a number of animals are inadvertently attracted to the facilities due to their resemblance to natural water habitats.

'At-risk' fauna species (mainly waterbirds) are recorded in the Olympic Dam area. There is potential for several of these 'at-risk' species to visit the TRS, which may result in fauna losses due to the hazardous nature of the liquor.

### 4.3.3 Management strategy

Management of fauna interaction with the TRS is achieved by implementing strategies aimed at reducing the likelihood of fauna accessing the TRS and reducing the risk that fauna will be harmed after accessing the area. Management strategies focus on:

- Reducing wildlife attraction to the TRS environment;
- Preventing access to areas of the TRS, where possible;
- Managing fauna that do enter the area to minimise impact; and
- Committing to not constructing further evaporation ponds.
- Annual review of technology for deterring fauna from the TRS

Operational targets and control actions are applied to the TRS to ensure, in particular, that impacts to migratory species are limited and comply with significant impact guidelines, even though current impacts on these species are very low.

#### 4.3.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions;

- Major Development Approval Conditions;
- National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972 (SA);
- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)

### 4.3.5 Values

• Listed species and / or ecological communities.

### 4.3.6 Key risks

• Impact to populations of **listed species** interacting with the TRS.

### 4.3.7 Environmental outcome

• No significant adverse impacts to **listed species** (South Australian, Commonwealth) as a result of interactions with the Olympic Dam TRS.

### 4.3.8 Compliance criteria

• No significant adverse impact on the size of an **important population** of Banded Stilt (*Cladorhynchus leucocephalus*) as a result of interactions with the Olympic Dam TRS.

Note: Significant impact is as defined in the **Significant Impact Guidelines** as and greater than predicted in the **EIS**.

### 4.3.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

## 4.3.10 Management plan(s)

• Tailings Retention System Management Plan, Document No. 80791.

### 4.3.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Fauna Monitoring Program, Document No. 2663:

routine monitoring of fauna interaction within the TRS;

## 4.3.12 Controls and management actions

Since the implementation of the TRS fauna project a wide range of control and management actions have been trialled and/or reviewed. The size and functional design of the TRS impose significant constraints on proposed fauna management strategies, making many of them unfeasible. Constraints comprise; the large size of individual ponds and the system as a whole; the requirement for evaporation due to positive water balance; the highly acidic liquor within cells; and cells holding large volumes of water, which in an arid region with very few other permanent water bodies, makes the TRS an attractive option for fauna.

The list below summarises a range of control and management practices reviewed to date, their status and the justification for their status. In an attempt to maintain **best practicable technology** for management, regular review and investigation of these and any potential new options is undertaken, to determine suitability and potential efficacy for use at the TRS. In addition to the actions listed below, a number of research projects have been undertaken in partnership with Deakin University. A project due for completion in FY18 is studying the ecology of the Banded Stilts. Other valuable information has also been gained from investigations into waterbird movement patterns and their response to visual light deterrents.

Control and management actions reviewed under the TRS fauna project which were rejected, with the following justifications, include:

- Neutralisation of liquor difficult from an engineering perspective and costly, remaining liquor will still contain toxicants, continuous and large volumes of reagent required with additional disposal requirements.
- Detoxification of liquor costly and difficult to remove all toxicants, some may remain, continuous reagent requirement, little value without neutralisation.
- Netting/ covering ponds/cells ponds within the existing TRS are too large (extremely difficult to engineer solution), impractical, potential to decrease evaporation.

- Reduction of cell size in the evaporation pond system expensive, impractical and will significantly reduce evaporation potential and footprint of facilities.
- Central thickened discharge disposal expensive, impractical; requiring radical change to tailings deposition system, excess liquor will still require evaporation ponds.
- Sprinklers health and safety issues, maintenance issues acidic degradation of sprinklers and clogging with jarosite, overspray of acidic liquor.
- Olfaction reagents and dyes not proven, TRS already significantly covered with an unpleasant odour.
- Predators labour intensive, not practical at night, predator birds may be affected themselves; not consistent with hands-off approach.
- Effigies habituation of resident species, unlikely to be effective over large distances, unlikely to survive harsh environment.
- Chemical repellents health and safety issues, spraying not practical on sustained basis.
- Pyrotechnics labour intensive, use during the day is against the hands off approach and may be counter-productive; not consistent with hands-off approach, scale of area limits effectiveness.
- Radio controlled devices labour intensive, not practical at night, unlikely to survive well in harsh environment; not consistent with hands-off approach.
- Boats health and safety issues with operators on acidic liquor, maintenance issues, not consistent with hands-off approach.
- Hovercrafts health and safety issues with operators on acidic liquor, maintenance issues not consistent with hands-off approach.
- Helicopters costly, health and safety issues; not consistent with hands-off approach.
- Deterrents reviewed under the TRS fauna project that were trialled and rejected, with the following justifications, include:
- Active deterrence by staff in the area ineffective, not viable at night.
- Laser deterrent ineffective.
- Radar activated deterrent false activations, software issues, overheating of equipment.
- Sound Identification activated deterrent trials to date indicate that the large areas are a limiting factor in the design.
- Randomly activated audio and light deterrents trials demonstrated that these were ineffective.
- Minimise pond size decreases available habitat (EPA 31543.500-433). Analysis found that this control was ineffective at reducing waterbird numbers over the test period.
- Management of minimum water depth maintain a minimum liquor depth to discourage wading birds. Analysis found that this control was ineffective at reducing waterbird numbers over the test period.

The most effective controls, and those upon which management is based, are those that reduce the attractiveness of the facility to fauna, and in particular waterbirds. Deterrents of this type limit available wading habitat and provide more attractive alternatives elsewhere. The following measures have been implemented (including measures for non-waterbird fauna):

- 1.8 m chain mesh fencing with small-gauge wire footing around evaporation ponds prevents access by medium-large terrestrial animals.
- Minimal disturbance 'hands off approach' individuals that are not disturbed become less stressed, are less likely to interact with the system and more likely to move on.

#### 4.3.13 Contingency options

• None applicable.

# ID 4.4 SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

## 4.4.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- General Manager Surface
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals

### 4.4.2 Scope

The activities undertaken at Olympic Dam from mining and surface operations generate a series of waste streams, including electrical cables, paper/cardboard, poly pipe, scrap metal, tyres, conveyor belt, wooden pallets, general waste and hazardous wastes. Dedicated areas within the Resource Recovery Centre (RRC) allow waste streams to be segregated and certain items to be reused or recycled. Waste streams currently reused or recycled include: air filters, batteries, paper/cardboard, chemical containers, lead plates, mill liners, scrap metal, wooden pallets and waste oil.

For those wastes that are not reused or recycled, the RRC has a landfill facility for final disposal. This landfill is operated to ensure that wastes are adequately contained and isolated from the environment. Olympic Dam maintains systems and processes to control and administer the disposal of hazardous waste. Designated HSE personnel provide advice on the disposal of hazardous waste and authorise disposal within the SML, primarily to the TSF. Hazardous waste unsuitable for disposal within the SML is transported off-site to an appropriate depot for further treatment, recycling or disposal. Sewage wastes are disposed of to an on-site sewage facility, with sewage waste generated at Olympic Village directed to a dedicated sewage plant for treatment.

Appropriate systems are in place to ensure the hierarchy of eliminate, reduce, reuse, recycle is adopted and that wastes are managed in accordance with regulatory requirements.

Wastes generated within the township of Roxby Downs, the Charlton Road industrial area and Olympic Village, are disposed of in the Opal Road Landfill, which is managed and operated by the Roxby Downs Municipal Council.

Note: Radioactive wastes are covered in ID 4.5 and the risks associated with the management of tailings waste are covered in ID 4.2 and 4.5

## 4.4.3 Management strategy

ODC operates a system based on the waste management hierarchy, where the prevention and minimisation of waste generation is preferred over the reuse and recycling of materials, which in turn are favoured over disposal options. In practice, this takes the form of procurement processes which place greater responsibility on suppliers to reduce the volume of imported materials which would contribute to waste, improved waste segregation at the source and ways to prolong the life of major landfill contributors.

#### 4.4.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- Environmental Management of Landfill Facilities Guideline 2007
- EPA Licence 1301

#### 4.4.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Quality of soil and water resources.
- Sustainable use of resources and materials.

#### 4.4.6 Key risks

- Personnel and public exposure to hazardous substances.
- Contamination of soil, surface water or groundwater.
- Unsustainable use and depletion of resources and materials.

#### 4.4.7 Environmental outcome

• No significant adverse impacts as a result of management of solid waste.

### 4.4.8 Compliance criteria

• No site contamination leading to **material environmental harm** arising from the operation of the Resource Recovery Centre.

### 4.4.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

### 4.4.10 Management plan(s)

• Landfill Environmental Management Plan, Document No. 83202:

outlines the general approach to waste management at Olympic Dam, including details regarding segregation of wastes and the role of the RRC in the transfer, segregation, storage, recycling and disposal of wastes;

• Tailings Retention System Management Plan, Document No. 80791:

details the requirements associated with the disposal of hazardous solid wastes to the TSF.

### 4.4.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Waste Monitoring Program, Document No. 2792:

routine monitoring of general and industrial waste disposal and recovery to identify opportunities to minimise resource use.

#### 4.4.12 Controls and management actions

- Waste streams, including hydrocarbons and batteries, are segregated and stored appropriately, in accordance with the relevant Australian Standards that apply to the specific waste type, at the RRC.
- All waste sent offsite is subject to a radiation clearance before it leaves site.
- Listed wastes are tracked during transport using the EPA waste tracking system (EPA 1301.3.(S-27).
- Controlled wastes are not transported interstate without obtaining a consignment authorisation from the appropriate environmental agency in the destination state or territory, and the EPA waste tracking system is used for tracking waste during transport (EPA 1301.4(S-28)-.
- Wastes are transported by appropriately licensed contractors.
- Appropriate emergency spill kits must be kept on the Premises at all times in locations where listed wastes are stored, loaded or unloaded. They must be appropriately used in the event of a spill (EPA 1301. 8 (S-22).
- Any new landfill facility is designed and operated in accordance with the relevant sections of the SA EPA Environmental Management of Landfill Guidelines (2007) (DEIS 5.6.2; SEIS 5.4.1).
- Cover for the landfill facility is provided on a daily basis, with construction of the waste cells in accordance with EPA guidelines (DEIS 5.6.2).
- Spent catalyst is disposed of in the TSF (DEIS 5.6.6; SEIS 5.4.2).
- Temporary tyre storage is consistent with the requirements of the EPA Guidelines for Waste Tyres and the SA Fire Services General Guidelines for the Outdoor Storage of Used Tyres (SEIS 5.4.3).
- Regular visual inspections of the sewage facilities are undertaken. Pond walls are inspected for any abnormalities. Samples are also taken quarterly to ensure sewer ponds are operating effectively.
- The ODV Sewage system is designed and managed in accordance to relevant guidelines and standards.

• Any drying, storage, disposal or reuse of sludge and biosolids is carried out in a manner to prevent or minimise environmental harm and any sludge or biosolids removed from the SML are fit for the intended use (EPA 1301.2.(66-35)).

### 4.4.13 Contingency options

- All chemicals and chemical products are stored, loaded or unloaded in an appropriately bunded area (EPA 1301.7(S-5).
- A Sewage Treatment Works Contingency Plan Document No. 108929 has been developed which outlines the plan of action to be taken in the event of emergency or abnormal situations (EPA 3054.315-458).

## ID 4.5 RADIOACTIVE WASTE

### 4.5.1 Responsibility

- Head of HSE
- Superintendent Environment Analysis and Improvement Approvals
- General Manager Surface
- General Manager Mining

### 4.5.2 Scope (MC 2.8.2(c))

The principal activity of the Olympic Dam operation is the mining and processing of ore containing copper, gold, silver and uranium. The existing operation has maintained effective systems for the control of radioactive waste since operations began and these systems will continue.

Radioactive waste is defined in the **Mining Code** (ARPANSA 2005) as material that contains or is contaminated with radionuclides at concentrations or activities greater than clearance levels as established by the relevant authorities and for which no use is foreseen.

Material covered under this management program includes:

- processing tailings and liquors which are stored in the TSF;
- low-level radioactive waste from the laboratory and other areas of the metallurgical plant;
- **contaminated waste** being items of plant and equipment that have become contaminated during processing and cannot be cleaned and recycled economically;
- soil contaminated by spills of process materials.

The overall aim of the management plan is to ensure that all radioactive waste is contained and controlled.

Radioactive wastes may result in emissions from the SML that have the potential to cause impact outside the SML. Potential impacts of radioactive emissions include exposure to the public living in Olympic Village and in the Roxby Downs township.

The Radioactive Waste management program incorporates recent developments at an international level, which have been adopted in Australia and that require the radiological assessment of impacts to non-human biota.

The Radioactive Waste management program applies to the management measures for the existing ODC operations.

Radiation impacts as a result of emissions from radioactive waste are addressed in the EM Program ID 3.4 Radioactive Emissions.

## 4.5.3 Management strategy

The approach to management of radiation (including radioactive waste) at Olympic Dam is based on the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), which outline a system of dose limitation for the protection of humans and the environment from the harmful effects of radiation (MC 2.8.2(c)). It includes:

- justifying any practice that results in radiation exposure;
- optimising protection by ensuring that doses are as low as reasonably achievable;
- establishing limits on individual dose.

The ODC approach also takes into account the standards and guidance published by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in its Safety Standards Series.

Radioactive waste management in mining in Australia is guided by the Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radiation Protection and Waste Management in the Mining and Processing of Radioactive Ores (ARPANSA 2005 – known as the **Mining Code**). The **Mining Code** elaborates on the ICRP and IAEA requirements and is generally adopted in its entirety in state legislation throughout Australia. There is a specific requirement to develop a Radioactive Waste Management Plan (RWMP).

Due to the integrated Environment Management System that ODC implements at Olympic Dam, the specific requirements of the RWMP have been incorporated into the broader EPMP.

### 4.5.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- EPBC Act Approval Conditions
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993
- *Radiation Protection and Control Act 1982* (Radiation Protection and Control (Ionising Radiation) Regulations 2000) (SA)
- Code of Practice and Safety Guide for Radioactive Waste Management in Mining and Mineral Processing (ARPANSA 2005)

#### 4.5.5 Values

- Human health and amenity.
- Diversity of ecological communities.
- Quality of soil and water resources.

#### 4.5.6 Key risks

- Radioactive contamination of soil or groundwater.
- Dust and radon release from the operation greater than predicted.
- Human exposure to radioactive material as a result of accidental release from site of contaminated material or equipment.

#### 4.5.7 Environmental outcome

- No adverse impacts to public health as a result of radioactive waste from ODC's activities.
- No significant adverse impacts to populations of listed species or ecological communities as a result of radioactive waste from ODC's activities.

#### 4.5.8 Compliance criteria

- Radiation doses to **members of the public** less than 1 mSv/y above natural background.
- Deposition of project originated <sup>238</sup>U less than 25 Bq/m<sup>2</sup>/y at the non-human biota assessment sites.

#### 4.5.9 Leading indicators

- Indications that a **dose constraint** of 0.3 mSv/y to **members of the public** above natural background will be exceeded.
- Indications that a reference level of 10 µGy/h for impacts on non-human biota above natural background will be exceeded.

Note: The reference level for non-human biota is set as an interim criterion until such time as an agreed national approach is determined.

#### 4.5.10 Management plan(s)

• Tailings Retention System Management Plan, Document No. 80791:

details loss control measures, current and critical design and operating parameters, monitoring and surveillance requirements including piezometer level monitoring, groundwater monitoring and observed perimeter features;

provides detailed operating instruction for the TSF;

details the requirements associated with the disposal of hazardous solid wastes to the TSF.

Contaminated Waste Management Plan, Document No. 156800

Management and disposal of contaminated waste within the SML

### 4.5.11 Monitoring program(s)

• Environmental Radiation Monitoring Program, Document No. 2790:

Assessment of doses from monitoring results, for:

#### members of the public dose assessment;

non-human biota radiological assessment.

• Waste Monitoring Program, Document No. 2792:

records of radioactive waste produced;

methods of control.

### 4.5.12 Controls and management actions (MC 2.8.2(c))

Radiation protection systems

The existing site radiation protection and radioactive waste management systems, processes and practices have been proven to work effectively. On occasion they will be updated with proven and tested improvements.

Radiation protection design criteria have been established and are mandatory for all facilities.

• Management of the TSF

The management of the existing TSF draws on a number of programs, which include:

- a management method that is designed to deposit the tailings in thin layers, allowing liquor to evaporate and the solid tailings to consolidate and compact;
- monitoring of pressure across the tailings pipeline via the process control system to identify potential failures in the tailings pipeline;
- a water/liquor balance across the TSF is conducted annually;
- an audit of operational procedures for the TSF is conducted annually;
- a register is maintained of waste material other than tailings disposed of in the TSF.
- General radioactive waste management

Off - site Laboratory waste is stored at the pilot plant and disposed to TSF.

Plant and equipment that is contaminated with process material is disposed in the permanent contaminated waste disposal facility (CWDF) and managed according to the Contaminated Waste Management Plan QD. 156 800.

A register of contaminated waste disposal is maintained. All structural contaminated waste to be disposed to the CWDF must be cleaned to ensure an activity concentration of 1Bq/g or less.

The radionuclide content (238U and 226Ra) of mine water used for dust suppression on surface roads is tested to ensure it remains below 50 Bq/I (238U) and 5 Bq/I (226Ra).

The established 'radiation clearance' process is used, which ensures that all material sent for recycling (or leaving site) meets appropriate radiation release criteria.

#### 4.5.13 Contingency options (MC 2.8.2(f))

• Redesign; re-engineer or modify the management procedures of the TSF and CWDF should it be deemed necessary.

# ID 5 INTERACTION WITH COMMUNITIES

## ID 5.1 COMMUNITY INTERACTION

### 5.1.1 Responsibility

• Head of Corporate Affairs

#### 5.1.2 Scope

The involvement of stakeholders, including the community, is critical to BHP Billiton's licence to operate. Maintaining positive stakeholder relations is based on understanding stakeholder interests, regular dialogue and communication, and responding to stakeholder concerns and complaints. The company recognises that the workforce and the community are an important part of the operation, and that consideration and management of social interactions are necessary for a safe, content community and workforce.

#### 5.1.3 Management strategy

The strategy to manage community interactions is intended to maximise the social benefits and minimise the social impacts in Roxby Downs, Andamooka, Woomera and other relevant communities associated with the operations at Olympic Dam. This will be achieved by:

- Ensuring opportunities are provided for regular and ongoing dialogue and communication between key stakeholders and ODC;;
- Providing for the effective, timely and consistent delivery of commitments, management actions/controls and other management measures by ODC;
- Identifying a broad set of social indicators to measure and monitor the quality of life and social wellbeing within Roxby Downs and Andamooka;
- Provision for reporting on the implementation and performance of the social management actions and the social effects of Olympic Dam operations.

The approach to managing community interactions will be based on consultation and collaboration between ODC, the South Australian Government, Roxby Council and other key stakeholders.

#### 5.1.4 Key legal and other requirements

- Ratification Act and the Indenture
- Major Development Approval Conditions
- Environment Protection Act 1993

#### 5.1.5 Values

- Living conditions, working conditions and desired lifestyle.
- Community and workforce safety and contentment.

#### 5.1.6 Key risks

- Imbalance in housing supply and demand.
- Cost of living becomes unaffordable for low income households in Roxby Downs.

#### 5.1.7 Environmental outcome

• Residents in Roxby Downs, Andamooka and Woomera trust ODC to act in their best interests.

#### 5.1.8 Compliance criteria

• Community concerns are tracked and all legitimate complaints are addressed where reasonably practical.

#### 5.1.9 Leading indicators

• None applicable.

### 5.1.10 Management plan(s)

None applicable

### 5.1.11 Monitoring program(s)

- Social Effects Monitoring Program, Document No. 110687:
  - residents' trust in BHP Billiton; and

residents' perceptions of safety, quality of life, services and facilities and social fabric in Roxby Downs, Andamooka and Woomera.

### 5.1.12 Controls and management actions

## 5.1.12.1 Community relations

• ODC continues to have regular communication with stakeholders and:

maintains a list of key stakeholders and their interests in the current operation and expansion of Olympic Dam;

undertakes stakeholder engagement activities that are appropriate to the needs of different stakeholders; and

records interactions with stakeholders and outcomes where appropriate, including responses to concerns and complaints (EPA 1301.S-1, 3054.300-20).

- A series of tools are maintained for managing community complaints and grievances, including:
  - a complaints register for managing complaints and grievances;
  - a telephone number for receiving complaints and grievances;
  - a designated email address for receiving complaints and grievances; and
  - a postal address for receiving complaints and grievances (EPA 1301.S-1, 3054.300-20).
- Under the **Olympic Dam Agreement** between ODC and three Aboriginal groups, Barngarla, Kokatha and Kuyani, who all held active native title claims over the Olympic Dam area at the time of negotiating the agreement:

a trust is maintained to manage payments by ODC to support community initiatives for Aboriginal communities in northern South Australia (as defined in the Agreement); and

a Heritage Management Protocol is established to protect the Aboriginal ethnographic and archaeological values of the region.

• Cross cultural training of staff is undertaken as a part of the induction program for all new employees and contractors at Olympic Dam.

### 5.1.12.2 Social character, amenity and wellbeing

- ODC contributes to the provision of essential services in Roxby Downs so they are maintained at a reasonable standard.
- ODC promotes community identity and cohesion in Roxby Downs by:

maintaining the Olympic Dam Community Development Program;

having regular dialogue with stakeholders in Roxby Downs, Andamooka and Woomera; and

working with the council and local service providers to provide an ongoing and proactive new residents' program and community-building activities to facilitate positive cultural and social interaction.

#### 5.1.13 Contingency options

None