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1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Structure
This Environmental Management Plan (EM Plan) has been prepared to address the relevant Terms of Reference for the Caval Ridge Coal Mine Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

An EM Plan is also required under Section 201 of the Environmental Protection Act 1994 (EP Act) as part of the application for an Environmental Authority (mining activities) process. Section 202 of the EP Act states that the purpose of an EM Plan is to propose environmental protection commitments to assist the administering authority prepare the draft Environmental Authority. The content of this EM Plan addresses the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency’s (now Department of Environment and Resource Management) Guideline No. 8, ‘Preparing an Environmental Management Overview Strategy (EMOS) for non-standard Mining Projects’. The commitments expressed are measurable and auditable; they set objectives and outline control strategies to achieve the objectives.

In accordance with Section 203 of the EP Act, this EM Plan contains the following sections:

- Section 1 – Introduction, provides background on the proponent, describes each of the relevant mining leases and land tenure, and identifies the relevant stakeholders.
- Section 2 – Project Description, describes the relevant mining activities and the land on which the mining activities are to be carried out.
- Section 3 – Environmental Values, Impacts, Commitments, and Draft Conditions describes:
  - environmental values likely to be affected by the mining activities;
  - potential adverse and beneficial impacts of the mining activities on the environmental values;
  - environmental protection objectives;
  - control strategies adopted to achieve the environmental protection objectives; and
  - proposed Environmental Authority conditions.
- Section 4 – Environmental Management, describes details of the project’s systems for monitoring, reporting, research, training and auditing.

1.2 The Project
BHP Billiton Mitsubishi Alliance Coal Operations Pty Ltd (BMA) proposes to develop the Caval Ridge Mine; a new open cut coal mine north of and adjacent to BMA’s existing Peak Downs Mine in Central Queensland (Figure 1.2.1). The mine will comprise Horse and Heyford pits and is proposed to be located in the northern section of the existing mining lease (ML) 1775, with Harrow Creek acting as the southernmost boundary. Open cut mining operations using dragline and truck/shovel equipment are proposed, producing approximately 5.5 million tonnes per annum (Mtpa) of hard coking coal product primarily for the export coking coal market. The life of mine is expected to be 30 years.

An additional 2.5 Mtpa of product coal will be produced by the Peak Downs Mine. The run of mine (ROM) coal from Peak Downs Mine will be delivered to the Caval Ridge southern ROM and transported via conveyor to the Caval Ridge coal handling and preparation plant (CHPP) for processing. The southern ROM and conveyor form part of the Caval Ridge project. The Caval Ridge CHPP will process 8 Mtpa of product.
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1.3 Location
Caval Ridge Mine is situated in the Bowen Basin. The mine is located approximately 160 kilometres (km) by road from Mackay and 6.2 km south of Moranbah. The project covers an area approximately 17 km long and 4 km wide (excluding the rail loop and southern conveyor). The Caval Ridge Mine includes Horse Pit located to the north of Peak Downs Highway, and Heyford Pit to the south of the Peak Downs Highway (north of Harrow Creek). The extent of the proposed operation is depicted in Figure 1.3.1.

1.4 Project Proponent
The project proponent is BHP Billiton Mitsubishi Alliance Coal Operations Pty Ltd (BMA) as manager and agent on behalf of the Central Queensland Coal Associates Joint Venture (CQCA). CQCA is an unincorporated joint venture between BHP Billiton (50%) and Mitsubishi Corp. (50%). Joint venture arrangements are regulated in accordance with the CQCA Joint Venture Agreement as amended most recently by Deed dated 28 June 2001 and a Strategic Alliance Agreement dated 28 June 2001 which created BMA.

Operations are managed by BMA on behalf of the CQCA Joint Venturers under a Management Agreement dated 28 June 2001. BMA has equal ownership and management of seven Central Queensland coal mines: Goonyella Riverside; Broadmeadow; Peak Downs; Saraji; Norwich Park; Gregory Crinum; and Blackwater, and also manages the Hay Point coal terminal near Mackay, Queensland.

In addition, BMA manages the operations of BHP Mitsui Coal, which is owned by BHP Billiton (80%) and Mitsui and Co (20%). These operations include the South Walker Creek Mine and Poitrel Mine.

1.5 Land Use and Tenure
The project site includes a number of lots, with the predominant land tenure being freehold as illustrated in Figure 1.5.1. BHP Billiton Coal and their associated parties (BHP Coal & Others) are the registered owners of the majority of the lots. The project site is located to the north of the operational BMA Peak Downs Mine and covers the northern extent of ML 1775 (from the northern bank of Harrow Creek to the Moranbah Access road near the Moranbah Airport), and part of the future mining lease application MLA70403 (formerly MDLA 364 and 366) running along the western boundary of ML1775. Open cut mining will occur on the northern part of ML1775. The major coal processing infrastructure, haul roads, rail line, rail loop, train loadout and spoil dumps will be located on MLA70403.

The project site overlaps the following tenements:

- ML 1775 – BHP Coal Pty Ltd and Others (C.Q.C.A.) (Status: Granted [expires 31 December 2010])
  - Lots 14 and 16 on SP163605;
  - Lots 7,8,9 and 10 on RP615467;
  - Lot 14 on GV116;
  - Lot 13 on GV225;
  - Lot 18 on GV135;
  - Lot 4 on SP174999;
  - Lot 4 on RP884695; and
  - Lot 13 on SP151669.
Future Mining Lease application by C.Q.C.A (formerly MDLA364 and MDLA 366)
- Lot 16 on SP163605;
- Lot 1 on RP616897;
- Lot 10 on SP137499
- Lot 14 on GV116;
- Lot 13 on SP151669);
- Lot 47 on GV226; and
- Lot 18 on GV135.

Aside from the Moranbah Airport located to the north-east of the project site, there is no other known land designated for special purposes within or surrounding the site.

1.6 Stakeholders
Stakeholders include: Post State elections in early 2009, there have been structural changes to government departments. Departments as they are now known are in brackets.

- Commonwealth and State Government
  - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Partnerships (Department of Communities)
  - Commonwealth Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts
  - Department of Child Safety
  - Department of Communities;
  - Department of Corrective Services
  - Department of Education, Training & The Arts (Department of Education and Training and Department of Premier and Cabinet)
  - Department of Emergency Services (Department of Community Safety)
  - Department of Employment & Industrial Relations (Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation)
  - Department of Housing (Department of Communities)
  - Department of Infrastructure and Planning
  - Department of Justice
  - Department of Local Government, Sport and Recreation (Department of Infrastructure and Planning and Department of Sport and Recreation)
  - Department of Main Roads (Department of Transport and Main Roads)
  - Department of Mines and Energy (Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation)
  - Department of Natural Resources and Water (Department of Environment and Resource Management)
  - Department of Primary Industry and Fisheries (Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation)
  - Department of Public Works
  - Department of Sustainability, Climate Change and Innovation
  - Department of the Premier and Cabinet
  - Department of Transport, Trade, Employment and Industrial Relations
  - Disabilities Services Queensland
  - Environmental Protection Agency (Department of Environment and Resource Management)
  - Member for Charters Towers
  - Member for Dawson
  - Multicultural Affairs Queensland
  - Queensland Health
  - Queensland Rail
  - Queensland Transport (Department of Transport and Main Roads)
1.7 **Standard Environmental Conditions**

The mining activity will be subject to the conditions of an Environmental Authority (mining activities) and the conditions of a Mining Lease.
2 Project Description

2.1 Description of the Project
The project constitutes a mining activity as defined in Section 147 of the EP Act. The key elements of the project are outlined below.

- An open cut coal mine will be constructed on the northern section of the Peak Downs ML1775 generating up to 5.5 Mtpa of ROM coal. An additional 2.5 Mtpa will be sourced from Peak Downs Mine and processed at Caval Ridge to produce approximately 8 Mtpa of product hard coking coal for the export market.

- The product coal will be railed to the Hay Point and Dalrymple Bay coal terminals for distribution to international markets. The rail loop will be constructed from the main Blair Athol Line. Opportunity to rail the product coal via Abbot Point Coal Terminal exists upon completion of the proposed Northern Missing Link rail line.

- Out of pit spoil dumps will be created to the west of the open cut mining area on MLA70403. Once there is sufficient space for in pit dumping, pits will be progressively backfilled with spoil (referred to as in pit spoil dumps).

- A mine water management system will be constructed that diverts clean water away from disturbed areas, and captures and manages mine area runoff and pit water for reuse on site.

- Mine haul roads and an overpass across the Peak Downs Highway will connect the open cut pits to a new CHPP on MLA70403.

- An overland conveyor will be constructed to transfer ROM coal from the southern ROM to the CHPP.

- A conveyor will be constructed to transfer product coal from the CHPP to the train load out located on MLA70403.

- Power will be supplied via an overhead 66 kilovolt (kV) transmission line.

- Process waste comprising both coal rejects and dewatered tailings from the CHPP will be returned by truck and disposed of in the spoil dumps.

- Process water will be supplied using a combination of reuse of water contained in sediment dams on the project site, and additional water held in the Process Water Dam, which is supplied from the Eungella-Bingegang pipeline.

- The project will be accessed via the Peak Downs Highway (Figure 1.3.1).

- The mining industrial area (MIA) including site offices, workshops, stores, magazine, communications, car parking and some other minor facilities will be constructed on MLA 70403.

BMA will contract the construction of the CHPP to a construction contractor. It is also envisaged that a suitable contractor will be appointed to operate the CHPP. The project will employ approximately 1,200 construction employees and about 495 operational employees.
2.2 Mining Sequence
The mining sequence will generally entail the following:

- Progressively clearing any vegetation occurring on areas required for the operation;
- Stockpiling topsoil from disturbed areas for storage and use in future rehabilitation of the site;
- Pre-stripping / excavation of unconsolidated/soft overburden waste using excavators and trucks;
- Dumping over previously stripped dragline spoil;
- Drilling and blasting of upper competent overburden waste;
- Removal of waste rock using a combination of dozers, excavators and trucks;
- Dumping waste rock over previously stripped dragline spoil;
- Coal mining of upper seams using a combination of dozers, excavators, loaders and trucks;
- Drilling and blasting of lower competent overburden waste;
- Side casting of lower overburden into the previously mined strip using a dragline;
- Coal mining of lower seams using a combination of dozers, excavators, loaders and trucks; and
- Rehabilitating the site by re-shaping the waste rock dumps, topsoiling and revegetation using native vegetation.

2.3 Mine Rehabilitation
The proposed post-mine land use for disturbed areas is a mosaic of self sustaining vegetation communities and grazing land, containing native tree, shrub and grass species, and improved pasture species as appropriate.

The criteria for achieving self-sustaining final landforms will be developed during the operation as part of the BMA Closure Plan for the project, considering the results of site-specific rehabilitation trials, monitoring and research programs. The design of spoil dumps is an important part of the mine rehabilitation. The spoil dumps will be constructed in lifts of between 10 to 20 metres (m). Final dump slopes will be regraded to an average of 10% slope or less, with contour drainage benches retained between the lifts at suitable intervals. Local plant species will be included in the seed mix to be applied to the landforms so as to restore elements of the pre-mining vegetation communities to the rehabilitated floral assemblages. The main features of the progressive rehabilitation process are as follows:

- Designing and constructing a stable final landform incorporating the spoil dumps and final voids that will exist at the cessation of mining;
- Progressively constructing spoil dumps to final landform design, so that minimal reshaping is required at the end of mining.
- Placement of suitable topsoil on the final landforms. Topsoil will either be stockpiled until reshaped areas are available, or respread immediately across available reshaped areas;
- Contour ripping of the landforms as an erosion control measure immediately after topsoil placement;
Seeding landforms with an appropriate seed mix (grass, shrub and tree species) into the rippet seedbed prior to the commencement of the wet season to maximise the benefits of subsequent rainfall;
Resperseding cleared vegetation on rehabilitated borrow pits, roadsides and laydown areas; and
Collecting water from direct rainfall and runoff from the rehabilitated landform in any remaining final voids.

2.4 Mine Facilities and Infrastructure
Mine facilities and infrastructure will include roads, dams, administration buildings, water and sewage treatment plants, and mining industrial area (MIA) (Figures 2.4.1a, 2.4.1b, 2.4.1c and 2.4.1d). Potable water will be supplied from the Caval Ridge potable water treatment plant (WTP) using raw water supplied to the site from the Eungella-Bingegang pipeline. The WTP is proposed to be located near to the raw water dam. A modular sewage treatment plant (STP) will treat the MIA sewage and recycle the water. The STP is planned to be located at the southern end of the MIA.

Access to the MIA will be via a new intersection from the Peak Downs Highway. Mine operational traffic will be grade separated from other traffic using the highway and will cross beneath it.

Diesel will be stored on site in appropriately designed facilities at the MIA and on haul roads near the pits. Diesel storage capacity on site will total up to 1,200 kilolitres (kl) and diesel will be replenished from Moranbah or Mackay. Mining equipment will be serviced and maintained at the heavy equipment workshops on site. Oil and waste oil will be temporarily stored on site as part of maintenance activities for the mining fleet.

2.5 Coal Processing and Handling
The project will include the construction and operation of a CHPP, two ROMs (north and south), and a train loadout (TLO) facility which will include a spur and loop. The key elements of the CHPP include:

- Receiving, sizing and processing ROM coal at a nominal rate of 2,400 tonnes per hour (tph);
- Reclaiming the product coal from different stockpile sections at a controlled rate up to 4,000 tph and discharging into the existing 400 tonne (t) capacity train load out bin;
- Loading the trains at a rate equivalent to loading 10,000 t trains in 2.5 hours;
- Transferring the coarse and fine dewatered rejects and tailings from the CHPP and discharging into a rejects bin for collection by mining hauls trucks for disposal into the spoil dumps; and
- Producing, stockpiling and loadout of up to 8 Mtpa of product coal.

2.6 Water Management
The key elements of the water management system at the project are the sediment basins, Process Water Dam and pit water storages. Water will generally be managed as follows:

- Runoff from undisturbed areas at the project site and its vicinity will be diverted away from disturbed areas by diversion drains, which will drain to Horse, Cherwell, Harrow and Caval creeks;
- Runoff from disturbed areas will be captured in sediment basins and used preferentially for dust suppression or as process water in the CHPP; and
2.6.1.1 Water Supply and Storage

Approximately 3,200 megalitres (ML) per year of raw water can be sourced from the Eungella-Bingegang pipeline to service the requirements of the Caval Ridge mine. The water will be delivered to the raw water dam via an underground pipe. This pipe will run parallel to the Peak Downs Highway and enter the MIA. A tee from this pipeline will also provide water to the WTP. A raw water dam is proposed to store a nominal 10 days raw water supply for the incoming raw water pipeline for the dust suppression requirements of the coal handling plant (CHP), truck wash station and fire water reserve.

A 5 m deep lined Process Water Dam is proposed as the water storage dam for the coal preparation plant (CPP), raw coal stockpile dust suppression, ROM dust suppression and product stockpile dust suppression. The Process Water Dam will receive pit water from the Heyford and Horse Creek pit water dams, stockpile and remediated area run-off water collected from the Heyford and Horse Pit sediment dams, runoff water from industrial area runoff dams and raw water from the raw water dam. Fixed pumps will deliver the process water through underground HDPE pipelines to the CPP, Raw Coal Stockpile, ROM and Product Stockpile.

2.6.1.2 Water for Dust Suppression

The water for dust suppression at the project will be supplied from sediment dams on site or the Process Water Dam, if the various sediment dams are dry.

2.6.1.3 Stormwater Drainage

Stormwater runoff during operations will be managed within the mine water management system (refer Section 3.4), designed to contain dirty water and prevent discharge to receiving water environments and provide water supply for reuse within the CHPP, for industrial use and for haul road dust suppression. The mine water management system (Figure 2.6.1) will comprise a combination of storages (for water collection and containment) interconnected by open channels, and pumps and pipelines used to transfer mine water between storages, to demands and to dewater mining pits. The mine water management system will:

- Divert clean catchment runoff away from areas disturbed by mining activities;
- Provide water to assist in the progressive rehabilitation of spoil stockpiles; and
- Contain runoff from all disturbed areas (e.g. haul roads, MIA).

Cut off drains upstream of the CHPP pad will allow clean water to bypass the CHPP area. Runoff from dirty water falling within the CHPP area will drain to Industrial Area Runoff Dams. Stormwater runoff will be managed during construction to minimise erosion and sedimentation of watercourses (refer Section 3.4). Water will be discharged to Cherwell Creek, Nine Mile Creek and Horse Creek only when natural flows are present and when quality criteria are met (Section 3.4).
3 Environmental Values, Impacts, Commitments, and Draft Conditions

3.1 Content of the Section
This EM Plan was compiled by following the process outlined in the Guidelines published by the Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM). This process is shown below.

1. Identify the **Environmental Values**.
2. Identify and develop the **Environmental Protection Objectives** in order to minimise impacts on the environmental values.
3. Develop **Commitments** (including management plans and strategies) to achieve compliance with the Environmental Protection Objectives.
4. Develop **Proposed Environmental Authority conditions** to be included in the Environmental Authority for the project.

The guiding definitions for the terms that are used throughout the EM Plan are as follows:

**Environmental Values**: Environmental values are those qualities or physical characteristics of the environment that are conducive to ecological health, public amenity or safety.

Section 9 of the EP Act describes an Environmental Value as:

1) a quality or physical characteristic of the environment that is conducive to ecological health or public amenity or safety; or
2) another quality of the environment identified and declared to be an environmental value under an environmental protection policy or regulation."

**Environmental Protection Objectives**: Describe the key elements of the environment and the outcomes to be protected in order to minimise impacts on the environmental values.

**Control Strategies**: Provide a contextual framework for the proposed Environmental Authority conditions and describe the strategies proposed to meet the environmental protection objectives.

**Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions**: These are draft conditions containing measurable indicators and standards that are proposed to be included in the Environmental Authority to protect identified environmental values that may be impacted on by the Project.

**Indicators**: These are the indicators by which the level of achievement of the environmental protection objectives can be determined, in a measurable and auditable way.
Standards: These are numerical standards for each of the indicators by which adequate levels of achievement of the environmental protection objectives and protection of the environmental values can be determined.

Words and phrases used throughout this EM Plan are defined in Section 5 Definitions except where identified in the EP Act or subordinate legislation. Where a word or term is not defined, the ordinary English meaning applies, and regard should be given to the Macquarie Dictionary.

3.2 General Conditions

There are a number of general issues that do not relate to environmental values or control strategies, but are to be included in the Environmental Authority. Conditions of the Environmental Authority are proposed here for ‘Schedule A – General Conditions’.

3.2.1 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule A – General Conditions

Financial assurance

(A1-1) Provide a financial assurance in the amount and form required by the administering authority prior to the commencement of activities proposed under this environmental authority.

NOTE: The calculation of financial assurance for condition (A1-1) must be in accordance with Guideline 17 and may include a performance discount. The amount is defined as the maximum total rehabilitation cost for complete rehabilitation of all disturbed areas, which may vary on an annual basis due to progressive rehabilitation. The amount required for the financial assurance must be the highest Total Rehabilitation Cost calculated for any year of the Plan of Operations and calculated using the formula: (Financial Assurance = Highest Total Annual Rehabilitation Cost x Percentage Required)

(A1-2) The financial assurance is to remain in force until the administering authority is satisfied that no claim on the assurance is likely.

NOTE: Where progressive rehabilitation is completed and acceptable to the administering authority, progressive reductions to the amount of financial assurance will be applicable where rehabilitation has been completed in accordance with the acceptance criteria defined within this environmental authority.

Maintenance of measures, plant and equipment

(A2-1) The environmental authority holder must ensure:

a) that all measures, plant and equipment necessary to ensure compliance with the conditions of this environmental authority are installed;

b) that such measures, plant and equipment are maintained in a proper condition; and

c) that such measures, plant and equipment are operated in a proper manner.

Monitoring

(A3-1) Record, compile and keep for a minimum of five years all monitoring results required by this environmental authority and make available for inspection all or any of these records upon request by the administering authority.

(A3-2) Where monitoring is a requirement of this environmental authority, ensure that a competent person(s) conducts all monitoring.
Storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids

(A4-1) Spillage of all flammable and combustible liquids must be contained within an on-site containment system and controlled in a manner that prevents environmental harm (other than trivial harm) and maintained in accordance with Section 5.8 of AS 1940 - Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids of 2004.

Definitions

(A5-1) Words and phrases used throughout the environmental authority are defined in the Definitions section at the end of the Environmental Authority. Where a definition for a term used in the environmental authority is sought and the term is not defined within the environmental authority, the definitions in the Environmental Protection Act 1994, its Regulations and Environmental Protection Policies must be used.

Notification of Emergencies, Incidents and Exceptions

(A6-1) All reasonable actions are to be taken to minimise environmental harm, or the risk thereof, resulting from any emergency, incident or circumstances not in accordance with the conditions of this environmental authority.

(A6-2) As soon as practicable after becoming aware of any emergency, incident or information about circumstances which results or may result in environmental harm not in accordance with the conditions of this environmental authority, the administering authority must be notified in writing.

(A6-3) Not more than ten (10) business days following the initial notification of an emergency, incident or information about circumstances which result or may result in environmental harm, written advice must be provided to the administering authority in relation to:

a) proposed actions to prevent a recurrence of the emergency or incident;
b) the outcomes of actions taken at the time to prevent or minimise environmental harm; and

c) proposed actions to respond to the information about circumstances which result or may result in environmental harm.

(A6-4) As soon as practicable, but not more than six (6) weeks following the conduct of any environmental monitoring performed in relation to the emergency or incident, which results in the release of contaminants not in accordance, or reasonably expected to be not in accordance with the conditions of this environmental authority, written advice must be provided of the results of any such monitoring performed to the administering authority.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE A
3.3 Air Quality

3.3.1 Background
Dust is the main potential air contaminant to be emitted from Caval Ridge Mine. Pollutants such as SO₂, NOₓ and volatile organic compounds are potential air contaminants associated with the combustion of diesel fuels at the mining site but are considered to be emitted in insufficient levels to result in measureable adverse air quality impacts at nearby sensitive locations.

Greenhouse gas emissions from the project are not considered as air contaminants but contribute to anthropogenic climate change. Greenhouse pollutants considered in this assessment are carbon dioxide (CO₂), Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O). These are converted to a CO₂-equivalent for evaluation of the whole site inventory and comparison to Australian emissions from all sectors.

The project site is situated within a rural setting, with a number of coal mines currently operating in the area. Background measurements of dust deposition do not suggest that current dust levels exceed those expected as a result of normal agricultural activity, unsealed roads or natural occurring phenomena such as bushfires or dust storms. The prevailing wind direction is from the south-east and thus, relative to the project site, blows in the direction of Moranbah. Sensitive receptors near the project site comprise residences north of the mine, locations in southern Moranbah, and homesteads to the east and west of the mine (Figure 3.3.1).

The following existing sources contribute to particulate emissions in the vicinity of the project site:
- Nearby coal mines including Peak Downs Mine
- Coal seam gas projects
- Dust generated from agricultural activities such as cropping and grazing
- Quarrying
- Smoke from bushfires and controlled burns
- Motor vehicle emissions from roads.

Background concentrations of dust (Table 3.1) were estimated in the project EIS using:
- Continuous PM₁₀ monitoring data obtained at the Caval Ridge monitoring site; and
- Dust deposition measurements made by Peak Downs Mine on the Caval Ridge Mine site. These measurements were influenced by operations from Peak Downs Mine but were sufficiently remote from active mining operations that they are considered appropriate to represent ambient air quality at sensitive receptor locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Quality Indicator</th>
<th>Averaging Time</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particles as PM₁₀</td>
<td>24 hour</td>
<td>18.8 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles as PM₂,₅</td>
<td>24 hour</td>
<td>2.9 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>1.6 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>26.2 µg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust deposition</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1.5 g/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2 Environmental Value
The environmental values of the air environment to be enhanced or protected are the qualities that make the air environment suitable for the life, health and wellbeing of humans.

3.3.3 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Value

3.3.3.1 Dust
The mining processes that will generate dust at the project are as follows:

- Creation of initial box cut which is the construction of Horse Pit.
- Pre-strip removal of overburden with the truck and shovel fleet and in pit dumping of overburden.
- Dragline removal of overburden, operating close to the bottom of each pit.
- Excavator removal of coal from the bottom of the pit and transfer to coal trucks.
- Drilling and blasting of overburden and coal seams.
- Dozers, shovels and graders as support to the draglines, truck and shovel fleet, on the overburden dumps and on the coal stockpiles.
- Box-cut spoil disposal area and in-pit dumps for dumping of overburden, grading of the surface and wind-generated dust prior to rehabilitation.
- Trucks on haul roads to transport ROM coal to the CHPP for processing, to transport overburden to the dumps and to transport the rejects from the CHPP for disposal in Horse Pit.
- ROM coal handling area, including dumping of coal, dozer operations, stacking and reclaiming ROM coal and coal crushing and sizing.
- ROM coal from the Southern ROM which is crushed at the Southern ROM receival station and transported by overland conveyor to the ROM coal stockpile.
- Product coal handling during reclaiming from the product coal stockpiles and due to wind erosion.
- Train load out entails the dumping of product coal into the rail wagons.

Results of the dispersion modelling suggest that air quality impacts due to construction activities in Year 1 are below the EPP(Air) objectives for TSP, PM$_{2.5}$ and dust deposition at residential locations. Operational impacts in Year 2 and Year 20 also satisfy the EPP (Air) objectives for TSP, PM$_{2.5}$ and dust deposition for typical operating conditions.

The dispersion modelling highlights the potential for PM$_{10}$ levels to exceed the EPP(Air) objective of 50 µg/m$^3$ for the 24-hour average concentration at some sensitive receptor locations for each of the Year 1, Year 2 and Year 20 scenarios modelled. A detailed investigation into modelled worst-case meteorological conditions highlights the strong dependence of the model results on the model default value of the mixing height which plays a key role in the calculation of night time impacts.

Impacts under worst-case short-term operating conditions, accounting for the possible proximity of key dust-generating equipment to either the north or south of each pit, show that high dust levels are possible to the north of the project under adverse meteorological conditions. An ambient air monitoring program has been developed that will monitor the impact of dust-generating emission sources at sensitive receptor locations. The information obtained from the monitoring program will feed into the operational management of site-based dust emission sources.
Estimated impacts for upset conditions, namely the failure of dust suppression measures on the haul roads, shows that high dust levels are predicted for locations to the north and west of the project. The occurrence of these upset conditions can be managed by BMA by ensuring that adequate dust suppression measures are maintained at all times.

### 3.3.3.2 Greenhouse Gases

The following sources would contribute to direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions from the project:

- Fugitive emissions of coal seam gas (CSG) from the open cut mining of coal;
- Fuel (diesel) consumption in heavy equipment and light vehicles;
- Combustion in explosives used in blasting;
- Electricity consumption in plant and machinery;
- Emissions from the end use of the coal in metallurgical uses as coking coal.

The National Greenhouse Accounts and site-specific data on coal seam gas content of the target coal seams were used to estimate the greenhouse gas emissions from the project.

In total, the project is estimated to result in approximately 11.1 Mt CO2-e of greenhouse gases over its life, or average 0.37 Mt CO2-e on an annual basis. The annual greenhouse gas emissions for the project represent 0.06% of Australia’s 2006 greenhouse gas emissions.

### 3.3.3.3 Spontaneous Combustion

The level of spontaneous combustion is low due to the low sulphur levels and low inherent moisture in the coal. In the event of a fire developing, the impact on air quality due to smoke or gases will be localised and temporary.

### 3.3.4 Environmental Protection Objective

The environmental protection objectives for air quality are:

- To minimise the impacts of mine-derived dust on sensitive receivers beyond the boundaries of the relevant mining leases, and
- To minimise greenhouse gas emissions.

### 3.3.5 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for air quality are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority
- Ambient air monitoring in accordance with the monitoring program outlined below
- The number of air quality complaints.

### 3.3.6 Air Quality Control Strategies

Dust mitigation for the operation of Caval Ridge Mine involves several elements to ensure adequate management of air quality in the vicinity of the mine, namely:

- Engineering control measures;
- Dust suppression measures;
- Rehabilitation of exposed surfaces; and
- Operational procedures.
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3.3.6.1 Engineering Control Measures
BMA has designed engineering control measures into the project where appropriate and technically possible. In particular, these control measures have been applied at the CHPP and include the following:

- Enclosure of transfer points and sizing stations;
- Roof on overland conveyors;
- Belt washing and belt scrapers to minimise dust from the return conveyors;
- Reduced drop height from stackers to stockpiles; and
- Enclosure of raw coal surge bins.

3.3.6.2 Dust Suppression Measures
Dust suppression measures primarily include the application of water to control dust emissions. The following measures will be implemented:

- Watering of haul roads to best-practice level of more than 2 litres/m²/hour of water applied.
- Watering of ROM stockpiles using water sprays and water cannons that are operated on timers. The use of timers avoids the potential for missing a scheduled watering operation. The timers can also be operated manually in particularly hot or windy conditions.
- Fogging system on outlets from transfer points and sizing stations.
- Water sprays on stacker/reclaimer units.
- High moisture content of product coal and reject material as they leave the CHPP which avoids the need for supplementary watering. Immediately after the coal is dewatered in the CHPP, the coal will be above the dust extinction moisture limit (the lower limit at which dust-prone materials will no longer create dust) and so will not be a source of dust.
- Train loadout to incorporate chemical reagent to be sprayed onto the surface of each loaded wagon. This will form a barrier that binds small dust particles together and prevents dust generation from the coal trains as they are transported from the project to the port.

In the event that adverse conditions are encountered during operation of the project, additional dust suppression measures will be implemented. The circumstances where this might be required include pre-strip and overburden dumping operations in the north of Horse Pit and during construction of the CHPP and associated infrastructure.

3.3.6.3 Rehabilitation of Exposed Surfaces
Rehabilitation of exposed surfaces will be undertaken progressively as mining and stockpiling activities are completed (time from disturbance to rehabilitated estimated at approximately 5 yrs), and will include the use of fast-growing temporary cover material to accelerate the effectiveness of dust controls.

3.3.6.4 Operational Procedures
The following operational procedures for the project will be implemented in order to meet targets for air quality performance:

- Use of water trucks to achieve sufficient watering of haul roads and other high-risk areas. The schedule for truck use will be developed for the project and will incorporate consideration of recent rainfall and weather conditions;
- Use of water sprays and foggers as directed, with additional use as determined by ambient conditions;
- Maintenance of water spray equipment and engineering controls to minimise dust emissions;
- Sufficient number of watering trucks to allow for continuation of dust suppression when one or more truck is out of service;
- Reduction or cessation of haul truck movements in the event of failure of dust control measures. This strategy will be undertaken in conjunction with data on ambient impacts and weather conditions;
- Monitoring of ambient air quality in the vicinity of the mine;
- Restrictions on pre-strip and overburden dumping in the north of Horse Pit for adverse weather conditions as assessed by visual inspection combined with on-site meteorological monitoring data;
- Restrictions on the co-location of pre-strip, overburden dumping, coal excavation and draglines in the north of Horse Pit for adverse weather conditions as assessed by visual inspection combined with on-site meteorological monitoring data;
- Implementation of an appropriate speed limit for light vehicles on unsealed roads;
- Manage topsoil stripping so that dust does not become a safety hazard or severe nuisance;
- Restrict land disturbance to that necessary for the operation and minimise the area of land disturbed at any one time;
- Implement a permit to disturb system; and
- Avoid burning cleared vegetation when wind is blowing towards sensitive receivers.

3.3.7 Greenhouse Gas Abatement

Greenhouse gas abatement for the project will be addressed through a combination of strategies
- Electrical efficiency.
- Diesel efficiency.
- Fugitive emissions.
- Blasting.
- Corporate Action.

Each strategy will be implemented in accordance with BMA’s HSEC management standards as published in Appendix S and updated regularly. The specific actions that are to be addressed at Caval Ridge Mine will form part of the site’s greenhouse gas management plan and energy conservation plan.

3.3.7.1 Electrical Efficiency

The project will be a large consumer of electricity, primarily through dragline usage and the CHPP. The following activities will be undertaken as part of the project to maximise electrical efficiency:
- Regular monitoring of electrical load on the draglines and investigation whenever the load falls outside optimal parameters;
- A regular program of bucket inspection and repair. Poorly maintained dragline buckets reduce the efficiency of each dragline load, increasing electricity required to move a tonne of overburden;
- Minimising the distance the dragline needs to swing the bucket load from its source to the dumping location;
- Undertaking 6-monthly electrical calibration checks on the draglines as per the manufacturers instructions;
- Use of high efficiency electrical motors throughout the mine site;
Use of variable speed-drive pumps with high-efficiency linings at the CHPP;
- Regular monitoring of the compressed air circuit so that leaks are repaired in a timely manner, so as to maximise the operating efficiency of the compressor;
- Maintaining light fittings to maximise light delivery; and
- Installing light-sensitive switches on haul road lights so that lights do not operate during the day.

3.3.7.2 Diesel Efficiency
Diesel consumption by on-site vehicles is a source of greenhouse gas emissions and the following activities will be undertaken as part of the project to minimise diesel use:
- Haul truck scheduling, routing and idling times will be optimised through the use of sophisticated satellite tracking software designed to minimise the amount of diesel consumed;
- Pit access ramps will be designed to limit the amount of effort required for fully-laden trucks to climb;
- Haul roads will be compacted to reduce rolling resistance;
- The location of ROM and overburden dumps will be optimised to limit the amount of distance haul trucks need to cover while fully laden; and
- Truck maintenance schedule, including tyre condition.

3.3.7.3 Fugitive Emissions
There is little that can be done to minimise fugitive CSG emissions from open-cut coal mines for the following reasons:
- The open cut coal is usually at insufficient depth to generate the required pressure for efficient CSG extraction;
- The large geographical area covered by open cut pits makes extraction of CSG and collection to a single point not possible; and
- Open cut pits have lower amounts of methane per tonne of coal due to the natural escape of methane from shallow coal seams.

3.3.7.4 Blasting
Control of greenhouse gas emissions from blasting cannot be achieved through energy efficiency measures, however, reductions in emissions will be achieved through optimising blasting operations to minimise rehandling of material, and use of waste oils or renewable alternatives for diesel in ANFO as appropriate.

3.3.7.5 Caval Ridge Mine Actions
Caval Ridge Mine will develop a site-specific greenhouse gas management plan and energy conservation plan that implements the commitments for greenhouse gas management in this EMP. The plan will include the following elements:
- Program of ongoing energy efficiency reduction and energy management.
- Consideration of the life cycle greenhouse gas implications as part of the HSEC assessment of resources, materials, processes and products.
- Energy efficiency and emission reduction targets to be established for Caval Ridge Mine and included in site and corporate monitoring and reporting.
- Fulfilling reporting obligations under the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Scheme and participation in the Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme when it is implemented.
3.3.7.6 Corporate Actions

In addition to the control strategies above, BMA has a number of greenhouse actions being undertaken at a corporate level which include:

- **Energy Excellence program** - a comprehensive program of energy efficiency improvement review and implementation in response to the EEO Act that identifies initiatives, and develops and implements processes that ensure energy efficiency and energy source substitution opportunities are integrated into mine planning and operations. Capital funding is available for internal projects that demonstrate an energy or greenhouse gas reduction component that might not otherwise be competitive.

- **Mine methane management** – a program where BMA has sought and received expressions of interest from gas operators to assist BMA in trialling coal seam methane recovery and utilisation.

- **Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme** - BMA support the introduction of the Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme as an article of Australia’s leadership role in the pursuit of comprehensive international climate change response measures and an important policy tool for achieving greenhouse gas reductions at least cost to the Australian economy.

- **Greenhouse gas and energy reduction targets** - BMA has committed to a corporate-wide energy and greenhouse gas emissions intensity reduction target of 0.3% and 5.6% respectively by 2012.

- **BMA supports the efforts of employees and local communities through raising awareness of energy use and greenhouse gas emissions and project support to reduce emissions.**

3.3.8 Monitoring

3.3.8.1 Air Quality

Air quality monitoring will be undertaken in accordance with Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule B – Air, below. The air quality management strategy for the site includes the use of dust monitoring equipment that can assist in determining the effectiveness of dust control strategies. Data obtained from the monitoring program will be used to identify potential air quality issues related to the operational management of mining activities at the Project site. The data will aid in the identification of key dust-emission source(s) and will allow BMA to develop targeted and effective mitigation measures than can be incorporated into the operational procedures for the daily management of dust impacts.

A three stage program will be undertaken:

**Stage 1**

- Dust deposition monitoring at ten nearby receptor locations on a 30 ± 2 day cycle;

- Monitoring of PM$_{10}$ at one location for a minimum of one year of mining operations (the requirement for additional monitoring of PM$_{10}$ will be reviewed after the initial one year monitoring of operational mining);

- Monitoring of the meteorological parameters of wind speed and wind direction at two locations.

**Stage 2**

Stage 2 will be implemented at any and all sites for which there is an exceedence of the EPA dust deposition goal of 4 g/m$^2$/month for two consecutive months$^1$. For all sites(s) for which there have been exceedences of

$^1$ Note that the correlation in data obtained from the co-located dust deposition and PM$_{10}$ monitoring site (Stage 1) will be used to assess the use of the 4 g/m$^2$/month criteria as a suitable trigger value for the initiation of Stage 2 monitoring. Based on the findings of the Stage 1 monitoring program, this 4 g/m$^2$/month trigger value may be adjusted downwards.
the EPA dust deposition goal of 4 g/m²/month for two consecutive months, one month of continuous monitoring of PM₁₀ using a method approved in consultation with the EPA will be conducted. The one month period is to coincide with the 30 day cycle of the dust deposition monitoring.

Stage 3

- Stage 3 monitoring will be implemented if there is an exceedence of the EPA guideline of 4 g/m²/month for a period of 4 consecutive months. The need to implement Stage 3 monitoring will result from the inability of the Stage 2 monitoring program to isolate and mitigate problematic dust emission source(s).
- Continuation of Stage 2 monitoring.
- An air quality specialist will be commissioned to conduct a site-based Dust Audit, review the suitability of the site-based monitoring program and provide recommendations.

Caval Ridge Mine will also:

- Investigate all complaints about dust promptly and take appropriate action to reduce dust nuisance;
- Maintain a register of dust complaints;
- Review dust monitoring data to identify trends and implement corrective actions if necessary; and
- Prepare annual summaries of the dust measurements around Moranbah that will be available to the community.

3.3.9 Commitments

- The project will achieve and maintain the level of dust control outlined in the EIS.
- The project will meet the Ambient Air Monitoring program requirements.
- The project will investigate all substantiated dust complaints.
- The project will implement corrective action resulting from complaints investigations as required.
- All monitoring and sampling techniques will be consistent with the DERM’s Air Quality Sampling Manual and applicable Australian Standards.

3.3.10 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule B – Air

Dust Nuisance

(B1-1) Subject to Conditions (B1-2) and (B1-3) the release of particulate matter resulting from mining activity must not cause an environmental nuisance, at any sensitive or commercial place.

(B1-2) When requested by the administering authority, dust and particulate monitoring must be undertaken within 14 days to investigate any complaint (which is neither frivolous nor vexatious nor based on mistaken belief in the opinion of the authorised officer) of environmental nuisance at any sensitive or commercial place, and the results must be notified to the administering authority within 14 days of completion of monitoring.

(B1-3) If the environmental authority holder can provide evidence through monitoring that the following limits are not being exceeded then the holder is not in breach of (B1-1):

A concentration of particulate matter in exceedance of the background dust levels, with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10 micrometre (µm) (PM₁₀) suspended in the atmosphere of 50 micrograms per cubic metre over a 24 hour averaging time at a sensitive or commercial place downwind of the operational land, when monitored in accordance with;
- particulate matter - Determination of suspended particulate PM$_{10}$ high-volume sampler with size-selective inlet; or
- gravimetric method, when monitored in accordance with AS 3580.9.6 Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air; or
- determination of suspended particulate matter - (PM$_{10}$) high volume sampler with size-selective inlet - Gravimetric method of 1990; or
- any other monitoring method accepted in writing by the EPA. The administering authority will respond in writing the acceptance of other monitoring method proposed in writing by the environmental holder for the investigation of dust nuisance by 10 business days on receiving the information. If the administering authority fails to respond by the 10 business days the proposed methodology is considered to be approved. If a further information request is issued by the administrative authority within the 10 business day period of the initial authority holder submission, the decision period does not come into effect until 10 business days after the response of the requested additional information is received.

(B1-4) If monitoring indicates exceedance of the relevant limits in Condition (B1-3), then the environmental authority holder must:

a) address the complaint including the use of appropriate dispute resolution if required; or

b) as soon as reasonably practicable, implement dust abatement measures so that emissions of dust from the activity do not result in further environmental nuisance.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE B
3.4 Water Resources
3.4.1 Background

3.4.1.1 Surface Water Resources

The project site covers tributary streams of the Isaac River in the headwaters of the greater Fitzroy River catchment. The area is divided by a relatively indistinct ridgeline dividing two watersheds as follows:

- The northern watershed includes Horse Creek and tributaries; and
- The southern watershed includes Nine Mile Creek, Cavall Creek, Harrow Creek, Cherwell Creek and tributaries.

Within the project site, Nine Mile Creek and Cavall Creek flow into Cherwell Creek, and Harrow Creek flows into Cherwell Creek downstream of the project site, before flowing into the Isaac River. Horse Creek flows into Grosvenor Creek (Isaac River tributary) downstream of the project site.

The project site is approximately 65 km² and approximately 50% of the area drains to Horse Creek, the remainder draining to Nine Mile Creek, Cavall Creek, Cherwell Creek, Harrow Creek and their tributaries. The infrastructure area is drained by Cavall, Nine Mile and Cherwell Creeks which then flow into the Isaac River.

All watercourses and tributaries within the project site are ephemeral watercourses. Periods of flow are generally short and limited to periods during and immediately after rainfall. Mean monthly flows are significantly higher in the wet season between January and March than for the rest of the year, reflecting the higher rainfall totals through this period. Any flow within these creeks is rare during the dry season. There is no evidence of significant contribution to stream flows from groundwater sources. Figure 3.4.1 shows the surface water resources around the project site.

Cherwell Creek
Cherwell Creek, a tributary of the Isaac River, is the most significant watercourse within the project site (Figure 3.4.1). The Cherwell Creek catchment begins in the Cherwell Range and Denham Ranges at an elevation of 380 m (west of the project site) and flows downstream to join the Isaac River at an elevation of 200 m (east of the project site). Cherwell Creek is approximately 65 km long to the Isaac River junction with a catchment area of approximately 750 km². The catchment is susceptible to the impacts of agricultural land use activities, such as increase runoff, scouring, erosion and unstable creek banks.

Cherwell Creek has previously been diverted (in 1991 and 1995) to enable Peak Downs Mine to access coal reserves with open cut pit mining. Both diversions replaced meandering sections of creek floodplain, terraces, benches, low flow channel, active channel, and high flow channel features with relatively straight alignment and trapezoidal channel. The designs were developed in accordance with best practice at the time, which preceded current best practice design guidelines (ACARP 2000). The Cherwell Creek diversions were subject to erosion (channel widening and deepening) and failure of stream stabilisation structures. In 2000, rehabilitation works were undertaken on the diversions.

Horse Creek
Horse Creek, a tributary of Grovenor Creek, flows in a north, north-easterly direction through the northern watershed of the project site. Horse Creek is approximately 15 km long to the junction with Grovenor Creek with a catchment area of approximately 57 km². Horse Creek will be diverted as part of the project. The
works (including a clean water diversion upstream of Horse Creek) will divert Horse Creek flows adjacent to the haul road that runs along the length of the proposed open cut pit and along the mining lease boundary. The haul road will act as a bund to protect the open cut pit from flooding during larger events.

**Nine Mile Creek**

Nine Mile Creek, a tributary of Cherwell Creek, flows in a south-easterly direction through the project site before joining Cherwell Creek. Nine Mile Creek catchment area is approximately 72 km² at the junction with Cherwell Creek.

**Harrow Creek**

Harrow Creek, a tributary of Cherwell Creek, flows along the southern boundary of the project site. Harrow Creek catchment area is approximately 223 km² at the junction with Cherwell Creek. Harrow Creek was previously diverted in 1984 to allow for the expansion of open cut coal mining. Harrow Creek diversion comprises a constructed section of approximately 1 km in length and is bounded by the open cut Heyford pit to the west and the Harrow pit to the east. Works have been undertaken as a staged process, with Phase 1 involving lengthening of the upstream channel and Phase 2 involving the rehabilitation of the existing diversion.

**Caval Creek**

Caval Creek, a minor tributary of Cherwell Creek and previously unnamed, flows in an easterly direction before joining Cherwell Creek. Caval Creek catchment area is approximately 15 km² at the junction of Cherwell Creek. Caval Creek will also be diverted, with works undertaken in two stages. Stage 1 Caval Creek diversion is a partial diversion of Caval Creek around the product stockpile and rejoining Caval Creek further downstream (this includes a clean water diversion upstream of Caval Creek). Future Stage Caval Creek diversion (combined with Stage 1 Caval Creek diversion) is a complete diversion of Caval Creek, around the proposed pit location and perpendicular to the CHPP, joining Cherwell Creek further downstream than the existing location.

### 3.4.1.2 Surface Water Environmental Values

The Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 1997 (EPP Water) and Environmental Protection (Water) Amendment Policy (No. 1) 2008 (EPP Water Amendment), established under the Queensland *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, are intended to protect and/or enhance the suitability of Queensland’s waters for various beneficial uses. The policy and amendment identifies environmental values (EVs) for waters within Queensland and guides the setting of water quality objectives to protect the EVs of any water resource. The location of the project is outside those areas described in Schedule 1 of the EPP (Water) 1997 and EPP (Water) Amendment 2008. The EPP (Water) 1997 and EPP (Water) Amendment 2008, states that for waters not listed in Schedule 1 the EVs to be enhanced or protected are the following qualities:

- For high ecological value waters – the biological integrity of an aquatic ecosystem that is effectively unmodified or highly valued;
- For slightly to moderately disturbed waters – the biological integrity of an ecosystem that is affected adversely to a relatively small but measurable degree by human activity;
- For highly disturbed waters – the biological integrity of an aquatic ecosystem that is measurably degraded and of lower ecological value than waters mentioned above;
- Suitability for:
– Primary recreational use;
– Secondary recreational use;
– Visual recreational use;

• Suitability for minimal treatment before supply as drinking water;
• Suitability for agricultural use;
• Suitability for aquacultural use;
• Suitability for producing aquatic food for human consumption;
• Suitability for industrial use; and
• The cultural and spiritual values of the water.

Within the project area there are three named watercourses and various minor tributaries that area subject to protection under the EPP Water, however, specific EVs for Cherwell Creek, Nine Mile Creek, Harrow Creek, Caval Creek and Horse Creek are not defined within the EPP Water and there are no detailed local plans relating to EVs for the catchment. The general EVs relevant to Cherwell Creek, Nine Mile Creek, Harrow Creek, Caval Creek and Horse Creek comprise:

• The biological integrity of a modified aquatic ecosystem; and
• Suitability for use in primary industries (namely stock watering and farm use).
3.4.1.3 Surface Water Quality

Guidelines
The Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council Guidelines 2000 (ANZECC) provide guideline values or descriptive statements for environmental values to protect aquatic ecosystems and human uses of waters (e.g. primary recreation, human drinking water, agriculture, stock watering). The ANZECC Guidelines are a broad scale assessment and it is recommended that, where applicable, locally relevant guidelines are adopted.

The Queensland EPAs Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006 (QWQG) are intended to address the need identified in the ANZECC Guidelines by:

- Providing guideline values that are specific to Queensland regions and water types; and
- Providing a process/framework for deriving and applying local guidelines for waters in Queensland (i.e. more specific guidelines than those in the ANZECC).

Relevant water quality objectives for the project site were identified from QWQG to support and protect different environmental values for waters in the upper Fitzroy River Catchment. The physico-chemical indicators were obtained from the Central Coast Region upland stream values. Salinity guidelines were obtained from Appendix G of the QWQG.

Data
The existing water quality of the watercourses and downstream receiving environment of the Caval Ridge project site was assessed to characterise the baseline water quality conditions. The assessment was based on results gathered from three sources:

- Water quality monitoring activities undertaken in January, February and March 2008. Samples were taken at six different sites during periods of flow following rain events in:
  - Cherwell Creek;
  - Nine Mile Creek; and
  - Horse Creek and its tributaries (Figure 3.4.2).
- Water quality data sourced from BMA for January 2007 through May 2008. Sites sampled by BMA comprised Cherwell Creek and Harrow Creek.
- Water quality data was obtained from DNRW at Isaac River at Deverill gauging station located upstream of the project site (sampling events from 1964 to 2000) (Figure 3.4.2).

Results
The assessment of water quality indicated that turbidity values were above the QWQG water quality objectives at Isaac River gauging station and at the majority of the upstream and downstream sites. Dissolved Oxygen (DO) levels were analysed only at Isaac River gauging station and were found to be below the water quality objectives. DO should preferably be sampled during and immediately after flow events. Water within stagnant pools can naturally experience low DO values and it is unknown how the river was flowing at the time of sampling. pH results indicated slight exceedance of the QWQG water quality objectives for upland streams in the central coast region. The median electrical conductivity (EC) as a measure of salinity was within the water quality objectives at the majority of sampled sites.
The results of the assessment indicate that total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorous (TP) exceed the water quality objectives at all sites. As these sites are located on cleared land for cattle grazing it is expected that these elevated nutrient values are a result of manure, fertiliser and a lack of riparian vegetation buffering and filtering the runoff into the watercourses. Upstream land-uses may also be contributing to these concentrations. The inorganic N (NH₄) concentrations were substantially lower than the organic N concentration which indicates a high proportion of nitrogen coming from organic sources.

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs) were tested for and broken down into specific fractions. The surrogate extraction levels for the TPHs were all within the control limits. The median metal values obtained were compared to ANZECC trigger values for toxicants at 95% level of protection for freshwater aquatic species. Besides slightly elevated aluminium levels at Harrow Creek and Cherwell Creek, all other concentrations were within the QWQG.

### 3.4.1.4 Surface Water Uses

The land surrounding the project is dominated by agricultural grazing and other mining operations. The primary industry values for Cherwell Creek, Harrow Creek, Caval Creek, Nine Mile Creek and Horse Creek are for stock watering, farm use and industrial use. Industrial users of surface water resources in the surrounding area include Peak Downs Mine and Kalari Workshop. Peak Downs Mine is currently licensed to extract 230 ML per annum from Harrow Creek, but is not currently licensed to extract water from Cherwell Creek. Kalari Workshop is not currently licensed to extract water from Horse Creek, and it is unlikely that a sustainable water supply could be provided from this source. The watercourses within the project site are ephemeral in nature and this provides seasonal habitat for aquatic fauna and flora.
3.4.1.5 Aquatic Ecology

Aquatic habitats within the project site consist of natural streams and drainage lines, predominantly associated with Cherwell Creek, but also Horse Creek and Nine-mile Creek, and a number of artificial waterbodies in the form of mine and farm dams, including the modified (dammed) channel of Harrow Creek. All natural drainage lines occurring within the project site are ephemeral, as indicated by deep sandy stream beds and an absence of aquatic (and often riparian) vegetation, with the frequency of flows expected to be considerably reduced and restricted to periods of heavy rainfall, while the artificial dams contain water throughout the year.

No aquatic fauna of special conservation significance were recorded during recent or previous surveys of the project site and immediate surrounds. The ephemeral nature of the natural drainage lines and their substrate within the project site mean that flows of any substance are likely to be restricted to periods of, and immediately after, heavy rainfall. Given the ephemeral nature of the local drainage system, most of the aquatic species within the vicinity of the project site are wide ranging and capable of withstanding a wide range of aquatic conditions.

3.4.1.6 Groundwater Resources

The project is located on the relatively undisturbed western limb of the northern Bowen Basin which overlies the Collinsville Shelf (part of the Clermont Block) in the area. The Bowen Basin in the area is characterised by a relatively thin accumulation of sediments, gentle easterly dips and minor to moderate deformation. Regionally, the stratigraphic sequence is summarised as follows: the Permo-Triassic sediments of the Bowen Basin are overlain by a veneer of unconsolidated Quaternary alluvium and colluvium, poorly consolidated Tertiary sediments and, in places, remnants of Tertiary basalt flows. The Moranbah Coal Measures, which contain the coal seams proposed to be extracted by the project, conformably overlie the German Creek Formation and are conformably overlain by the Fort Cooper Coal Measures.

The main factors influencing natural groundwater levels are groundwater recharge, evapotranspiration, and regional flow patterns. The low number of groundwater wells in the area indicates that groundwater extraction is unlikely to have had a significant impact on historical regional groundwater levels. On a time-frame of years and decades, land-use and land-cover changes may have significantly altered the natural water-balance and groundwater levels. The typical impact in Australia has been a tendency towards deforestation and greater net recharge and therefore higher water-tables.

The depth to water in monitoring wells on-site in the Quaternary alluvium aquifer during this investigation was typically less than 15 metres below ground level (mbgl). The depth to water on site in the Tertiary basalt aquifer was less than 30 mbgl. Depth to groundwater for the Tertiary sediment is likely to be similar to the depth to groundwater in the Quaternary alluvium and basalt aquifers due to the similar depth.

The groundwater flow direction is likely to be topographically controlled, flowing from higher elevations to lower elevations. The groundwater level in the Cherwell Creek alluvium falls from approximately 216 to 212 mAHSD as it traverses the site (Pz08-S to Pz07-S), indicating that groundwater will generally flow along the line of the creek. The groundwater level in the basalt in the north of the site falls from approximately 220 to 214 mAHSD (Pz03-S to PZ02) to the north. No data exist on the seasonal fluctuations of groundwater level within the Tertiary or Quaternary aquifers. However due to the shallow depth of these aquifers, they are expected to show a relatively rapid response to rainfall in areas where the coarser sediments or fractured basalt are exposed and no substantial clay barriers occur in the shallow sub-surface.
The groundwater flow direction in the coal seam aquifers north of Cherwell Creek appears to be from west to east across the site. This flow direction is consistent with recharge to the coal seams occurring at the subcrops in the west of the site. The flow direction has been altered locally with groundwater flow towards the existing mine pits in the Peak Downs Mine to the south of Cherwell Creek. The depth and confined nature of the Permian aquifers would likely result in a subdued response to recharge.

3.4.1.7 Groundwater Environmental Values

As discussed above for surface water, the Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 1997 (EPP Water 2000) identifies environmental values (EVs) for waters within Queensland and guides the setting of water quality objectives to protect the EVs of any water resource. The EVs include the biological integrity of the aquatic ecosystem and recreational, drinking water supply, agricultural and/or industrial uses.

The EV relating to groundwater in the vicinity of the project site is the availability and suitability of groundwater for agricultural use. The local area around the project has been cleared and used for agriculture, predominantly beef cattle grazing, since at least 1957. These farming practices modify the landscape, affecting the volume and rate of runoff, the flow characteristics of the creeks, and the recharge to groundwater. As such, the aquatic ecosystems of the area have been modified. Water available to ecosystems may include a mix of groundwater with soil water (unsaturated zone) and surface water. The depths to groundwater and the lack of springs or seeps in the area indicate that groundwater dependent ecosystems are not likely to exist in the vicinity of the project site. The vegetation species and regional soil/geology types suggest that the level of groundwater dependence is likely to be relatively low and vegetation is likely to be able to satisfy plant water requirements using retained soil moisture.

3.4.1.8 Groundwater Quality

The physico-chemical results from groundwater sampling at the project site (Figure 3.4.3) indicate the water chemistry is typically of near neutral pH for all geological formations. The coal seam and basalt formation groundwaters have a variable salinity level (measured as electrical conductivity), ranging from brackish to saline, while the alluvium groundwaters are fresh to brackish. Sodium is the dominant cation in the groundwater from all monitoring wells (apart from Pz07-S in the alluvium which is calcium dominant). The dominant anion is chloride in monitoring wells in the coal measures (Pz01, Pz03-D, Pz07-D, Pz08-D, Pz09, Pz10 and Pz11), basalt (Pz03-S) and alluvium (Pz08-S), while the dominant anion is bicarbonate in the other monitoring wells in the coal measures (Pz04, Pz05 and Pz06-D), basalt (Pz02 and Pz06-S) and alluvium (Pz07-S).

The existing concentrations of some dissolved metals (boron, chromium, copper, manganese, nickel, selenium and zinc) and nutrients (ammonia, total nitrogen and total phosphorous) in the groundwater are above the water quality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems (ANZECC 2000; Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006). Elevated concentrations of these elements in groundwaters in coal measures are not uncommon, and are considered to be naturally occurring.

The water quality from the monitoring wells indicates that in general, the water is unsuitable for human consumption as assessed against the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (2004). This is due to elevated levels of sulphate and some dissolved metals (manganese, nickel and selenium) in some of the groundwaters. The groundwaters also generally have elevated levels of salinity (>1000 mg/L) which are above the guideline for aesthetics based on unsatisfactory taste. Compared to the ANZECC (2000)
guidelines, groundwater present within the project site is generally useable for livestock drinking water. Some samples of groundwater contained slightly elevated level of sulphate and/or selenium and salinity levels above the upper guideline limit for beef cattle. The generally low sustainable yield of the water bores in the area precludes the usage and potential for usage of the groundwater as a source of irrigation water or water for aquaculture or the production of aquatic foods.

There are no known industrial users of groundwater within the local area as use for industry would be unsustainable due to the low sustainable yield of the aquifers. There are no groundwater springs or seeps that supply surface water bodies in the area that are used for recreational use. There are no groundwater springs or seeps that supply surface water bodies in the area that may have significant indigenous and/or non-indigenous cultural heritage.

3.4.1.9 Groundwater Users

In Queensland, a number of areas have been declared as subartesian areas under the Water Act 2000 which is administered by DNRW. The project site is within the Highlands Declared Subartesian Area and there is a requirement for all wells in this area to be licensed with an allocation by the DNRW for uses other than stock and domestic supply.

Local groundwater use is primarily for livestock watering purposes owing to the variable salinity levels and generally low yields. Thirteen groundwater bores have been installed and registered within a 10 km radius of the project site. Registered bores within the vicinity of the project site are presented on Figure 3.4.3. Of the 13 groundwater bores installed, 9 have been installed for private use, and 4 have been installed by DNRW for groundwater monitoring and assessment. Of the nine bores installed for private use, none have been installed in the Moranbah Coal Measures, four have been installed in the Back Creek Group underlying the coal measures to the west of the site, four have been installed to unknown depth by Mitsubishi Gas Company (MGC) for coal seam gas exploration, and one (RN 103210) has been installed into the Fort Cooper Coal Measures overlying the Moranbah Coal Measures.
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3.4.2 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Values

3.4.2.1 Surface Water

Construction Activities

Construction activities at the project site have the potential to impact environmental values of surface water as a result of earthmoving activities, works adjacent to/within drainage lines, contaminant mobilisation, pollution, flooding, water supply, as follows:

- Sediment mobilised during construction activities (including those carried out within or adjacent to drainage lines and watercourses), may enter surface water runoff during rainfall events and discharge to watercourses leading to deleterious effects on water quality and aquatic habitats. Sediment exposed or generated during construction may also be blown by wind into surface water bodies and potentially dry up wet areas.

- Potential sources of on site pollution during the construction phase predominantly comprise diesel and other petroleum-based fuels and lubricants used by excavation and construction machinery. Contaminants may be mobilised through runoff from chemical storage areas, fuel oil storage areas, oil-filled transformer yard areas and general wash down areas. Contaminants may enter drainage lines and creeks, soak into the soil and enter the groundwater.

- Out-of-bank/flash flood rainfall events during construction can cause erosion of drainage lines and damage to erosion and sediment control infrastructure.

- A lack of water supply during the construction phase could result in inadequate dust suppression, soil compaction and wash down facilities, allowing sediment movement into neighbouring creeks, leading to deterioration in water quality.

Operational Activities

Operational activities have the potential to impact on environmental values of surface water as follows:

- Mining activities can lead to erosion and sediment mobilisation causing deleterious effects on downstream water quality and aquatic habitats. Activities include:
  - Open cut mining operations including topsoil stripping, blasting, overburden removal, handling, stockpiling;
  - CHPP operations including crushing and stockpiling;
  - Earthworks including construction of additional haul road, relocation of back access roads, new drainage, levees etc; and
  - Inadequate erosion protection in drains.

- Failure of the water management system could result in a non-compliant discharge of poor quality mine water to the environment, detrimentally impacting downstream receiving waters, ecosystems and landholders through changes in water quality, changes in flow regime, erosion and sedimentation at discharge points, and alteration of riparian and aquatic species.

- Caval and Horse Creeks will be diverted as part of the project. Construction of creek diversions will likely change channel flow velocities and stream power causing:
- Erosion of the new channel or upstream reaches sufficient to alter creek channel form (shape and plan alignment) in the alluvial sections.
- Sedimentation downstream of the project, either from quantities of sediment mobilised from the new channel or by changed creek hydraulics as a result of the new channel.
- Changes in flood regime in Horse Creek, Grosvenor Creek and Cherwell Creek and in the vicinity of the mine and open cut pits.

- Out-of-bank/flash flood events during the operational phase of the project could result in inundation of the open cut mining pits due to inadequate containment capacity of the designed floodplain. With the existing creek network and proposed diversion channels, some pit inundation is expected to occur for 100 year annual recurrence interval (ARI) flood events and higher.

3.4.2.2 Groundwater

Construction and Operational Activities

Regional Groundwater Levels

The project site is within the declared Highlands Subartesian Management area. Thirteen bores are registered with DNRW as being located within 10 km of the site boundary.

Groundwater ingress into the pits will not be significant, as indicated by inflow in the Peak Downs Mine. Ingress into the pits will cause drawdown around the pits, which in turn causes regional groundwater levels to lower as seen around the existing Heyford Pit. Following the cessation of mining, groundwater will continue to discharge to the final voids until water levels within the surrounding aquifers recover to an equilibrium with the new hydrological regime.

An estimate of groundwater inflows, and thus dewatering / discharge requirements, was made based on the vertical hydrogeology within the Permian formation. It can be divided into three main zones:

- Zone 1 – the upper weathered overburden which based on drilling results is assumed to act as an aquitard;
- Zone 2 – the interburden sandstone and siltstone which has a permeability an order of magnitude lower than the coal seams and is estimated to be up to 150 m thick; and
- Zone 3 – the coal seams with a coalesced thickness of up to 30 m.

As the pit depth increases, the inflow rate into the pit void increases. The estimated hydraulic conductivity (k) values utilised for the three zones indicates that the combined ingress of groundwater to the bottom of the pits, some 180 m below surface, will be ± 27,900 m³/day (up to ± 96,600 m³/day) for the Horse Pit and ± 22,300 m³/day (up to ± 78,800 m³/day) for the Heyford Pit. These ingress rates equate to ± 2 m³/day (up to ± 7 m³/day) per metre of the circumference of both the Horse Pit and Heyford Pit. The mine pits are located in or close to the outcrop of the coal seams such that ingress to the pit from upgradient of the pit will be negligible, and that the seepage face on pit walls will be above the base of the pit, which will decrease the expected ingress into the pits by at least a half of that estimated. Seepage into the pits will be collected in in-pit sumps and used for dust suppression or as process water where suitable.

The radius of influence of the drawdown of the groundwater level (distance to negligible drawdown) is also calculated to extend up to approximately 800 m down dip from the high wall and along strike from the end wall of the pits. However, the mine pits are located in the recharge area of the coal seams such that
recharge to the coal seams will be reduced, which will have an additional impact on the extent of drawdown of groundwater levels. The extent of the radius of influence of the current Heyford Pit extends approximately 1,800 m from the highwall. The radius of influence of the project pits is expected to be in the order of 1,800 m, taking into account the reduction of recharge to the coal measures.

The cumulative impact of the Peak Downs Mine and the proposed Caval Ridge Mine will be to superimpose the drawdown of each mine along strike, resulting in a greater drawdown between the mines. No groundwater users were identified between the mines. The drawdown of the mines down-gradient of each mine will be as a result of that particular mine such that there will be no cumulative impact of drawdown on groundwater levels.

Permian, Quarternary and Tertiary Aquifers

The groundwater wells identified on neighbouring properties are greater than 2 km from the site, thus it is anticipated that the proposed mine activities and subsequent groundwater drawdown will not have a significant impact on the regional groundwater users of the Permian aquifers.

All creeks within the study area are ephemeral and there are no perennial water holes or groundwater dependant environments present. Under dry season conditions, groundwater does not contribute to surface water flow within these creeks. In exceptionally wet years it is possible that the Quaternary alluvium and shallow Tertiary aquifers may contribute some groundwater to the surface water system along water courses. The drawdown of the potentiometric surface of the Permian strata aquifers during mining is unlikely to have an impact on these discharges as the shallow aquifers sit above, and are generally poorly connected to, the aquifers below.

If the pits encounter the Quaternary alluvium, pit inflow will occur. Due to their shallow depth and lack of continuity and thickness, the Quaternary alluvium is not considered a significant aquifer. However, during periods of creek flow, the alluvium may become fully saturated and discharge to the pits.

Based on the heterogeneity and discontinuous nature of the Tertiary basalt, it is anticipated that the proposed mine activities will not have a significant impact on the isolated areas of basalt. No regional groundwater users of the Tertiary basalt aquifers were identified.

Groundwater Quality

The groundwater quality of the Permian strata is brackish to brine and not suitable for human consumption or irrigation, but has some use for stock water (according to the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (2004) and Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (2000).

During mining operations, water quality within aquifers surrounding the project site is expected to remain the same as pre-mining water quality for these aquifers. No change in water quality during mining operations (as compared to pre-mining) is expected for the following reasons:

- During mining operations, groundwater will be continually extracted from the pit to ensure a safe working environment within the pit. Extraction of groundwater from the pit will create a depression in the potentiometric surface at this location, and groundwater surrounding the mine pit will travel towards this depression. The net movement of groundwater towards the pit during mine operation will prevent the
movement of potentially poorer quality water (that may have been impacted by mining) from moving away from the mine operation area and into the surrounding aquifers.

- Aquifers outside of the mine pit area will continue to receive recharge via the same processes that occurred pre-mining.

Groundwater quality data also suggests that groundwater in the alluvial aquifers and basalt are of similar or better quality compared to the Moranbah Coal Measures with respect to major ions and metals. Hence any inadvertent mixing of groundwater (during mining) by downward movement from the upper to lower aquifers is unlikely to result in a deterioration of water quality in either aquifer but lead to an improvement in water quality in the deeper aquifers.

During mine operation, water quality within aquifers surrounding the mine pit will continue to be suitable for the same purposes applicable during the pre-mining period.

A geochemical assessment undertaken for the project site indicates that not only are almost all mineral waste materials (overburden and CHPP rejects) non-acid forming (NAF), but the high acid neutralising capacity (ANC) of many of the samples combined with the very low sulphur concentrations, indicates there would be excess alkalinity to buffer the small quantity of acid that could potentially be produced by a very small proportion of the likely mineral waste materials. As the direction of groundwater flow is expected to be towards the pit, the buffering capacity of the groundwater is expected to neutralise any oxidation products of the coal seams due to mine dewatering, and any potential for the development of acid mine drainage is low.

The geochemical assessment found that the water extracts from all composite samples of mineral waste have soluble metal concentrations below applied ANZECC (2000) values for livestock drinking water. It also found that the electrical conductivity (EC) of the materials is moderate to high, ranging from 388 to 1,970 µS/cm (median 679 µS/cm), and is similar for both overburden and potential rejects. This range of electrical conductivity is comparable to the low end of salinity found in the groundwater monitoring wells (351 to 1,861 µS/cm in the alluvium) and indicates that initial water solubility of these materials with respect to salinity in mineral waste materials from the project may contribute some salt load to the shallow groundwater through seepage from the waste or CHPP.

Other Impacts
Compression of the ground surface associated with the construction of roads and building foundations is not expected to greatly alter the permeability of strata immediately beneath the site, and as such will not markedly hinder the recharge of the underlying aquifers. Subsurface construction can cause groundwater flow to be impeded and pressure heads to build up on the up-gradient area and reduced down-gradient. Pressure head relief engineering solutions will be utilised in subsurface constructions, where required.

During mining, mobile and stationary machinery including excavators, cranes, trucks and other vehicles will be required. There is potential for hydrocarbon contamination of the soil associated with leaks or spills from this machinery (or fuel storage areas for the maintenance of machinery). Dissolved and free-phase hydrocarbon may impact on the shallow aquifers underlying and down-gradient of areas of fuel spillage.

Post Mining
The main features of the final landform after mining ceases will consist of overburden dumps to the west, and final voids in the east. The final voids will collect and accumulate water from groundwater ingress through the walls of the final void and from areas of backfill material, direct rainfall into the void and from overland
surface flows from the slopes of the waste dumps draining into the void. Typically, the final void will contain long-term water levels and water quality dependent on a number of inter-related hydrological and geochemical processes.

A final void study will be undertaken towards the end of mine life to determine backfill and contouring requirements for the final voids, the hydrological regime of the final voids, and the expected water quality of the final voids. Areas of backfill within the pits will have a higher porosity and permeability than the pre-existing Permian strata, forming unconsolidated and unconfined aquifers. These aquifers will be recharged by rainfall and overland flow, and may interact through lateral flow with the adjacent Permian strata aquifers and the final voids.

**Regional Groundwater Levels**

After mining is completed, the groundwater system will re-adjust to the new aquifer conditions surrounding the mined area. Water levels/pressures within the regional aquifers will over time attain a new equilibrium level. This new equilibrium for the groundwater system will have a different potentiometric surface from that which was present pre-mining owing to the presence of final voids in the east of the mined area and the different hydrogeological parameters of the backfill material.

Water levels in the pit void will determine whether the void will act as a net groundwater source (if final void water levels are high relative to groundwater levels surrounding the void) or act as a net groundwater sink (if final void water levels are low relative to groundwater levels surrounding the void). Given that the climate of the area is semi-arid, a final void water level will form but the evaporative demand will result in the void behaving as a groundwater sink. Continued evaporation will also produce a rising TDS concentration. This is likely to result in residual drawdown immediately surrounding the final void area when the potentiometric surface reaches the new equilibrium level. In the Moranbah Coal Measures, drawdown of the potentiometric surface close to the final voids at the cessation of mining is likely to begin to recover immediately following cessation of mining. This initial rise in the potentiometric surface close to the pits is related to the likely rise in the water levels within the final voids as dewatering from in-pit sumps is stopped.

In contrast, outside the immediate vicinity of the final void, the potentiometric surface is likely to continue to fall in the near term following cessation of mining as the groundwater system adjusts to new regional aquifer conditions. This drop in water level at distances away from the final voids (post-mining) occurs as a result of a flattening of the regional hydraulic gradient, as the groundwater system moves towards its new equilibrium state.

**Groundwater Quality**

A rise in the final void water salinities may result from evaporative concentration processes, and from atmospheric weathering of excavated exposed bedrock. Although water quality in the final void is expected to deteriorate over time, this deterioration in water quality is not expected to impact the surrounding aquifers as the voids are expected to operate locally as a groundwater sink (i.e. groundwater flow will be toward the void), so that water within the void will not recharge the groundwater system unless water levels in the void rise above existing groundwater levels in the coal seams. Geochemical analysis in the Moranbah Coal Measures lithology show the overburden, coal rejects, and fine tailings have low acid generation potential, and therefore there is a low risk that metals will be mobilised from overburden and co-disposal dumps. Post-mining water quality within all aquifers surrounding the project site is expected to remain the same as pre-mining water quality.
3.4.3 Environmental Protection Objectives

The environmental protection objectives for water are to:

- Protect the biological integrity of the disturbed surface water aquatic ecosystem;
- Ensure the project does not detrimentally impact on the suitability of surface water for agricultural use; and
- Ensure the project does not detrimentally impact on the availability and suitability of groundwater for agricultural use.

3.4.4 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for water resources are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.
- Groundwater and surface water monitoring in accordance with the control strategies outlined below.
- The number of groundwater or surface water complaints from down gradient land users.

3.4.5 Control Strategies

3.4.5.1 Surface Water

Construction Activities

Earthworks

The following management and mitigation measures will be implemented to minimise potential impacts on the environmental values of surface water as a result of construction activities:

- The earthworks contractor will be required to prepare and implement a construction environmental management plan (containing a sediment and erosion control plan and water management plan) prior to the commencement of construction.
- Construction activities that will affect existing drainage lines and control measures will only be carried out after suitable stormwater management infrastructure has been installed on site. Temporary fill placed into the creeks for the purpose of construction or operational activities (e.g. dragline crossings) will be removed and the creek rehabilitated to existing condition or better.
- Areas of disturbed or exposed soil will be managed to minimise the loss of sediment, either through revegetation and/or use of other stabilisation techniques to control erosion and increase energy dissipation (e.g. bunding, matting, riprap and gabions). Drains will be protected from scouring where necessary.
- Stormwater controls and upstream water quality treatment with be implemented, such as infiltration devices (e.g. swales and buffer strips) and vegetation filters.
- Work will be concentrated in as small an area as possible and progressively expanded to minimise the amount of land disturbed at any one time.
- Specific construction activities will only be undertaken during the dry season. No clearing or topsoil removal work will be carried out during heavy rainfall.
- Stockpiling of topsoil and other material will be located away from watercourses. Usable topsoil will be stripped and stockpiled away from drainage lines to protect it from erosion.
- Vegetation disturbance will be carried out in accordance with a permit to disturb system and will be minimised, especially riparian vegetation. A minimum number of passes by heavy earth moving
equipment will also help to minimise erosion and dispersion of soils by the wind. Additionally, upon completion of works, revegetation using local species will take place wherever possible and as soon as practicable considering seasonal influences.

- Sediment control devices will be routinely inspected.
- Water proposed to be released from sediment basins to receiving waters will be tested for quality and ensured that it meets water quality objectives.
- Ensure there is an adequate supply of water for dust suppression.
- To limit sediment mobilisation, the existing sediment control structures (e.g. farm dams and Peak Downs Mine water management system) will be used.
- Vehicle crossings will be adequately designed for a range of flow conditions, including under road drainage. Traffic will be confined to maintained tracks and roads where possible.
- The temporary diversion of watercourses will be either by low flow diversion or coffer dam with pumping.
- Disturbance by heavy earth moving equipment will be minimised in riparian areas.
- All crossings will be in accordance with the DNRW Guideline – Activities in a watercourse, lake or spring carried out by an entity.

A Riverine Protection Permit is required for the Peak Downs Highway and as a minimum will require the following mitigation measures as conditions of the Riverine Protection Permit. Specific management measures and conditions relating to each watercourse will be established by DNRW:

- The area of disturbance must be no greater than the minimum area necessary for the purpose.
- The area of bed and banks disturbed by the activities must be stabilised regardless of previous stability.
- The extent and duration of bare surface exposure must be minimised, and protected from weathering, rain drop impact, and water runoff.
- Clean water run-off must be diverted around areas of disturbance where practicable.
- Bed and bank stability must be managed to minimise erosion and reduce sedimentation.
- Where practicable, sediment must be captured and retained on-site.
- Machinery to be used in carrying out the activities must be selected on the basis of a type and size necessary and capable of safe operation to achieve minimal disturbance of the site.
- Constructed drainage and discharge structures must not alter the natural bed and bank profile.

**Mobilisation of Contaminants**
The potential impacts of mobilisation of contaminants will be mitigated and managed through the following measures:

- Bunded storage areas for contaminants will be installed, with spill cleanup kits in accordance with Australian Standards (AS1940 and AS3780) to prevent the contamination of surrounding surface runoff.
- All transfers of fuels and chemicals will be controlled and managed to prevent spillage outside bunded areas.
- Any pollution mobilised in surface runoff, within the construction phase drainage network will be contained.
Any significant leakage/spillage will be immediately reported and appropriate emergency clean-up operations implemented to prevent possible mobilisation of contaminants.

Any rainfall collected in the bunded areas will be allowed to evaporate or be drained and removed to temporary construction sediment ponds.

Any contaminants or major spillages of stored material in the bunded areas will be collected by licensed waste collection and transport contractors for disposal off site at a licensed facility.

Waste water from wash down areas will be directed through oil and grease separators and the water directed to temporary construction ponds for re-use.

Separated hydrocarbon material will be collected and periodically removed offsite by licensed waste collection and transport contractors to a licensed recycling/disposal facility.

**Flooding and Test Water**

Where possible, major construction will be avoided during the wet season (November to February), especially within the floodplain. Additionally, stormwater management measures (requirement of the earthworks contractor) such as drainage diversions and bunding will be implemented before works occur. Emergency response procedures and flood forecasting will be incorporated into operating procedures.

The hydrostatic test water will be discharged to either an existing storage or newly constructed storage and reused during the remaining construction activities.

**Operational Activities**

The erosion and sediment control measures identified for construction activities above will also be implemented during operation of the mine where applicable. In addition, the following management and mitigation measures will be implemented for the operation of the project.

**Mine Water Management System**

The project will operate a mine water management system designed to contain dirty water and prevent discharge to receiving water environments and provide water supply for reuse within the CHPP, for industrial use and for haul road dust suppression. If there is insufficient water available to meet these demands, or the water available does not meet the water quality requirements of the demand, additional water supply is obtained from the Eungella-Bingegang pipeline. A sewage treatment plant will be constructed to treat wastewater to Class A+ as defined by Queensland Water Recycling Guidelines (December 2005).

The mine water management system will comprise a combination of storages (for water collection and containment) interconnected by open channels, and pumps and pipelines used to transfer mine water between storages, to demands and to dewater mining pits. The mine water management system will be used to:

- Divert clean catchment runoff away from areas disturbed by mining activities;
- Provide water to assist in the progressive rehabilitation of spoil stockpiles;
- Contain runoff from all disturbed areas (e.g. haul roads, MIA); and
- Maximise reuse of water from the mine water management system to meet mine demands, to reduce likelihood of off-site discharge and requirement for external water supply.
The water management within the CHPP area will be as follows:

- Cut off drains upstream of the CHPP pad will allow clean water to bypass the CHPP area;
- Runoff from dirty water falling within the CHPP area will drain to Industrial Area Runoff Dams;
- In case of an emergency discharge from the tailings thickener, the thickener tailings will be pumped to the Process Water Dam(s), which have been sized to contain the contents of the thickener tailings. Recovery of the thickener tailings will be undertaken by pumping/decanting water off the dam(s) and then recovering the settled tailings for removal to the tailings storage facility.

Should the mine water management system fail, the measures presented in Table 3.4.1 will be implemented.

**Table 3.4.1 Water Management System Failure Mitigation Measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage containment failure</td>
<td>Design of water storages has been undertaken using a water balance model which considers all storage inputs and outputs (i.e. rainfall, evaporation, pump transfers, etc) and has been run through a long term period of historic climatic data to test the storage capacity against potential sequences of rainfall events, entire wet seasons and potentially sequences of high rainfall wet seasons across several years. The water storages have been designed in accordance with “Manual for Assessing Hazard Categories and Hydraulic Performance of Dams v1.0” and DME 1995 Technical Guidelines. Monitoring equipment will be installed to monitor storage volume during operation combined with a water management strategy to prevent overfilling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage embankment failure</td>
<td>Design and construction supervision of dam embankments will be undertaken by Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland. Regular dam inspections will be undertaken by Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water management system infrastructure failure</td>
<td>Regular pipeline, drain, bund and levee inspections and maintenance will be undertaken during operation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sedimentation and/or water storage dams will be managed as follows:

- Runoff from disturbed areas will be captured on site in sediment dams, and transferred between these dams and the Process Water Dam as part of a water management system designed to use water on site without the need for discharges.
- Sedimentation dams will be constructed at the toe of spoil dumps to remove the bulk of suspended sediment from runoff from disturbed areas prior to any discharge from the site.
- Mine pits will be used to store surplus disturbed area runoff in the event that the capacity of the sediment dams and the Process Water Dam are exceeded.
- Water will be discharged to Cherwell Creek, Nine Mile Creek and Horse Creek only when natural flows are present.
- Sedimentation dams will be designed according to the following criteria:
  - retain the flow from a 10 year ARI (0.1 AEP) 24 hour storm; and
  - maximise the length of the dam relative to the width of the dam to maximise hydraulic retention time and deposition.
- Sediment will be removed from sediment dams periodically to retain design capacity.
Develop remedial response strategies in the event that releases fail to meet the water quality objectives or environmental protection objectives. These remedial measures may include:

- conducting rigorous environmental investigations into incidents;
- repairing any damaged areas;
- re-establishing the banks if excessive settlement has occurred; and
- removing sediment if excessive sediment has built up in any area and is causing of damage or excessive downstream sediment loads.

**Hazardous Dam Classification**

Preliminary assessments indicate that process water dams and mine water dams may be classified as hazardous dams. The assessment will be finalised during the detailed design phase of the project.

A hazard dam classification will be performed on all proposed water storages within the Caval Ridge site, as follows:

For each dam, the highest individual hazard category from the following should be adopted for the dam:

- **Hazard category for loss due to contamination** will be assessed according to the likely effect of the failure of the system or component and its degree of severity in terms of:
  - loss of human life;
  - loss of stock; and
  - environmental damage.

DME’s Site Water Management Technical Guideline for Environmental Management of Exploration and Mining in Queensland (1995) and DERM’s Ecoaccess information sheet-Determining dams containing hazardous waste, will be used as basis of assessment.

- **Hazard category for dambreak situations** will be assessed according to the resulting loss of life and property in terms of:
  - loss of human life;
  - direct economic loss; and
  - indirect economic loss.

DME’s Site Water Management Technical Guideline for Environmental Management of Exploration and Mining in Queensland (1995) will be used in the assessment.

**Hydraulic Performance Criteria for Hazardous Dams**

Once the Caval Ridge storages have been classified, the hydraulic performance criteria for:

- spillway;
- design storage allowance; and
- mandatory reporting levels,

The design storage allowance and mandatory reporting levels will then be calculated based on the performance criteria and method set out in the Draft Manual for Assessing Hazard Categories and Hydraulic Performance of Dams, 2008 (based on water balance model simulations).

The results of the above assessments will be used as basis for Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule C- Table 6 (Storage design for hazardous dams containing hazardous waste).

**Creek Diversions**
The creek diversions have been designed in accordance with the Watercourse Diversions – Central Queensland Mining Industry (January 2008), as detailed in Table 3.4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Type</th>
<th>Stream Power (W/m²)</th>
<th>Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Shear (N/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 year ARI event (no vegetation)</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 year ARI event (vegetated)</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 year ARI event</td>
<td>&lt;220</td>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
<td>&lt;80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The creek diversions have been designed in accordance with the following design objectives:

- Comply with DNRW Watercourse Diversions – Central Queensland Mining Industry – Upper Limit Creek Design Threshold Criteria; and
- Minimal ongoing maintenance and modification, achieved through maintaining a constant diversion bed grade and no flow control structures, such as drop structures.

Licences will be required under the *Water Act 2000* to interfere with the flow of water.

**Flooding**

Flood protection will be provided via the haul road running adjacent to the proposed diversion of Horse Creek and the flood protection levees that will be constructed around the perimeter of Heyford and Horse Pits, excluding the stockpile areas that act as a form of flood protection bund, to prevent pit inundation. Stormwater management measures such as drainage diversions and levees will be regularly inspected and maintained during the operation phase. Inspections will be carried out on a semi-annual basis and after significant storm events to check for erosion, cracking, visible seepage and any other unsuitable conditions. Timely action will be taken to prevent or minimise any actual or potential environmental harm through preventative works. This will aid in the reducing the contributing catchment area to the open cut pits and essential create a turkey nest catchment.

Emergency response procedures (including evacuation procedures) and a flood warning system will be established and incorporated into the site’s Health and Safety Environment Plan to protect on-site personnel. Vulnerable infrastructure will be designed with floor levels above the 100 year ARI level or specific secondary defences should be provided (bunding).
3.4.5.2 Groundwater

The strategies to be implemented for the management of surface water (Section 3.4.4.1) including the mine water management system, will also assist in controlling activities that could potentially lead to the contamination of groundwater.

Seepage

In addition, controls will be implemented to manage seepage. Potential sources of seepage such as sediment basins and water storages will be lined if the natural material is not of sufficiently low permeability to limit seepage. Additional control measures may include installation of cut-off trenches within the foundation along the alignment of the containment embankments, installation of a seepage collection system, and, during construction of the containment embankments, any fracture zones identified will be treated to reduce their permeability. ROM and product stockpiles will be contained within hardstand areas and connected via open channel drains to dedicated sediment basins. Potential seepage from the sediment basins and stockpile areas will be regularly assessed through the installation and monitoring of the monitoring bore network on-site, including down-gradient of all potential contaminant sources. This will include monitoring of water in sediment basins for potential contaminants (refer Section 3.4.5.3).

In the unlikely event of groundwater impact, mitigation strategies will include some or all of the following measures (depending on the specific requirements):

- Investigation of water management system integrity;
- Removal of contaminant source and repair/ redesign of any water management structures as required;
- Installation of and pumping from, groundwater interception wells; and
- Installation of and pumping from groundwater interception trenches.

At mine closure, shaping and rehabilitation of spoil piles and infrastructure footprints will be required to limit infiltration and runoff of potentially poor quality water and to monitor the effectiveness of rehabilitation.

Taking of Water

The taking of water from an aquifer within the Declared Highlands Subartesian Area is regulated by the Water Act 2000 and Water Regulation 2002 and requires a licence. Furthermore, construction and development of bores required to extract water from an aquifer under a licence is an assessable development under the Integrated Planning Act 1997. If dewatering of the coal measures in advance of mining is required, water licences for the taking of groundwater for the Caval Ridge Mine will be obtained by BMA from DERM. The licences will stipulate a maximum annual take from each relevant aquifer.

Under the Water Act 2000, the DERM has authority to direct the licensee to provide and maintain access to alternative water supplies for other water entitlement holders who would be affected by the granting of a licence. Should monitoring (outlined in Section 3.4.5.3) show that operation of Caval Ridge Mine is impacting on groundwater users in the vicinity of the project site, the following control strategies will be implemented as necessary:

- Lower inlet valves within the bores in order to maintain sufficient head of water above the pump when the pump is operational.
Install new pumps if existing pumps are not powerful enough to lift groundwater from the increased depth beneath the surface.

- Deepen or relocate bores in order to ensure sufficient long term water supply for stock watering and domestic purposes.

### 3.4.6 Monitoring

Monitoring of surface water and groundwater will be undertaken to ensure:

- The existing in-stream biological integrity of the aquatic systems are protected;
- There is no discernable change in the water quality downstream of the site as a result of any water discharge from the site;
- Water quality in the receiving environment and at the point of discharge meet licence conditions and any potential impacts are understood; and
- The impacts of groundwater drawdown are minimised through consultation and support of local groundwater users. The groundwater quality within the aquifers surrounding the project site will be monitored to ensure no marked deterioration in groundwater is occurring as a result of the proposed mining activities.

#### 3.4.6.1 Surface Water Monitoring Program

A surface water monitoring program will be established for the project site. Monitoring will be undertaken at (Figure 3.4.4):

- Permanent water quality and flow monitoring points established upstream and downstream of Horse Creek, Caval Creek, Cherwell Creek (currently monitored by Peak Downs Mine), Nine Mile Creek and Harrow Creek (currently operated by Peak Downs Mine); and
- Regular sampling at the following locations:
  - Pit sumps.

Parameters monitored will include pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), anions, cations and metals.

#### 3.4.6.2 Mine Water Management System Releases

In Queensland, effluent discharges to the freshwater environment are regulated by the DERM. When new infrastructure is proposed, a licensing agreement is formed as part of the planning process, to permit offsite discharges. This section proposes discharge limits of the mine water entering the receiving environment. The discharge locations for the project will be on:

- Cherwell Creek;
- Nine Mile Creek;
- Horse Creek;
- Harrow Creek;
- Caval Creek; and
- Cherwell Creek Tributary (Figure 3.4.4).
Receiving Waters Monitoring

Daily during discharge, the upstream and downstream water quality and flow monitoring points will be monitored for receiving water quality within the following limits:

- EC < 2,500 μS/cm
- pH 6 – 9

3.4.6.3 Groundwater Monitoring Program

Groundwater monitoring will be undertaken in the existing groundwater bore network to monitor the potential impacts of drawdown on the local and regional groundwater regime. The following monitoring routine will be undertaken:

- Groundwater levels will be monitored monthly, in the entire monitoring network, for the first two years following the commencement of construction to assess seasonal, natural, groundwater fluctuations;
- Thereafter, groundwater levels will be monitored quarterly, preferably at a similar time of year to eliminate variation from seasonal changes;
- Groundwater sampling will be undertaken on a quarterly basis from all groundwater monitoring bores for analysis of the parameters: pH, EC, TDS, major cations and anions, nutrients (total N, NO₃, ammonia, phosphorous) and selected dissolved metals (boron, chromium, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, selenium and zinc); and
- Measurement of daily precipitation, evaporation and mine dewatering volumes.

In addition, monitoring bores will be installed down-gradient of potential seepage and contaminant sources to enable early detection of any leachate entering the shallow Quaternary alluvial or Tertiary sediment aquifers. The key indicator parameters of seepage will be monitored including (but not restricted to) standing water level, salinity (as TDS), dissolved metals, and major ions initially on a three monthly basis.

An annual review of the monitoring program will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of each monitoring location, to assess where new locations and modifications to the monitoring program may be needed, and to evaluate what impacts may be occurring. A special monitoring round will be considered in the event of a significant environmental incident.

Post-mining groundwater monitoring will be subject to detailed closure/relinquishment conditions. It is expected that during the operational phase of the project, the groundwater data collected for the region will be comprehensive enough to accurately predict the long term recovery of the aquifers and the final void water balance and water quality. The level of data required for advanced hydrologic modelling of final voids for the mine cannot practically be obtained at the pre-mining stage. The mining operation will incorporate opportunistic monitoring of temporary pit storages and groundwater within the spoil to assist in the development and calibration of a long-term predictive model. This will also assist in the development and implementation of the closure strategy and the refinement of post-mining groundwater monitoring programs.
3.4.7 Commitments

- All monitoring and sampling techniques will be consistent with the DERM’s Water Quality Sampling Manual and applicable Australian Standards.
- The project will investigate all substantiated water related complaints.
- The project will implement corrective action resulting from complaints investigations as required.

3.4.8 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule C – Water

Release to waters

(C1-1) Receiving waters affected by the release of process water or storm water contaminated by the mining activities or both must be monitored at the locations and frequencies defined in Schedule C - Table 1 and comply with the contaminant limits defined in Schedule C - Table 2.

Schedule C - Table 1 (Receiving water monitoring locations and frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring point</th>
<th>Monitoring point description</th>
<th>Easting (MGAZ55)</th>
<th>Northing (MGAZ55)</th>
<th>Monitoring frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 1</td>
<td>Downstream Horse Creek</td>
<td>609,846</td>
<td>7,560,358</td>
<td>Daily during flow events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 2</td>
<td>Downstream Cherwell Creek Tributary</td>
<td>611,698</td>
<td>7,552,256</td>
<td>Daily during flow events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 3</td>
<td>Downstream Caval Creek</td>
<td>612,340</td>
<td>7,551,075</td>
<td>Daily during flow events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 4</td>
<td>Downstream Cherwell Creek</td>
<td>612,570</td>
<td>7,550,744</td>
<td>Daily during flow events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 5</td>
<td>Downstream Harrow Creek</td>
<td>616,815</td>
<td>7,547,919</td>
<td>Daily during flow events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schedule C - Table 2 (Receiving water contaminant limits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>µS/cm</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C1-2) Water may only be released to Cherwell Creek, Nine Mile Creek, Horse Creek, Caval Creek and Cherwell Creek Tributary during natural flow events. Water from dams may only be released from the locations detailed in Schedule C-Table 3.
### Schedule C - Table 3 (Water Discharge Locations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge point</th>
<th>Easting (MGAZ55)</th>
<th>Northing (MGAZ55)</th>
<th>Location description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 1</td>
<td>609,417</td>
<td>7,560,009</td>
<td>Northern Highwall Sediment Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 2</td>
<td>607,881</td>
<td>7,559,009</td>
<td>Sediment Dam N3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 3</td>
<td>608,023</td>
<td>7,558,622</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam N3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 4</td>
<td>608,858</td>
<td>7,551,477</td>
<td>Sediment Dam N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 5</td>
<td>609,150</td>
<td>7,551,232</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 6</td>
<td>609,292</td>
<td>7,550,535</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 7</td>
<td>609,710</td>
<td>7,550,264</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 8</td>
<td>609,272</td>
<td>7,549,683</td>
<td>Process Water Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 9</td>
<td>609,395</td>
<td>7,549,419</td>
<td>Mine Industrial Area Runoff Dam 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 10</td>
<td>610,609</td>
<td>7,549,468</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 11</td>
<td>610,324</td>
<td>7,549,029</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 12</td>
<td>610,738</td>
<td>7,549,068</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 13</td>
<td>611,711</td>
<td>7,548,184</td>
<td>Sediment Dam S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 14</td>
<td>614,148</td>
<td>7,545,815</td>
<td>Sediment Dam S3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 15</td>
<td>612,913</td>
<td>7,549,174</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 16</td>
<td>611,857</td>
<td>7,550,167</td>
<td>12 North Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge point 17</td>
<td>611,477</td>
<td>7,552,216</td>
<td>Southern Highwall Sediment Basin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C1-3)  Water released into Cherwell Creek, Nine Mile Creek, Horse Creek and Caval Creek must be monitored at the locations and frequencies defined in Schedule C – Table 4 for pH and EC.
### Schedule C - Table 4 (End of pipe monitoring locations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring point</th>
<th>Location description</th>
<th>Easting (MGAZ55)</th>
<th>Northing (MGAZ55)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 6</td>
<td>Northern Highwall Sediment Basin</td>
<td>609,417</td>
<td>7,560,009</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 7</td>
<td>Sediment Dam N3</td>
<td>607,881</td>
<td>7,559,009</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 8</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam N3</td>
<td>608,023</td>
<td>7,558,622</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 9</td>
<td>Sediment Dam N1</td>
<td>608,858</td>
<td>7,551,477</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 10</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam N1</td>
<td>609,150</td>
<td>7,551,232</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 11</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 5</td>
<td>609,292</td>
<td>7,550,535</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 12</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 4</td>
<td>609,710</td>
<td>7,550,264</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 13</td>
<td>Process Water Dam</td>
<td>609,272</td>
<td>7,549,683</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 14</td>
<td>Mine Industrial Area Runoff Dam 2</td>
<td>609,395</td>
<td>7,549,419</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 15</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 3</td>
<td>610,609</td>
<td>7,549,468</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 16</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 1</td>
<td>610,324</td>
<td>7,546,029</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 17</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam 2</td>
<td>610,738</td>
<td>7,548,068</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 18</td>
<td>Sediment Dam S1</td>
<td>611,711</td>
<td>7,548,184</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 19</td>
<td>Sediment Dam S3</td>
<td>614,148</td>
<td>7,548,815</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 20</td>
<td>Mine Water Dam S1</td>
<td>612,913</td>
<td>7,549,174</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 21</td>
<td>12 North Dam</td>
<td>611,857</td>
<td>7,550,167</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring point 22</td>
<td>Southern Highwall Sediment Basin</td>
<td>611,477</td>
<td>7,552,216</td>
<td>Daily during discharge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C1-4) Water may be released during periods of dry weather for the purpose of supplying stock water to properties directly adjoining properties owned by the EA holder associated with the Caval Ridge Mine. Water may only be supplied following a written request from the landholder and must be limited to the volume required to meet the landholder’s needs. Water may be supplied only if the quality of the water is in accordance with Schedule C – Table 5.

### Schedule C - Table 5 (Stock Water Release Limits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>µS/cm</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C1-5) Water may be supplied to third parties for the purpose of construction and/or road maintenance and repairs. The water cannot be discharged into or near watercourses. Water may be supplied only if the quality of the water is in accordance with Schedule C – Table 6.
### Schedule C - Table 6 (Construction and Maintenance Supply Limits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>µS/cm</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C1-6) If water quality of the impacted sites during authorised release flow events outlined in Schedule C – Table 1 (Receiving Water Monitoring Locations and Frequency), exceed any of the contaminant trigger levels stated in Schedule C – Table 2 (Receiving Water Contaminant Limits) or in Schedule C – Table 7 (Receiving Water Contaminant Trigger Levels), the environmental authority holder must:

a) complete an investigation in accordance with the ANZECC (2000) methodology, into the potential for environmental harm; and

b) provide a written report to the administering authority within twenty-eight (28) days of the date of the original exceedance. Outlining:

i) details of the investigation carried out; and

ii) actions taken to prevent environmental harm.

### Schedule C – Table 7 (Receiving Water Contaminant Trigger Levels)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Trigger Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (NO\textsubscript{2})</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C6-C9)</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C10-C36)</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>µg/l</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dams Containing Hazardous Waste

(C2-4) The design storage allowance on 1st November of each year for any hazardous dam containing hazardous waste constructed or operated with the operational land must comply with Schedule C – Table 6 [ML 1775, MLA 70403].

Schedule C – Table 6 (Storage design for hazardous dams containing hazardous waste)³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Type</th>
<th>Design Storage Allowance</th>
<th>Spill Critical Design Storm</th>
<th>Mandatory Reporting Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process Water Dam</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N1</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N2</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N3</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 12N</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam S1</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine water Dam 1</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine water Dam 2</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine water Dam 3</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine water Dam 4</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine water Dam 5</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>- ARI²</td>
<td>- m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Storages will be designed using water balance model simulations covering at least 100 year of historical climatic data and will therefore be compliant with Draft Manual for Assessing Hazard Categories and Hydraulic Performance of Dams Version 1.0.

Note 2: ARI means annual recurrence interval.

Note 3: The values for the Design Storage Allowance, Spill Critical Design Storm (ARI), and Mandatory Reporting Levels for the various hazardous dams will be finalized during the detailed design phase of the project using results of assessments on Hazardous Dam Classification and Hydraulic Performance Criteria for Dams.

(C1-5) The spillway for any hazardous dam containing hazardous waste, constructed or operated within the operational land must be designed and maintained to withstand the peak flow from the spillway critical design storm defined in Schedule C – Table 6 [ML 1775, MLA 70403].

(C1-6) The holder of the environmental authority must make the mandatory reporting level defined in Schedule C – Table 6 [ML 1775, MLA 70403] on the spillway of all hazardous dams containing hazardous waste within operational land.

(C1-7) The holder of the environmental authority must notify the administering authority when the pondage level of the hazardous dam containing hazardous waste, reaches the mandatory reporting level defined in Schedule C – Table 6 [ML 1775, MLA 70403].

Groundwater

(C2-1) Groundwater standing level and quality must be monitored during exploration activities ahead of mining and any necessary management strategy implemented to manage predicted impacts.
Stream sediment contaminant levels
(C4-1) All reasonable and practicable erosion protection measures and sediment control measures must be implemented and maintained to minimise erosion and the movement of sediment, including:

a) clean waters, from undisturbed areas, kept separate from dirty waters from disturbed areas;

b) water from disturbed catchments that does not meet receiving water Schedule C- Table 2 quality parameters must be diverted into the mine water management system or through sediment control measures before release;

c) new sedimentation dams designed to contain a 24 hour 10 year average recurrence interval rainfall; and

d) sediment shall be excavated from sediment dams as required to maintain design capacity.

Water Management Plan
(C5-1) A Water Management Plan shall be developed and include, but not limited to, the following details:

a) identify on the site plan which dams will contain raw, clean, mine, potable and hazardous water;

b) specify the storage capacity of the facility and the likely standing water volume during normal operation;

c) specify the freeboard and maximum depth limits of the dams;

d) detail the maintenance program for the dam and monitoring programs to detect triggers and maintenance;

e) detail the water quality monitoring regime of each containment facility;

f) identify on-site and off-site storm-water flow directions;

g) identify storm-water diversions to prevent water entering the mine;

h) detail the design and monitoring of sediment detention structures;

i) identify diversions and drains on site and distinguish types of water being re-directed;

j) clearly demonstrate how clean water generated on site is kept separate from the contaminated water;

k) identify the drains that contribute to the discharge of water from the site, and the quality and quantity of water discharging from the site;

l) detail how management of off-site water releases will be conducted to minimise sediment and salinity releases and minimise the potential for soil and spoil erosion, soil contamination and acid rock drainage, particularly with regard to first flush flows following rainfall events;
m) divide the site into individual catchments based on the identified drains and catchment facilities;

n) identify discharge scenarios during nominal events (such as 1 in 10, 20 and 50 ARI events) in order to calculate discharge volumes at each catchment and consequence on the receiving environment of these events to ensure protection of the environmental values of the receiving values of the receiving waters downstream as it relates to the activity;

o) diversions and drains directing storm-water and process water into these storage facilities;

p) identify which storage facilities pump into other storages or mine pits;

q) details of pumping facilities;

r) maintenance of dams, including desilting programs;

s) incorporate a risk management approach to how changing weather patterns will effect the frequency of floods, drought; and

t) incorporate review and monitoring of the water management system and hydrological processes performance indicators

Interfering with Waterways

(C6-1) The holder of this environmental authority is permitted to remove vegetation, excavate and fill watercourses to establish temporary crossings when there is no flow. Works shall remain in place for no longer than 4 weeks.

(C6-2) The holder of this environmental authority is permitted to remove vegetation; excavate and fill watercourses when there is no flow for desilting and mining activities including laying pipes. Works shall remain in place for no longer than 4 weeks.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE C
3.5 Noise and Vibration

3.5.1 Background
The project has the potential to generate noise and vibration impacts on nearby sensitive receivers. Activities at the project vary in location and nature throughout the mine life. Therefore noise levels at sensitive receivers will also vary throughout the mine life. The closest sensitive receptors to the project are 23 residences located within a distance of approximately 5 km of the project site boundary. These residences comprise:

- 12 residences located within a distance of approximately 3 km of the project site boundary; and
- 11 residences located within a distance of approximately 3 to 5 km of the project site boundary.

Moranbah, at its closest point, is located approximately 6 km to the north of the project site boundary and includes the monitoring location 66 Jackson Avenue as a representative location. There are three other noise sensitive receptors located between 5 and 12 km of the site boundary that are remote from Moranbah. Locations of sensitive receptors in relation to the project site are shown in Figure 3.5.1.

3.5.1.1 Attended and Unattended Background Noise Monitoring
Attended and unattended background noise monitoring was undertaken for the project at locations shown in Figure 3.5.2. The noise loggers were programmed to continuously record A-weighted fast response noise levels over 15 minute sampling intervals. The LA90 value represents the level exceeded for 90% of the interval period and is referred to as the background noise level. The LAeq value is the equivalent continuous noise level defined as the level of noise equivalent to the energy average of noise levels occurring over a measurement period.

Noise logging was undertaken at Locations 1 to 5 from 28 November to 6 December, 2007, and at Locations 6 and 7 from 10 to 17 March, 2008. Noise logging was also undertaken at locations 6 and 7 from 7 February to 21 February 2008. The unattended ambient noise measurements collected at each monitoring location (Table 3.5.1) were used to determine the Rating Background Level (RBL) for daytime (7.00 am to 6.00 pm), evening (6.00 pm to 10.00 pm) and night-time (10.00 pm to 7.00 am) periods at each location (Table 3.5.2). RBLs at the various monitoring locations ranged from 31 dBA to 40 dBA during the daytime, 33 dBA to 42 dBA during the evening and were 20 dBA to 36 dBA during the night time. The measured background noise levels were typical of those of a rural environment with natural noise sources and some transportation noise contributions associated with Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah Access Road and Dysart-Moranbah Road (Table 3.5.1). Operator attended noise surveys of 15 minutes duration, conducted at the noise logging locations between 28 November 2007 and 11 March 2008, indicated that the logged noise levels were representative of the background noise environment at all residences.

3.5.1.2 Vibration
Vibration was measured at three locations (Locations 3, 4 and 5) from 28 November to 6 December 2007, and at two additional locations (Locations 6 and 7) from 10 to 17 March 2008 to determine existing baseline vibration levels, particularly from blasting (Figure 3.5.2). None of the recorded vibration events (highest recorded peak component particle velocity) correlated on a time basis with blasts from Peak Downs Mine (Table 3.5.2).
### Table 3.5.1 Summary of (Unattended) Noise Logging Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Background Noise Levels ( \text{minLA}_{90} ) (dBA)(^1)</th>
<th>Maximum Hourly SPL ( \text{LA}_{eq} ) (1hour) (PNL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 7am-6pm Evening 6pm-10pm Night 10pm-7am Day 7am-6pm Evening 6pm-10pm Night 10pm-7am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. 66 Jackson Ave, Moranbah</td>
<td>Back yard of detached two storey dwelling backing onto vacant land at southern end of Moranbah</td>
<td>35 (^2) 38 (^2) 36 (^2) 57 (^2) 57 (^2) 53 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>Side yard of detached two storey dwelling bordering northern side of project boundary</td>
<td>33 (^2) 37 (^2) 34 (^2) 59 (^2) 55 (^2) 53 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Lot 4, Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>Back yard of detached single story dwelling facing Moranbah Access Rd</td>
<td>40 (^2) 41 (^2) 27 (^2) 64 (^2) 63 (^2) 57 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hornery Homestead – 183 Goonyella Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>Back yard of detached single storey homestead bordering eastern side of project boundary</td>
<td>32 (^2) 36 (^2) 28 (^2) 55 (^2) 42 (^2) 39 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td>Representative location north of single storey dwelling bordering southern side of project boundary</td>
<td>31 (^2) 33 (^2) 26 (^2) 48 (^2) 47 (^2) 47 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>Representative location north of single storey dwelling bordering southern side of project boundary</td>
<td>33 (^2) 34 (^2) 24 (^2) 69 (^2) 61 (^2) 48 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Winchester Downs Homestead, Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>Representative location west of double storey dwelling bordering eastern side of project boundary</td>
<td>40 (^2) 42 (^2) 20 (^2) 56 (^2) 54 (^2) 44 (^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** The LA90 represents the level exceeded for 90% of the interval period and is referred to as the background noise level. The LAeq is the equivalent continuous noise level defined as the level of noise equivalent to the energy average of noise levels occurring over a measurement period.

**Note 2:** Values have been adjusted downward to remove the (tonal) influence of insects as it is expected that insect noise would not be present during winter months.

### Table 3.5.2 Summary of Vibration Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date-Time</th>
<th>Highest Peak Component Particle Velocity (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Lot 7, Moranbah Access Rd, Moranbah</td>
<td>28/11/07 9:55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Hornery Homestead- 183 Goonyella Rd (Moranbah Access Rd)</td>
<td>29/11/07 7:21</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Peak Downs Hwy (Near intersection with Moranbah Access Rd)</td>
<td>5/12/07 12:29</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Buffel Park Homestead- Peak Downs Highway</td>
<td>11/3/08 16:08</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Winchester Downs Homestead- Peak Downs Mine Road</td>
<td>11/03/08 08:55</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5.2 Environmental Value
The environmental values to be enhanced or protected are:
- The acoustic qualities suitable for the wellbeing of a community, including its social and economic amenity; and
- The wellbeing of the individual, including the individual’s opportunities to sleep, relax and converse without unreasonable interference from intrusive noise or vibration.

3.5.3 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Value
Open cut mining at the project will involve overburden removal and strip mining of coal. Overburden removal will occur during the pre-strip process and will utilise a truck and shovel fleet, as well as draglines in both Heyford Pit and Horse Pit. The exposed coal will be loaded by excavators and front end loaders into trucks for hauling either to the field coal stockpiles or to the ROM stockpiles for screening, crushing and processing. The mine will operate on a 24 hour schedule, seven days a week during construction and operational phases with blasting limited to the daytime period only (between 7.00 am and 6.00 pm) each day. Noise from these activities as well as vibration from blasting has the potential to impact on sensitive receptors.

3.5.3.1 Construction

Criteria
For construction work occurring during normal daytime hours, provided all mechanical powered plant is fitted with appropriate mufflers, specific noise limits are generally not warranted. The Queensland Environmental Protection (Noise) Policy 2008 (EPP (Noise)) does not include construction noise limits other than those which apply to blasting.

Noise impacts are usually minimised by limiting the hours of operation and, in particular circumstances, scheduling the noisiest activities to occur at times when they would generate least disruption. In accordance with the EP Act, where construction noise may affect adjacent residential premises or other residential accommodation, it is recommended to limit the hours of operation to 6.30 am to 6.30 pm, Monday to Saturday. For construction works extending outside normal working hours, particular noise limits should be applied. The most important amenity issue for surrounding residents during the evenings/night-time period is sleep preservation. The World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends for quality sleep, maximum noise levels should not exceed 45 dBA. This guideline is recommended for construction work outside the recommended hours identified above. Based on a conservative building façade noise reduction of 5 dBA through an open window, an LAmax (external) of 50 dBA is recommended for sleep disturbance, assessable at 4 m from the building facade:

Noise Levels
Modelling based on noise monitoring data indicates that no properties will experience noise levels that exceed the recommended construction noise criterion as a result of construction of the project. The predicted maximum (Lmax) construction noise levels for neutral and weather conditions for properties located within 12 km of the project site boundary are shown in Table 3.5.3, as is worst case weather predictions for those properties to the west and north-west of the mine, based on the parameters stipulated in the EPA’s Planning for Noise Control guideline and annual weather data (e.g. frequency of temperature inversions and wind roses). BMA will undertake a further study to assess the likely noise levels at the
proposed construction accommodation village (just south of Location 1) and design buildings appropriately to address any adverse impacts on staff.

**Table 3.5.3 Predicted Construction Noise Levels – Neutral and Worst Case Weather Conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>Predicted Lmax Noise Level (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral Weather Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L14 SP 163605</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SP 151669 FH 50416979</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lot 4 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L1 RP 614378 (South Property)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hornery Homestead – 183 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>L1 RP 614378 (North Property)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>L2 RP 616987</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>GV 148</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L5 RP853653</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L25 RP 133553</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L3 RP617628</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>66 Jackson Avenue, Moranbah</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Winchester Downs Homestead – Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>L3 GV252</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>L6 SP174999</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>L1 RP616025</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Bold figures indicate an exceedence of the (evening/night) sleep disturbance limit of 50 dBA.*
3.5.3.2 Operations

Criteria
Operational noise levels emitted by the project are assessable in accordance with three DERM Ecoaccess guidelines: Planning for Noise Control; Noise and Vibration from Blasting; and Assessment of Low Frequency Noise. Ecoaccess Planning for Noise Control assessment process takes into account four factors:

- Control and prevention of background creep;
- Determination of planning noise levels;
- Containment of variable and short term noise emissions by setting specific (intrusive) noise levels; and
- Sleep disturbance.

The guideline recommends that the lower of the two levels derived from bullet points 2 and 3 be used for assessment purposes as they are both based on the Leq parameter. The resultant background creep criterion at each monitoring location is shown in Table 3.5.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Criteria minLA90, 1hour (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  66 Jackson Ave, Moranbah</td>
<td>38   28   26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>30   27   25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Lot 4, Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>30   31   25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  Hornery Homestead – 183 Goonyella Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>32   26   25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td>33   25   25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>30   25   25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  Winchester Downs Homestead, Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>30   32   25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Specific Noise Level is determined from the existing RBL (from ambient attended and unattended noise monitoring) and is shown in Table 3.5.5.
### Table 3.5.5 Specific (Intrusive) Noise Level Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Criteria L'Aeq, (1hour) (SNL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. 66 Jackson Ave, Moranbah</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Lot 4, Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hornery Homestead – 183 Goonyella Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Winchester Downs Homestead, Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The guideline sets a floor on Specific Noise Levels of 28 dBA (e.g. background creep floor of 25 dBA plus 3 dBA).

The specific noise levels have been used to form the basis of the limiting L'Aeq criteria for the Caval Ridge Mine as they are more stringent than the Planning Noise Level criteria. To manage the L'Aeq criteria effectively for every noise sensitive receiver, not just the noise monitoring locations, three different criteria zones have been identified (Figure 3.5.3):

**Zone 1 (37 dBA)** Moranbah and northern properties (noise measurement locations at 66 Jackson Avenue, Moranbah, and Long Pocket Road, Moranbah).

**Zone 2 (30 dBA)** South Moranbah Access Road and surrounding properties (noise measurement locations at Lot 4, Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah and Hornery Homestead – 183 Goonyella Road, Moranbah).

**Zone 3 (28 dBA)** South, west and remote from project site properties (measurement locations at Buffel Park Homestead and Winchester Downs Homestead, Moranbah).

The guideline recommends that in order to achieve a good night’s sleep, internal noise levels should not exceed L'Amax 45 dBA more than 10 to 15 times per night. Based on a conservative attenuation of 5 dBA through a facade with open windows, an L'Amax (external) of 50 dBA is recommended, assessable 4 m from the façade and during the night-time period only.
Predicted L90 noise level contributions from the project’s fixed plant operations for neutral and worst-case 
(where applicable) weather conditions are presented in Tables 3.5.6 and 3.5.7 respectively. These 
predictions are based on the output from SoundPLAN noise modelling undertaken for the project and on 
DERM noise emissions criteria. Eleven operational mining scenarios (covering the full life of the mine) 
were modelled assuming all plant items were operating concurrently in order to simulate the overall 
maximum potential noise emission. The criteria are predicted to be exceeded under neutral weather 
conditions at three locations by up to 22 dBA. Under worst-case weather conditions, the criteria are 
predicted to be exceeded at one location (Location 7), where the predicted exceedence increases (above 
the neutral weather prediction) by 6 dBA.

### Table 3.5.6 Predicted LA90 Operational Noise Levels – Neutral Weather

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>LA90 Criteria (dBA)</th>
<th>LA90 Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L14 SP 163605</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SP 151669 FH 50416979</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lot 4 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L1 RP 614378 (South Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hornery Homestead – 183 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>L1 RP 614378 (North Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>L2 RP 616987</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>GV 148</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L5 RP853653</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L25 RP 133553</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L3 RP617628</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>66 Jackson Avenue, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Winchester Downs Homestead – Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>L3 GV252</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>L6 SP174999</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>L1 RP616025</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Levels in bold indicate an exceedence of the 25 dBA LA90 noise criterion
Table 3.5.7 Predicted LA90 Operational Noise Levels – Worst Case Weather

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>LA90 Criteria (dBA)</th>
<th>LA90 Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L5 RP853653</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Levels in bold indicate an exceedence of the 25 dBA LA90 noise criterion

The highest LAeq noise level contributions from the proposed mine operations for neutral (Table 3.5.8) and worst-case (Table 3.5.9) for applicable weather conditions were also predicted. In the tables the overall noise level is shown as the above value with the noise level excluding train movements shown below in brackets. In all except seven locations, the mobile mechanical plant dominates the LAeq emissions from the project. At the other seven locations, both the steady state fixed plant and the mobile mechanical plant predictions have been logarithmically added to predict the overall level.

The criteria are predicted to be exceeded under neutral weather conditions at nine locations by up to 37 dBA. Under worst-case weather conditions, the exceedence at one location (7) increased by 5 dBA and three additional properties (Locations 8, 10, and 11) are predicted to have minor (up to 3 dBA) exceedences. The exceedences occur in scenarios when mobile operating plant is nearest to the receiver. The results indicate that the LAeq operational noise levels from the project could increase by 2 to 3 dBA under worst-case weather conditions, relative to neutral conditions, at the closest (e.g. worst case) distance to the properties.

It should also be noted that whilst the highest predicted noise level from rail operations (31 dBA LAeq) is above the levels recommended in Ecoaccess Planning for Noise Control, this predicted level is 34 dBA below the 65 dBA LAeq (24 hour) criteria contained in QR’s Code of Practice – Railway Noise Management (which is used to assess rail noise emissions elsewhere on the Queensland rail network).

The low frequency noise criterion is 9 dBA lower for the LAeq criterion for Zone 1 locations. Of the 13 locations that fall within the Zone 1 area, none were above the LAeq criterion based on Ecoaccess Planning for Noise Control, for the neutral weather scenario. However, 10 locations will exceed the low frequency noise limit in the neutral weather scenario, by up to 9 dBA. Of these 10 locations, two contain insignificant exceedances only (i.e. 1 or 2 dBA over the low frequency criteria). Of the same 13 locations that fall within the Zone 1 area, there were three locations that exceeded the LAeq criterion for the worst-case weather scenario. A further eight locations are expected to exceed the low frequency noise limit in the worst-case weather scenario, by up to 12 dBA. Of these eight locations, one contains insignificant exceedences only (i.e. 1 dBA over the low frequency criterion).
### Table 3.5.8 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – Operation Scenarios 1-11 Neutral Weather Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>LAeq Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</th>
<th>LAeq Criteria (dBA)</th>
<th>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L14 SP 163605</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 (23)</td>
<td>40 (39)</td>
<td>30 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 SP 151669 FH 50416971</td>
<td></td>
<td>67 (67)</td>
<td>47 (47)</td>
<td>57 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Lot 4 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 (23)</td>
<td>34 (34)</td>
<td>27 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 L1 RP 614378 (South Property)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 (21)</td>
<td>43 (43)</td>
<td>28 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Homery Homestead – 183 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (24)</td>
<td>33 (32)</td>
<td>29 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Peak Downs Highway (near1 intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td></td>
<td>34 (34)</td>
<td>31 (30)</td>
<td>36 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Buffel Park Homestead, Peak1 Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td></td>
<td>40 (40)</td>
<td>38 (37)</td>
<td>40 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 L8 RP 853653</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (18)</td>
<td>37 (36)</td>
<td>29 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 L1 RP 614378 (North Property)</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 (17)</td>
<td>37 (37)</td>
<td>26 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (20)</td>
<td>37 (36)</td>
<td>28 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 (15)</td>
<td>35 (35)</td>
<td>23 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 L2 RP 616987</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (19)</td>
<td>32 (32)</td>
<td>24 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 GV 1481</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 (28)</td>
<td>29 (28)</td>
<td>30 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Indicates peak predicted noise levels.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th><strong>LAEq Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</strong></th>
<th><strong>LAEq Criteria (dBA)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L5 RP853653</td>
<td>26 (17)</td>
<td>33 (32)</td>
<td>27 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>25 (12)</td>
<td>31 (30)</td>
<td>26 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>22 (16)</td>
<td>31 (30)</td>
<td>23 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>24 (16)</td>
<td>29 (28)</td>
<td>25 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>22 (18)</td>
<td>31 (31)</td>
<td>22 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>26 (15)</td>
<td>29 (27)</td>
<td>26 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L25 RP 133553</td>
<td>17 (15)</td>
<td>27 (27)</td>
<td>19 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L3 RP617628¹</td>
<td>28 (28)</td>
<td>27 (27)</td>
<td>28 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>66 Jackson Avenue, Moranbah</td>
<td>23 (15)</td>
<td>26 (24)</td>
<td>23 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Winchester Downs Homestead – Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah¹</td>
<td>25 (24)</td>
<td>25 (24)</td>
<td>24 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>L3 GV252</td>
<td>21 (17)</td>
<td>24 (23)</td>
<td>20 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>L1 RP616025</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 3.5.9 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – Operation Scenarios 1-11 Worst-Case Weather Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>LAeq Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</th>
<th>LAeq Criteria (dBA)</th>
<th>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah¹</td>
<td>45 (45)</td>
<td>43 (43)</td>
<td>45 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>29 (22)</td>
<td>40 (40)</td>
<td>30 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>29 (24)</td>
<td>40 (40)</td>
<td>29 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>24 (20)</td>
<td>39 (39)</td>
<td>25 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L5 RP853653</td>
<td>27 (21)</td>
<td>37 (37)</td>
<td>28 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>27 (21)</td>
<td>35 (34)</td>
<td>28 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>24 (20)</td>
<td>34 (34)</td>
<td>25 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>25 (21)</td>
<td>33 (32)</td>
<td>27 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>25 (23)</td>
<td>35 (35)</td>
<td>25 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>26 (19)</td>
<td>32 (31)</td>
<td>27 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L25 RP 133553</td>
<td>20 (19)</td>
<td>31 (31)</td>
<td>22 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The highest predicted LAmax noise level contributions from the project for neutral and worst-case (where appropriate) weather conditions are shown in Tables 3.5.10 and 3.5.11 respectively. The criteria are predicted to be exceeded under neutral weather conditions at three locations (1, 2 and 4) by up to 25 dBA. Under worst-case weather conditions, no additional properties are adversely affected.

Whilst the highest predicted LAmax noise level from rail operations (57 dBA LAmax) is above the levels recommended in Ecoaccess Planning for Noise Control, it is 30 dBA below the 87 dBA LAmax criteria contained in EPP (Noise) and QR’s Code of Practice – Railway Noise Management.

3.5.3.3 Mining Cumulative Noise Impacts

The cumulative noise impacts are inherently assessed through the background creep (L90) and specific/intrusive (Leq) criteria contained in the DERM’s Planning for Noise Control guideline. Both criteria take into account the existing ambient noise level in an area from all existing industry and other noise sources such as road and railway traffic.

The DERM's Planning for Noise Control assessment methodology is based on the existing ambient noise monitoring (undertaken at seven locations surrounding the project) and comparison to recommended ambient noise levels. The cumulative effect of the existing industry and other noise sources, together with the project, is assessed to not exceed the recommended ambient noise levels. If the existing noise level is already above the recommended noise levels, the associated noise levels of the project are set between 8 and 10 dBA below the existing ambient noise level so as the cumulative effects of existing and proposed industry should not increase above existing noise levels.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Number</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>LAMax Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</th>
<th>LAMax Criteria (dBA)</th>
<th>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L14 SP 163605</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SP 151669 FH 50416979¹</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lot 4 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L1 RP 614378 (South Property)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hornery Homestead – 183 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Peak Downs Highway (near¹ intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead, Peak¹ Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>L1 RP 614378 (North Property)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>L2 RP 616987</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location Number</td>
<td>Property Reference</td>
<td>LAMax Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</td>
<td>LAMax Criteria (dBA)</td>
<td>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>GV 148¹</td>
<td>39 (35) 39 (35) 36 (36) 36 (36) 35 (35) 36 (36) 38 (38) 35 (35) 37 (37) 38 (38) 36 (36)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>44 (25) 44 (25) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28) 41 (28)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>38 (24) 38 (24) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27) 35 (27)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>44 (24) 44 (24) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28) 40 (28)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>49 (23) 49 (23) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27) 46 (27)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L3 RP617628¹</td>
<td>41 (32) 41 (32) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33) 38 (33)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>L6 SP174999¹</td>
<td>27 (25) 27 (25) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27) 27 (27)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location Number</td>
<td>Property Reference</td>
<td>LAMax Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</td>
<td>LAMax Criteria (dBA)</td>
<td>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>L1 RP616025</td>
<td>15  (11) 15  (9) 12  (12) 11  (11) 11  (10) 21  (21) 11  (10) 11  (11) 11  (10) 11  (10)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Levels in bold indicate an exceedence of the applicable criterion. Levels in brackets denote noise level excluding rail noise.
Table 3.5.11 Predicted LAMax Operational Noise Levels – Operation Scenarios 1-11 ‘Worst-Case’ Weather Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Number</th>
<th>Property Reference</th>
<th>LAMax Predicted Noise Level (dBA)</th>
<th>LAMax Criteria (dBA)</th>
<th>Maximum Criteria Exceedance (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>50 (48)</td>
<td>50 (39)</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>46 (30)</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
<td>43 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>46 (32)</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
<td>43 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>40 (28)</td>
<td>47 (47)</td>
<td>37 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>L5 RP 853653</td>
<td>42 (29)</td>
<td>45 (45)</td>
<td>39 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>44 (29)</td>
<td>44 (42)</td>
<td>41 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>38 (28)</td>
<td>42 (42)</td>
<td>35 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>44 (29)</td>
<td>44 (40)</td>
<td>40 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>42 (31)</td>
<td>43 (43)</td>
<td>39 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>49 (27)</td>
<td>49 (39)</td>
<td>46 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L25 RP 133553</td>
<td>30 (27)</td>
<td>39 (39)</td>
<td>30 (30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Levels in bold indicate an exceedence of the applicable criterion. Levels in brackets denote noise level excluding rail noise.
Road Traffic Noise As changes in noise levels of less than 2 dBA are unnoticeable to the human ear, no adverse impact is anticipated.

Table 3.5.12 shows the expected increase in road traffic noise levels associated with construction and operation activities for the project. As changes in noise levels of less than 2 dBA are unnoticeable to the human ear, no adverse impact is anticipated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Segment</th>
<th>Predicted Increase in LA10(18hour) Noise Level (dBA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moranbah Access Road</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysart-Moranbah Road</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Downs Highway (Ch 88.180-100.900)</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5.3.4 Vibration Impact from Operational Blasting

Blasting site laws have been developed from a combination of the proposed blast design parameters and blast emission data obtained from BMA’s nearby Peak Downs Mine (ground vibration only) and ICI’s Handbook of Blasting Tables. The predicted levels of Peak Vector Sum (PVS) ground vibration velocity and peak airblast at the nearest potentially affected properties to the mine blasting are presented in Table 3.5.13. The frequency of blast ground vibrations at distance from the mine are predicted to be below 35 Hz, therefore the applicable vibration criterion is 10 mm/s (not 25 mm/s).

Based on the predicted levels of blast emissions, the predicted levels of ground vibration at all but six nearby residences will comply with the DERM’s criteria. The predicted levels of peak airblast at all but six residences will comply with the DERM’s criteria. There are no known underground pipelines within 510 m of the pit area (e.g. where blasting will occur) so no adverse effects on pipelines are predicted as a result of the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Distance from Blasting</th>
<th>PVS Ground Vibration</th>
<th>Peak Airblast¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - L14 SP 163605</td>
<td>0 km</td>
<td>391.5 - 681.6 mm/s</td>
<td>139 - 142 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - SP 151669 FH 50416979</td>
<td>0 km</td>
<td>391.5 – 681.6 mm/s</td>
<td>139 - 142 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Lot 4 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>0.3 km</td>
<td>67.5 – 117.5 mm/s</td>
<td>128 – 130 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - L1 RP 614378 (South Property)</td>
<td>0.6 km</td>
<td>22.3 – 38.8 mm/s</td>
<td>121 – 123 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Homery Homestead – 183 Moranbah Access Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>0.7 km</td>
<td>17.4 - 30.3 mm/s</td>
<td>119 - 122 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road), Moranbah</td>
<td>1.2 km</td>
<td>7.3 – 12.8 mm/s</td>
<td>114 – 116 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Buffel Park Homestead, Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah</td>
<td>1.5 km</td>
<td>5.1 – 8.9 mm/s</td>
<td>111 -114 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - L8 RP 853653</td>
<td>1.8 km</td>
<td>3.8 – 6.7 mm/s</td>
<td>109 - 112 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Location Distance from Blasting PVS Ground Vibration Peak Airblast¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Distance from Blasting</th>
<th>PVS Ground Vibration</th>
<th>Peak Airblast¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 - L1 RP 614378 (North Property)</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td>3.2 – 5.6 mm/s</td>
<td>108 - 111 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - L1-2 RP 853653 (South Property)</td>
<td>2.2 km</td>
<td>2.8 – 4.8 mm/s</td>
<td>107 - 110 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - Long Pocket Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>2.3 km</td>
<td>2.6 – 4.5 mm/s</td>
<td>107 - 109 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 - L2 RP 616987</td>
<td>2.3 km</td>
<td>2.6 – 4.5 mm/s</td>
<td>107 - 109 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 - GV 148</td>
<td>3 km</td>
<td>1.7 – 3.0 mm/s</td>
<td>104 - 106 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 - L5 RP853653</td>
<td>3.1 km</td>
<td>0.6 – 2.8 mm/s</td>
<td>104 - 106 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 - L1-2 RP 853653 (North Property)</td>
<td>3.2 km</td>
<td>1.5 – 2.7 mm/s</td>
<td>103 - 106 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - L1 SP117775 (East Property)</td>
<td>3.8 km</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.0 mm/s</td>
<td>101 - 104 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 - L9 RP 853653</td>
<td>3.9 km</td>
<td>1.1 – 1.9 mm/s</td>
<td>101 - 104 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - L1 SP 117775 (West Property)</td>
<td>4 km</td>
<td>1.1 – 1.9 mm/s</td>
<td>101 - 103 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 - L1 RP 616897 (North Property)</td>
<td>4.1 km</td>
<td>1.0 – 1.8 mm/s</td>
<td>101 - 103 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - L25 RP 133553</td>
<td>4.4 km</td>
<td>0.9 – 1.6 mm/s</td>
<td>100 - 102 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - L3 RP617628</td>
<td>4.8 km</td>
<td>0.8 – 1.4 mm/s</td>
<td>99 - 101 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 - 66 Jackson Avenue, Moranbah</td>
<td>5 km</td>
<td>0.7 – 1.3 mm/s</td>
<td>99 - 101 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 - Winchester Downs Homestead – Dysart-Moranbah Road, Moranbah</td>
<td>6 km</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.0 mm/s</td>
<td>97 - 99 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 - L3 GV252</td>
<td>6 km</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.0 mm/s</td>
<td>97 - 99 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - L6 SP174999</td>
<td>6 km</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.0 mm/s</td>
<td>97 - 99 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - L1 RP616025</td>
<td>10.1 km</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.4 mm/s</td>
<td>91 - 94 dB Linear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1 Predictions for 20% exceedence therefore criterion is 115 dB Lin. These are presented in bold.

#### 3.5.3.5 Noise and Vibration Impact on Wildlife

Apart from the possibility of noise from blasting startling birds and therefore over time possibly changing where they nest, no adverse impacts on animals are predicted for the project. Given that there is no conclusive information available to confirm that should birds be startled they will change where they nest, noise impacts on animal life surrounding the proposed mine is considered acceptable.

#### 3.5.4 Environmental Protection Objective

The environmental protection objectives for noise and vibration are:

- To avoid causing nuisance noise levels at sensitive receivers; and
- To avoid causing nuisance airblast overpressure and ground vibration impacts at sensitive receivers.

#### 3.5.5 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for noise and vibration are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.
- Noise and vibration monitoring in accordance with the control strategies outlined below.
- The number of substantiated noise or vibration complaints from the community.
3.5.6 Control Strategies

3.5.6.1 Construction

No adverse construction noise levels are predicted except at Location 2 which sits within the ultimate pit design. Therefore, specific construction noise mitigation measures for the project are not warranted.

3.5.6.2 Operations

Receptor-Specific Strategies

Of the three noise criteria stipulated in the EPA’s Ecoaccess Planning for Noise Control document – Background Creep (LA90), Planning/Intrusive (LAeq) and Sleep Disturbance (Lmax) – the Planning/Intrusive (LAeq) predicted noise levels were found to be worst-case.

Twelve properties exceed the Leq criterion for either neutral or worst case weather conditions. No mitigation measures will be adopted for those properties owned by BMA (Locations 1 to 3, 5 and 6), as BMA has the ability to control the occupancy of these properties to meet their operational/environmental requirements.

For the remaining properties, mitigation measures include:

- Low and Super Low noise idlers for the overland conveyor;
- Partial and full enclosure of the overland conveyor;
- Bund walls of up to 20 m in height;
- Upgraded silencing (e.g. high performance silencers) of mobile mine equipment;
- Building façade upgrades (e.g. double glazing) for mitigating internal maximum noise levels only; and/or
- Property resumption.

Location 4 – Lot 1/RP614378 (Anglo owned)

A combination of both noise bunds and upgraded silencing of equipment would not achieve compliance with the noise criterion at this property and therefore the possible resumption of this property, or entering into an agreement with the neighbour, are options that will require further discussion.

Location 7 – Buffel Park

A combination of both super low noise idlers and a full enclosure of the overland conveyor would not achieve compliance with the L90 (steady state) noise criterion at this property. A combination of both bund wall and upgraded silencing of mobile plant would not achieve compliance with the Leq noise criterion at this property. Therefore the possible resumption of this property will require further discussion.

Location 8 – Lot 8/RP853653

Compliance with the noise criterion is achievable with either bund walls (up to 20 m high) or upgraded silencing of mobile plant (albeit the bund wall results in a negligible 1 dBA exceedence). A comprehensive noise monitoring program will be implemented before undertaking any mitigation measures as the exceedence of 3 dBA (before mitigation is implemented) is commonly accepted in the acoustic industry as minor. Given the accuracy of environmental noise prediction of +/- 2 dBA, monitoring validation of actual noise emissions will be undertaken.
Location 9 – Lot 1/RP614378 (Anglo owned)
Compliance with the noise criterion is close to achievable with either noise bunds (up to 20 m high) or upgraded silencing of mobile plant and certainly achievable with a combination of both measures. However, possible resumption of this property, or entering into an agreement with Anglo, may require further discussion.

Location 10 – Lots 1 and 2/RP853653
Compliance with the noise criterion is achievable with either noise bunds (up to 20 m high) or upgraded silencing of mobile plant (albeit the bund wall results in a negligible 1 dBA exceedence). A comprehensive noise monitoring program will be implemented before undertaking any mitigation measures as the exceedence of 3 dBA (before mitigation is implemented) is commonly accepted in the acoustic industry as minor. Given the accuracy of environmental noise prediction of +/- 2 dBA, monitoring validation of actual noise emissions will be undertaken.

Location 11 – Long Pocket Road
Compliance with the noise criterion is achievable with either noise bunds (up to 20 m high) or upgraded silencing of mobile plant. A comprehensive noise monitoring program will be implemented before undertaking any mitigation measures as the exceedence of 3 dBA (before mitigation is implemented) is commonly accepted in the acoustic industry as minor. Given the accuracy of environmental noise prediction of +/- 2 dBA, monitoring validation of actual noise emissions will be undertaken.

Location 13 – GV148
Compliance with the noise criterion is achievable with either noise bunds (up to 20 m high) or upgraded silencing of mobile plant. A comprehensive noise monitoring program will be implemented before undertaking any mitigation measures as the exceedence of 3 dBA (before mitigation is implemented) is commonly accepted in the acoustic industry as minor. Given the accuracy of environmental noise prediction of +/- 2 dBA, monitoring validation of actual noise emissions will be undertaken.

General Strategies
The following general control strategies for noise will be implemented:

- Self adjusting volume or broad-band buzzer type reversing alarms will be utilised to avoid additional noise annoyance to sensitive receptors. Should only traditional constant volume beeping type reversing alarms be used, then the Leq noise measurements for mobile mechanical plant will be penalised a further 2 dBA for the added tonal annoyance.
- Implement maintenance and operation procedures to minimise nuisance noise emissions from equipment, including servicing and maintenance of exhaust systems on mine equipment.
- Investigate complaints to determine the source of the nuisance noise.
- Maintain a register that details noise complaints and corrective actions relating to the complaint.
- Limit the speed of heavy vehicle traffic on haul roads.
- Plan to design haul roads and manage noisy equipment within pits to minimise impacts on sensitive receivers.
- Consider noise control technologies on mining equipment and haul trucks during procurement.
Apply noise mitigation technologies on individual equipment where necessary.
Implement a noise monitoring program as discussed below.

The following control strategies for blasting will be implemented:

- Carry out blasting only during daylight hours, generally during the hours of 8.00 am to 5.00 pm, Monday to Sunday.
- Plan to blast during the middle of the day when background noise levels are higher than at other times of day.
- Where monitoring or complaints indicate airblast overpressure or ground vibration levels of impact consistently above the environmental protection objectives, the following mitigations measures will be considered:
  - Reducing the maximum instantaneous charge (MIC) by using delays, reduced hole diameter and/or deck loading.
  - Changing the burden and spacing by altering the drilling pattern and/or delay layout, or altering the hole inclination.
  - Ensuring stemming depth and type is adequate.
  - Restricting blasts to favourable weather conditions.

### 3.5.7 Monitoring

#### 3.5.7.1 Ongoing Monitoring Program

A combination of permanent and short term annual noise and vibration monitoring will be undertaken as outlined below at the locations identified in Tables 3.5.14 and 3.5.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hornery Homestead- 183 Goonyella Rd (Moranbah Access Rd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Pocket Rd, Moranbah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchester Downs Homestead- Peak Downs Mine Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffel Park Homestead- Peak Downs Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘The Bucket’ Park, Moranbah Access Rd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note ¹ - A permanent noise and vibration monitoring system may be installed at ‘The Bucket’ Park, though additional housing or security measures may be required to protect the monitoring equipment due to public accessibility.

It is also recommended that one of the permanent sites utilise a trailer mounted station. This would need to be located at a somewhat secure site (e.g. Buffel Park) for on-going security reasons. This approach allows the flexibility of temporarily relocating the permanent site to a complainant’s property without the need for an acoustic professional to visit the site.
Table 3.5.15 Recommended Non-Permanent Monitoring Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot 7, Moranbah Access Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Downs Highway (near intersection with Moranbah Access Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 Jackson Street, Moranbah</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A noise and vibration logger will be placed at the locations in Table 3.5.15 along with 15-minute operator-attended noise and vibration measurements (carried out during day, evening and night periods), over a 48 hour period each year. The combination of permanent and short-term annual noise monitoring will ensure that a comprehensive monitoring program for continuous noise and vibration is achieved.

### 3.5.7.2 Complaints Based Monitoring

In the event of a community member registering a complaint regarding excessive noise or vibration levels, a two-phase response regime will be implemented:

- **First complaint: Remote Response** - Data from the nearest permanent monitoring site will be interrogated remotely to determine justification of the complaint. Should the trailer option be implemented, a variation on this “Remote Response” would be to drive the trailer to the complainant’s property and then interrogate the data remotely.

- **Second complaint: Site Response** - An acoustic professional will visit the area where the complaint was registered for a 48-hour period to undertake continuous logging as well as short-term noise and/or vibration monitoring to determine impacts.

### 3.5.8 Commitments

- On-going noise and vibration monitoring will continue to be carried out in accordance with the requirements of *Environmental Protection Act 1994*, the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Policy 2008*, Environmental Protection Regulation 2008, and the DERM’s Noise Measurement Manual.

- The project will investigate all substantiated noise and vibration related complaints.

- The project will implement corrective action resulting from complaints investigations as required.

### 3.5.9 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions - Schedule D – Noise and Vibration

**Noise nuisance**

- **(D1-1)** Noise from the mining activity must not cause a noise nuisance at any sensitive place.

- **(D1-2)** All noise from the mining activity must not exceed the levels specified in (D-1) at any noise affected place.

- **(D1-3)** Noise is not considered to be a nuisance under condition (D-1) if monitoring shows that noise from the mining activity does not exceed the following levels in the time periods specified in Schedule D- Table 1 (noise limits);
Schedule D-Table 1 Noise Limits (Noise Sensitive Place)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise Level dB(A) measured as</th>
<th>Monday to Sunday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noise Level dB(A) measured as</td>
<td>Noise measured at a ‘Noise Sensitive Place’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{A_{eq}} ) adj 15 mins(^1)</td>
<td>( RBL^3 + 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{A_{1}} ), 15 mins(^3)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note \(^1\) External noise limit
Note \(^2\) Internal noise limit
Note \(^3\) Rated Background Level (RBL) as defined in the DERM’s Ecoaccess Planning for Noise Control Guideline

Noise monitoring
(D2-1) When requested by the administering authority, noise monitoring must be undertaken within a reasonable and practicable timeframe nominated by the administering authority to investigate any complaint (which is neither frivolous nor vexatious nor based on mistaken belief in the opinion of the authorised officer) of noise nuisance at any sensitive place, and the results must be notified within 14 days to the administering authority following completion of monitoring. Monitoring must include:

a) \( L_{A_{eq}} \), adj, 15 mins
b) \( L_{A_{1}} \), 15 mins (internal – or a measured external noise level and calculation of corresponding internal noise level)
c) the level and frequency of occurrence of impulsive or tonal noise;
d) atmospheric conditions including wind speed and direction;
e) effects due to extraneous factors such as traffic noise; and
f) location date and time of recording.

(D2-2) The method of measurement and reporting of noise levels must comply with the DERM’s Noise Measurement Manual, Third Edition, 1 March 2000, or more recent editions or supplements as they become available.

(D2-3) If monitoring indicates exceedance of the relevant limits in Condition (D1-3), then the environmental authority holder must:

a) address the complaint including the use of appropriate dispute resolution if required; and
b) immediately implement noise abatement measures so that emissions of noise from the activity do not result in further environmental nuisance.

Vibration nuisance
(D2-1) Subject to Conditions (D2-2) and (D2-3), vibration from the mining activity must not cause an environmental nuisance, at any sensitive or commercial place.

(D2-2) If the Environmental Authority holder can provide evidence through monitoring that the limits defined in Schedule D- Table 2 are not being exceeded then the holder is not in breach of (D2-1).
(D2-3) If monitoring indicates exceedance of the relevant limits in Schedule D – Table 2 (peak particle velocity), then the environmental authority holder must:

a) address the complaint including the use of appropriate dispute resolution if required; and  
b) immediately implement vibration abatement measures so that vibration from the activity do not result in further environmental nuisance.

Schedule D-Table 2 Airblast Overpressure and Peak Particle Velocity Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blast Noise and Vibration Parameter</th>
<th>Monday to Sunday - 8am to 5pm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airblast overpressure level (dB linear peak)</td>
<td>115 db (linear peak) for 4 out of any 5 consecutive blasts regardless of interval between blasts. Any single blast must not exceed 120 db (linear peak).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak particle velocity (mm/s)</td>
<td>For vibrations of more than 35 Hz – more than 25 mm/s ground vibration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For vibrations of no more than 35 Hz – more than 10 mm/s ground vibration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(D2-4) When requested by the administering authority, vibration monitoring must be undertaken within a reasonable and practicable timeframe nominated by the administering authority to investigate any complaint (which is neither frivolous nor vexatious nor based on mistaken belief in the opinion of the authorised officer) of environmental nuisance at any sensitive or commercial place, and the results must be notified within 14 days to the administering authority following completion of monitoring.

Airblast overpressure nuisance

(D3-1) Subject to Conditions (D3-2) and (D3-3), airblast overpressure level from blasting operations must not cause an environmental nuisance, at any sensitive or commercial place.

(D3-2) If the Environmental Authority holder can provide evidence through monitoring that the limits defined in Schedule D – Table 2 (airblast overpressure) are not being exceeded then the holder is not in breach of (D3-1).

(D3-3) If monitoring indicates exceedance of the relevant limits in Schedule D – Table 2 (Airblast overpressure level), then the environmental authority holder must:

a) address the complaint including the use of appropriate dispute resolution if required; and
b) immediately implement airblast overpressure abatement measures so that airblast overpressure from the activity do not result in further environmental nuisance.

(D3-4) When requested by the administering authority, airblast overpressure monitoring must be undertaken within a reasonable and practicable timeframe nominated by the administering authority to investigate any complaint (which is neither frivolous nor vexatious nor based on mistaken belief in the opinion of the authorised officer) of environmental nuisance at any sensitive or commercial place, and the results must be notified within 14 days to the administering authority following completion of monitoring.
(D3-5)  Airblast overpressure monitoring must include the following descriptors, characteristics and conditions:
    a) location of the blast(s) within the mining area (including which bench level);
    b) atmospheric conditions including temperature, relative humidity and wind speed and direction;
    c) location, date and time of recording.

(D3-6)  The method of measurement and reporting of airblast overpressure must comply with the Environmental Protection Agency’s Noise Measurement Manual, Third Edition, 1 March 2000, or more recent editions or supplements as they become available.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE D
3.6 Waste Management

3.6.1 Background

3.6.1.1 Regulatory Framework

In Queensland, the management of waste (non-mineral) is governed by a number of pieces of legislation. As a generator of waste, BMA will ensure that it meets its obligations under the *Environmental Protection Act 1994* (EP Act), *Environmental Protection (Waste Management) Policy 2000* (EPP Waste), *Environmental Protection (Waste Management) Regulation 2000* and the *National Environmental Protection (Movement of controlled Wastes between States and Territories)* Measure during construction and operation of the open cut mine. Description of waste

**Definition of Waste**

The EP Act defines waste as anything that is:

- Left over, or an unwanted by-product, from an industrial, commercial, domestic or other activity; or
- Surplus to the industrial, commercial, domestic or other activity generating wastes.

The EP (Waste) Regulation defines general waste as waste other than regulated waste. Regulated wastes are defined in Schedule 1 of the EP (Waste) Regulation as non-domestic waste (which is defined in Schedule 7 of the Regulation). The EPP (Waste) defines regulated waste, as any waste:

- That contains a significant quantity and concentration of a hazardous contaminant; or
- Where the hazardous contaminant exhibits hazardous characteristics because of its toxicity, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, teratogenicity, flammability, corrosivity, reactivity, ignitability or infectiousness, through its physical, chemical or biological characteristics; or
- That may cause environmental harm if improperly transported, treated, stored, disposed or otherwise managed.

**Waste Management Principles and Hierarchies**

The EPP (Waste) provides guidance for waste management through waste management principles and hierarchies. The principles are:

- Polluter pays principle – all costs associated with waste management should, where possible, be borne by the waste generator;
- User pay principle – all costs associated with the use of a resource should, where possible, be included in the price of goods and services developed from the resource; and
- Product stewardship principle – the producer or importer of a product should take all reasonable steps to minimise environmental harm from the production, use and disposal of the product.

The above three principles form a hierarchy and provide a basis for waste management programs for Environmentally Relevant Activities (ERAs). Waste should be managed following the hierarchy below (in order of priority) (EPA, 2005):

- Waste avoidance.
- Waste reuse.
3.6.1.2 Waste Generated by the Project

The project will generate non-mineral waste during the construction and the operational phases. These sources include:

- Regulated waste including hydrocarbon waste (i.e. waste oil, oily water, oily sludge, grease, coolant, oil rags, oil filters, drums, detergents, solvents, batteries, tyres, paints and resins);
- General waste including food waste, packaging and food containers;
- Recyclable waste including paper, cardboard, plastics, glass and aluminium cans;
- Wood waste including timber, pallets, and off-cuts;
- Tyres including light vehicle tyres and mine truck tyres;
- Scrap metal and off-cuts from the water supply pipeline and mine infrastructure areas including drums, cans, scrap, containers, nails, screws; and
- Sewage effluent and sludge.

**Construction Waste**

The wastes generated by mine and infrastructure construction activities are shown in Table 3.6.1. Quantities of waste were estimated based on information from other coal mine sites in Central Queensland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Management Method</th>
<th>Approximate Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleared vegetation.</td>
<td>Mine, water pipeline, site infrastructure including dams, diversion, levee and sewage treatment plant</td>
<td>Reuse vegetation waste on-site for rehabilitation, landscaping and erosion control where possible.</td>
<td>Small amounts of vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavated waste.</td>
<td>Access roads, site infrastructure and site fencing.</td>
<td>Refill any excavations and spread any excess soil over the nearby area and revegetate.</td>
<td>All used as fill on site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area and water supply pipeline.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required.</td>
<td>&lt;2 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Excess waste will be disposed of in the Heyford Pit spoil dump on-site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel/metal off-cuts.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area and water pipeline.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Segregation and collection on-site. Transportation off-site by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
<td>4 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Type</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Management Method</td>
<td>Approximate Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber pallets and off-cuts.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area and workshop.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Any undamaged pallets will be returned to the supplier for reuse. Excess waste will be chipped and reused on-site as mulch for landscaping and erosion control where practical. Left over waste will be disposed of in the Heyford Pit spoil dump on-site.</td>
<td>4 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paints and resins.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area, workshop and water supply pipeline</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Collection on-site and storage in a segregated area. Transportation off-site by licensed regulated waste transporter, and disposal at a licensed facility.</td>
<td>Minor amounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General wastes including food waste, packaging materials etc</td>
<td>Construction offices and workshop.</td>
<td>General waste will be taken off-site for disposal at the Moranbah town landfill. Collection and segregation of recyclable waste on-site. Transportation by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
<td>50 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grease trap wastes.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Wastes will be collected and taken by licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling.</td>
<td>1,650 litres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil and containers.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Collected and stored on-site in a bunded tank. Transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter, to a licensed facility for recycling.</td>
<td>45 t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oily water.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Oil will be separated from water. The resulting oil will be collected and transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling. The separated water will be disposed of through the Sewage Treatment Plant system.</td>
<td>4 t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The estimated volumes of each waste type (apart from waste rock and tailings) likely to be generated during operational phase of the mine are shown in Table 3.6.2. Waste volumes at the neighbouring Peak Downs Mine (a similar sized mine) were used to estimate waste quantities.

### Table 3.6.2 Waste Generated during Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Management Method</th>
<th>Approximate Quantity (per annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tyres.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Light vehicle tyres will be stored on-site and transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling or disposal.</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) waste and residues (sewage sludge).</td>
<td>Administration offices, workshops.</td>
<td>Disposed of in an appropriate facility by a licensed contractor.</td>
<td>15 t/ annum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Management Method</th>
<th>Approximate Quantity (per annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oily sludge, absorbent, degreaser, grease, oily rags, oil filters.</td>
<td>Workshop and mobile service vehicles.</td>
<td>Collected on-site then transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter, to a licensed facility for recycling or treatment and disposal.</td>
<td>Oil sludge 7,000 litres; Grease 43,500 litres; Oil Filters 30,500 kg, 2 tonnes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil containers.</td>
<td>Workshop and mobile service vehicles.</td>
<td>Drained on-site. Drums will be transported off-site by waste contractor for off-site reuse, recycling or disposal.</td>
<td>121,000 litres 200 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap metal, drums.</td>
<td>Site Infrastructure Area, including administration, workshops.</td>
<td>Segregation and collection on-site. Transportation off-site by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
<td>91 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General wastes including putrescible and organic (food waste), some plastics and paper not suitable for recycling.</td>
<td>Workshop, office.</td>
<td>Collection on-site and storage in segregated area. Transportation off-site to Moranbah Town landfill.</td>
<td>4,000 m³ 149 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recyclable waste including paper and cardboard, plastics, and glass.</td>
<td>Workshop, office.</td>
<td>Segregation and collection on-site. Transportation by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
<td>2,040 m³ 28 tonnes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Waste Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Management Method</th>
<th>Approximate Quantity (per annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste- paints and resins.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Collected on-site and stored in a segregated area. Then transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter, to a licensed facility for treatment and disposal.</td>
<td>&lt;2 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber pallets and off-cuts.</td>
<td>Site Infrastructure Area, including administration, workshops.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Any undamaged pallets will be returned to the supplier for reuse. Excess waste will be chipped and reused on-site as mulch for landscaping and erosion control where practical. Left over waste will be disposed of in the Heyford pit spoil dump.</td>
<td>3 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyres.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Light vehicle tyres will be stored on-site and transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling or disposal. Mine truck tyres will be buried on-site, the locations of which will need to be recorded in accordance with EPA requirements.</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle batteries.</td>
<td>Site Infrastructure Area including administration, workshops.</td>
<td>Collected on-site in a segregated area. Then transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling.</td>
<td>3 tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulated waste- sewage waste and residues (sewage sludge).</td>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant.</td>
<td>Wastes will be transported and disposed of by licensed contractor at a licensed facility.</td>
<td>45 ML/Annum (based on 495 EP) Volume will vary depending on number of people on site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6.2 Environmental Value

Environmental values at the project site that may potentially be impacted upon by non-mineral waste include:

- The life, health and wellbeing of people;
- The biological integrity and diversity of ecosystems and processes surrounding the mine;
The integrity of receiving environments such as land, air, surface water and groundwater (including
the suitability of water for agricultural use);

- The stability of disturbed land and ensuring it is non-polluting;
- The suitability of land for beneficial post mining land use; and
- Visual amenity.

3.6.3 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Value

Environmental harm could occur in and around the project site if wastes are not managed properly. Sensitive
receivers including residences and ecosystems surrounding the project site could be detrimentally impacted if
waste streams entered waterways and groundwater systems and flowed off-site. Similar, air emissions have
the potential to impact sensitive receptors off-site. The following waste streams from the project have the
potential to impact on the above mentioned environmental values:

- Solid waste (other than mineral waste) including regulated waste, general waste and sewage;
- Waste water from the mining operations and processing plant; and
- Air emissions including particulates, fumes and odour from the project during construction and
  operation.

3.6.4 Environmental Protection Objective

The environmental protection objectives for waste are:

- To avoid contaminating land, surface water or groundwater through poor waste management practices.
- To manage waste through the use of licensed contractors, transporters and disposal facilities.
- To minimise the generation of waste in accordance with the waste management hierarchy listed in the
  Environmental Protection (Waste Management) Policy 2000 which involves:
  - implementation of the waste minimisation hierarchy with these waste management options:
    a) waste avoidance;
    b) waste re-use; and
    c) waste recycling.
  - compliance with national and state waste management policies, the EP Act and associated
    regulatory instruments as a minimum; and
  - effective waste disposal (as a last option).

3.6.5 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for waste management are:

- Prevent adverse environmental impacts from waste management during the construction phase.
- Adherence to waste minimisation principles.
- Adhere to waste management hierarchy by:
  - minimising waste generation;
  - maximising water and materials reuse and recycling; and
  - safely treating and disposing of all non-reusable and non-recyclable materials.
3.6.6 Control Strategies

3.6.6.1 General Waste Management Strategies

Waste Avoidance
Waste avoidance is the first hierarchical step in reducing the amount of waste produced. The generation of waste can be avoided by substituting inputs for those that generate waste, increasing efficiency in the use of raw materials, energy, water or land, redesigning processes or products, and/or improving maintenance and operation of equipment. Careful project planning will ensure that the amount of material brought on-site for the construction and operating of the open cut mine is minimised, resulting in a cost saving and reducing the volume of waste generated. Any excess materials and used chemical containers will, where practical, be returned to the supplier or other local users. BMA will also consider packaging issues when purchasing resources for the project and will encourage bulk purchasing to reduce the amount of packaging waste.

Waste Reuse/Recycling
The appropriate management and storage of wastes will prevent on-site and off-site pollution and enhance opportunities for reuse. Waste will be sent for disposal to landfill only once other options have been exhausted. Waste streams will be assessed for potential reuse, prior to transport to an approved disposal facility. Wastes will be reused or recycled where possible:

- Vegetation wastes from site clearing will be used on site in rehabilitation and landscaping.
- Topsoil from disturbed areas will be used in rehabilitation activities on-site;
- Where possible, recyclable materials will be purchased for use throughout the project;
- Solvents, metals and oil will be recovered and re-used;
- Recyclable wastes will be collected separately and reused or recycled, such as:
  - Timber from concrete formwork;
  - Scrap steel and off-cuts;
  - Pallets;
  - Plastics;
  - Paper and cardboard; and
  - Oils.

Waste Separation
Waste, where practicable and taking into account health and hygiene issues, will be segregated and collected on-site and stored in suitable containers for removal to approved facilities as agreed with the relevant local council prior to construction. It is anticipated that a portion of all construction materials will be recycled. Adequate separation of components of the waste stream at the point of generation will be practiced by the project, for example, steel, glass, paper, cardboard and aluminium cans will be segregated from general waste. Waste separation at the source will be achieved by providing bins for reusable or recyclable materials. A number of locations will be allocated within the mine area for the collection of large quantities of waste to enable segregation of wastes for recycling. Some of these materials collected will have a market demand. There are likely to be opportunities to reuse and recycle aluminium cans, some containers such as glass bottles, paper, cardboard, pallets, drums, timber, oils, and scrap metal. The project
will review the marketability of its waste for recycling and reuse on a regular basis should sufficient amounts of reusable/recyclable waste be produced as a result of construction and operation.

**Waste Disposal**

Wastes generated by the project will be disposed of in a way that causes the least harm to the environment. Operational and construction solid wastes that cannot be recycled or reused will be disposed of at an appropriate facility, depending on the waste type. Facilities in the region include:

- Moranbah landfill on Goonyella Road;
- Licensed regulated waste facilities (Mackay, Townsville and Narangba);
- Material Recycling Facility in Mackay; and
- Transfer stations at Nebo and at Coppabella.

### 3.6.6.2 Specific Waste Management Methods

**Construction Waste**

Wastes generated by project construction activities will be managed as shown in Table 3.6.3 and in accordance with the Caval Ridge Waste Management Plan and other requirements discussed below.
### Table 3.6.3 Waste Management for the Construction Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Management Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleared vegetation.</td>
<td>Mine footprint, water pipeline, site infrastructure including dams, diversion, levee and sewage treatment plant.</td>
<td>Reuse vegetation waste on-site for rehabilitation, landscaping and erosion control where possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavated soil.</td>
<td>Access roads, site infrastructure and site fencing.</td>
<td>Refill any excavations and spread any excess soil over the nearby area and revegetate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area and water supply pipeline.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Excess waste will be disposed of in the Heyford Pit spoil dump on-site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel/metal off-cuts.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area and water pipeline.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Segregation and collection on-site. Transportation off-site by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber pallets and off-cuts.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area and workshop.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Any undamaged pallets will be returned to the supplier for reuse. Excess waste will be chipped and reused on-site as mulch for landscaping and erosion control where practical. Left over waste will be disposed of in the Heyford Pit spoil dump on-site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paints and resins.</td>
<td>Site infrastructure area, workshop and water supply pipeline.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Collection on-site and storage in a segregated area. Transportation off-site by licensed regulated waste transporter, and disposal at a licensed facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General wastes including food waste, packaging materials some plastics and paper.</td>
<td>Construction offices and workshop.</td>
<td>General waste will be taken off-site for disposal at the Moranbah town landfill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recyclable wastes including paper and cardboard, plastics, glass, aluminium cans.</td>
<td>Construction offices.</td>
<td>Collection and segregation on-site. Transportation by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grease trap wastes.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Wastes will be collected and taken by licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil and containers.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Collected and stored on-site in a bunded tank. Transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter, to a licensed facility for recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oily water.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Oil will be separated from water. The resulting oil will be collected and transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling. The separated water will be disposed of through the Sewage Treatment Plant system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyres.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Light vehicle tyres will be stored on-site and transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling or disposal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Operational Waste

The management method for each waste type (other than mineral wastes) likely to be generated during operational phase of the mine is shown in Table 3.6.4. Waste generated during the operational phase of the project will be managed in accordance with the Caval Ridge Waste Management Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Management Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oily sludge, absorbent, degreaser, grease, oily rags, oil filters.</td>
<td>Workshop and mobile service vehicles.</td>
<td>Collected on-site then transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter, to a licensed facility for recycling or treatment and disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste oil containers.</td>
<td>Workshop and mobile service vehicles.</td>
<td>Drained on-site. Drums will be transported off-site by waste contractor for off-site reuse, recycling or disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap metal, drums.</td>
<td>Site Infrastructure Area, including administration, workshops.</td>
<td>Segregation and collection on-site. Transportation off-site by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General wastes including putrescible and organic (food waste), some plastics and paper not suitable for recycling.</td>
<td>Workshop, office.</td>
<td>Collection on-site and storage in segregated area. Transportation off-site to Moranbah Town landfill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recyclable waste including paper and cardboard, plastics, and glass.</td>
<td>Workshop, office.</td>
<td>Segregation and collection on-site. Transportation by a waste contractor for off-site recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste- paints and resins.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Collected on-site and stored in a segregated area. Then transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter, to a licensed facility for treatment and disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber pallets and off-cuts.</td>
<td>Site Infrastructure Area, including administration, workshops.</td>
<td>Minimise waste by producing/procuring only the amount required. Any undamaged pallets will be returned to the supplier for reuse. Excess waste will be chipped and reused on-site as mulch for landscaping and erosion control where practical. Left over waste will be disposed of in the Heyford pit spoil dump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyres.</td>
<td>Workshop.</td>
<td>Light vehicle tyres will be stored on-site and transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling or disposal. Mine truck tyres will be buried on-site, the locations of which will need to be recorded in accordance with EPA requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Type</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Management Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle batteries.</td>
<td>Site Infrastructure Area including administration, workshops.</td>
<td>Collected on-site in a segregated area. Then transported off-site by a licensed regulated waste transporter to a licensed facility for recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulated waste- sewage waste and residues (sewage sludge).</td>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant.</td>
<td>Wastes will be transported and disposed of by licensed contractor at a licensed facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulated waste- sewage wastewater discharge from the STP.</td>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant.</td>
<td>STP effluent to be discharged to Process Water Dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process plant water.</td>
<td>Process plant</td>
<td>Process plant water will be recycled to minimise raw water make-up requirements for the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Treatment Plant (WTP) residues.</td>
<td>Water Treatment Plant.</td>
<td>Backflush water from the WTP will be discharged to the Process Water Dam and evaporate. Residue will accumulate in the ponds and the ponds will be remediated at the end of the mine life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater runoff.</td>
<td>Workshop and offices.</td>
<td>Clean stormwater runoff will be collected and contained by a drainage system and directed to on-site retention dams. The water will be reused as a source of water for the CHPP on-site. Surplus water will only be released if there is no storage capacity available and there are no further reuse options available for the site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6.6.3 Waste Management Procedures

The Environmental Management System (EMS) for the project will address waste management with an aim to minimising the quantity of waste generated and improving on the waste disposal and management techniques adopted. The principles for waste minimisation and management for the project are as follows:

- The overarching principle for waste management for the project is:
  - Programs are in place to ensure that wastes are eliminated (or where possible avoided), reduced, reused, recycled, treated, or properly disposed of. Records are kept to ensure that all wastes can be tracked from source to disposal, and waste receiving facilities are audited to ensure conformance to appropriate waste standards.

- The sub-principles for waste minimisation and management for the project are:
  - Initiatives are identified and implemented to use raw materials and natural resources efficiently.
  - Initiatives are identified and implemented to reduce the environmental impact of operations. Programs are implemented to protect, manage and, where appropriate, enhance biodiversity values.
  - Existing and new products and services are assessed for their potential to provide Health, Safety, Environment and Community (HSEC) benefits or cause adverse HSEC impacts over their lifecycle.
  - Opportunities are sought to conduct or support research and innovation that promotes the use of products and technologies that are safe and efficient in their use of energy, natural resources and other materials.
  - Advice is made available to employees, contractors, distributors, customers and the community regarding the possible HSEC impacts associated with the production, transport, storage, use, recycling and disposal of BHP Billiton products.
– Systems are in place to identify, evaluate and respond to HSEC related external influences (e.g. customer needs and expectations, regulations, voluntary standards and competitor initiatives) that could impact on BHP Billiton products and business activities.

• All waste generated on-site during the construction and operation phase will be disposed of in accordance with a Waste Management Plan (WMP), which will provide for:
  – Waste stream characterisation and separation; and
  – Assessment of waste reduction opportunities for identified waste.

• Management of waste in accordance with the waste management hierarchy as identified in the overarching principle for waste management.

**Waste Management Plan**
A WMP will be implemented for the project. The intent of the WMP is to:

• Maintain due diligence to ensure compliance with legislation;
• Support waste minimisation through an ‘avoid, reduce, reuse and recycle’ approach;
• Facilitate good housekeeping practices thereby removing potential health and safety hazards;
• Ensure efficient removal of regulated wastes off-site and ensure that the disposal facilities receiving the waste are regularly audited;
• Ensure records are made of waste stream volumes, thus enabling the setting and measurement of waste management performance objectives and targets;
• Ensure that employees, contractors, distributors and customers understand their obligations under the WMP;
• Provide for continual improvement in waste management practices and processes; and
• Minimise impact to future rehabilitation of the mine site as well as prevention of land, air and water contamination (both on-site and off-site).

Wastes will be managed to avoid adverse impacts on environmental values including the life, health and wellbeing of people and the diversity of ecological processes and associated ecosystems surrounding the mine.

**Corporate HSEC Objectives and Targets**
Measurable HSEC goals are set by BHP Billiton on an annual basis for its existing mines (BMA, 2005a) and will apply to the Caval Ridge Mine project. The goals and associated performance indicators are consistent with the BHP Billiton-wide HSEC targets, which take into account the significant HSEC risks, legal and other requirements, technological options, business requirements and the interests of stakeholders.

Waste management objectives and time-bound targets will be established and performance indicators documented, communicated, monitored and reviewed. The progress of actions will be monitored and reported by the Waste Management Coordinator on a monthly basis to site management. Where trends indicate failure to achieve targets, the Waste Management Coordinator will initiate investigations and identify corrective actions to enable targets to be achieved.
The following are specific BHP Billiton waste management key performance indicators (KPIs) relevant to the project:

- **Storage and Disposal of Waste and Hazardous Substances:**
  - 98% correctly disposed of in accordance with procedures (tracked in contamination reports).
  - All hazardous substances disposed of according to the relevant Australian Standard or BMA standard.

- **Waste minimisation which is defined relative to a base year – waste to be considered include:**
  - Waste to landfill.
  - Volume of coolant and degreaser.
  - Diesel spills.
  - Air filter usage.

Additional waste management objectives for the project include:

- Development of mine departmental recycling targets based on information on department waste streams and volumes.
- Investigation of potential reuse of certain streams of regulated waste like the use of waste oil in on-site operations.
- Enhancement of workforce knowledge on correct segregation of general and recyclable waste streams.

**Risk Assessment**

The WMP will include a risk assessment process to be used to identify the potential risk of various wastes on surrounding sensitive receptors. This will allow contractors during construction and BMA (during operation) to focus resources on the high risk issues.

**Roles and Responsibilities**

The roles, responsibilities and authorities for effectively and continually improving the waste management system for the project will be detailed in the Caval Ridge WMP.

**Employee and Contractor Training and Awareness**

All waste management contractors will have the necessary qualifications to remove waste from site. This will include attendance at generic inductions, obtaining appropriate licenses, being classed as Queensland Coal Board competent, and undergoing a Queensland Coal Board medical examination. All site personnel and contractors must implement the site waste management standards and procedures.

**Emergency Preparedness**

BMA’s current hazard and emergency response protocol will be amended and implemented to enable appropriate response to emergency situations and potential incidents so that impacts on environment, employees and surrounding communities are minimised. The protocol is contained within the Emergency Management Plan and the procedures for spill response. Standard procedures for the storage, handling, disposal and spill response for potentially hazardous waste materials will be adopted. Sites that become contaminated will be investigated, managed and remediated in consultation with the DERM and in accordance with the requirements of the contaminated land provisions of the EP Act.
3.6.6.4 Monitoring

Monitoring of waste management at the project site under the WMP will be undertaken regularly. This will enable BMA to:

- Compile and analyse waste data to enable continuous improvement of waste avoidance, reduction and management measures throughout all components of the project;
- Monitor and, if required, initiate actions to fulfil waste objectives and targets;
- Assess actual waste management results and compare with predicted impacts and mitigation measures;
- Monitor potential environmental impacts; and
- Enable positive actions to be taken in the event of incidents or accidents.

Waste Tracking and Reporting

The movement of regulated waste in Queensland is subject to a waste tracking system under the EP (Waste) Regulation. All waste movement from the site will be tracked in accordance with the requirements of Schedule 2 of the EP (Waste) Regulation, as detailed in the WMP. This will include the completion of Waste Transport Certificates for the collection, transport and management of regulated wastes from the project.

If the waste is regulated and is listed as trackable under the EP (Waste) Regulation, all waste handlers (generators, transporters, and receivers) need to complete their part of a Waste Transport Certificate. The purpose of the document is for reference information for the DERM. With this document the DERM can then follow the waste from the point of origin, transportation route and final destination. The waste properties and characteristics will be known to make sure the waste has been properly handled, treated, stored, transported and disposed of correctly by appropriate licensed personnel and facilities.

The Waste Transport Certificate is available from DERM offices. A copy of the certificate will travel with the waste from the loading point to the delivery of the waste at the final disposal facility. Each document is numbered. The document number then becomes the waste load reference number. Two versions of the Waste Transport Certificate are available, one for intrastate waste transport and one for interstate waste transport. These documents are required to be kept for minimum 5 years. If the waste contains asbestos, the documents will be kept for 40 years. The waste tracking documents will remain on-site for six months after the waste was transported off-site. After the six months the documents will be forwarded to head office and archived after two years.

Specifically, the Waste Transport Certificate will be used to record the following information:

- Name, address, local government area and contact details of generator.
- Name, address, contact details and environmental authority number of receiver.
- Name, address, contact details and environmental authority number of transporter.
- The day and time the waste is given to the transporter.
- The load number.
- Registration number of the vehicle transporting the load
- If the waste is a dangerous good:
  - The type and number of containers in which the waste is contained.
- Its UN number.
- Its packing group designator.
- Its dangerous goods class and any subsidiary risk.

- The following details of the waste:
  - The type of waste.
  - Amount expressed in kilograms or litres.
  - Its physical nature (solid, liquid, paste or gas).
  - Its waste code.

- The waste origin code for the activity that generated the waste.

If the waste is regulated but not trackable then only the following needs to be documented:

- Date of transport
- Type and quantity of waste
- Waste Transport Certificate Number (if required)
- Transporters company name
- Selected route of transport
- Final destination/facility
- Accepted by (transporters signature)
- Records of any incidents that may have occurred en route.

The reports for regulated wastes and DERM Waste Transport Certificates will be forwarded to the BMA Waste Management Coordinator. Waste contractors will also be required to provide BMA with monthly reports which outline different waste types, their disposal methods and tracking.

In addition, the treatment, storage and transport of regulated waste require an Environmental Authority under the EP Act. Where BMA and/or a contractor carries out these activities, BMA and/or the contractor will be required to hold the appropriate approvals. These requirements will be incorporated into the Caval Ridge WMP.

**Auditing, Reporting and Investigation**

The WMP will be subject to regular internal and external audits. The findings of these audits will be used to develop methodologies aimed at improving waste reuse, recycling and minimisation. The targets and progress of actions will be monitored and reported by the project’s Waste Management Coordinator on a monthly basis to mine management. Where trends indicate failure to achieve targets, the Waste Management Coordinator will initiate investigations and identify corrective actions to enable targets to be achieved. The DERM may also audit any aspect of the Caval Ridge WMP at any time.

The mine will maintain a HSEC process to ensure appropriate management of unplanned incidents, including reporting, notification, investigation, analysis, corrective and preventive action, follow up and incident closure. Incidents related to waste management will be handled in accordance with the Corporate HSEC. Where appropriate, recommendations made through this system will be incorporated into the Caval Ridge WMP.
3.6.7 Commitments

- A register of all chemicals stored on the Caval Ridge Mine site will be maintained.
- The storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids will be in accordance with AS 1940 – Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids.
- All regulated waste will be appropriately disposed of to a facility licensed to receive such wastes and, where required, be tracked.
- As part of the staff awareness and induction program, re-use and recycling will be encouraged.

3.6.8 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule E – Waste Management

Storage of tyres

(E1-1) Scrap tyres stored awaiting disposal or transport for take-back and recycling, or waste-to-energy options must be stored in stable stacks less than 3 m high, and at least 10 m from any other scrap tyre storage area, or combustible or flammable material, including vegetation.

(E1-2) All reasonable and practicable fire prevention measures must be implemented, including removal of grass and other materials within a 10 m radius of the scrap tyre storage area.

Disposal of tyres

(E2-1) Where practicable, scrap tyres resulting from the mining activities can be disposed of in open cut pits provided this practice does not cause an unacceptable fire risk or compromise mine safety.

(E2-2) Disposing of scrap tyres resulting from the mining activities in spoil emplacements is acceptable, provided tyres are placed as deep in the spoil as reasonably practicable but not on the pit floor.

(E2-3) Scrap tyres resulting from the mining activities disposed within the operational land must not impede saturated aquifers or compromise the stability of the consolidated landform.

Waste Management

(E3-1) A Waste Management Plan, in accordance with Part 5 of the Environmental Protection (Waste Management) Policy 2000, must be implemented and maintained for the project. The waste management plan must include:

a) a description of the mining activities that may generate waste;

b) the types and amounts of wastes generated by the mining activities; and

c) a program for reusing, recycling or disposing all wastes.

d) details of how the waste will be managed

e) procedures for identifying and implementing opportunities to minimise the amount of waste generated and promote resource efficiency;

f) procedures for dealing with accidents, spills, and other incidents that impact on waste management;
g) the indicators or other criteria on which waste management performance will be assessed; and,

h) a staff awareness and induction program that encourages re-use and recycling.

(E3-2) Waste must not be burned or allowed to be burned on the licensed site unless by approval of the administering authority.

(E3-3) Records of regulated waste must be kept for five years, and must include the following information:

a) date of pickup of waste;

b) description of waste;

c) cross reference to relevant waste transport documentation;

d) quantity of waste;

e) origin of the waste;

f) destination of the waste; and

g) intended fate of the waste, for example, type of waste treatment, reprocessing or disposal.

NOTE: Records of documents maintained in compliance with a waste tracking system established under the Environmental Protection Act 1994 or any other law for regulated waste will be deemed to satisfy this condition.

(E3-4) Regulated waste generated in the mining activity can be temporarily stored on site awaiting removal provided it is stored in a place and circumstance in which there is minimal risk of it causing contamination to land or waters, or a fire hazard.

(E3-5) All regulated waste received at and removed from the site must be transported by a person who holds a current authority to transport such waste under the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act 1994.

(E3-6) All waste removed from the site must be taken to a facility that is lawfully allowed to accept such waste under the provisions of the Environmental Protection Act 1994.

(E3-7) A designated area must be set aside for the segregation of economically viable, recyclable solid and liquid waste.

Other wastes

(E4-1) The only wastes (other than spoil, tyres, and coarse and fine dewatered coal rejects and tailings) that can be disposed of on site are construction and demolition waste.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE E
3.7 Land Management

3.7.1 Background

3.7.1.1 Land Use

The project site and adjoining areas have historically and are currently used for cattle grazing, extractive industries (coal mining) and commercial uses. These land uses are consistent with the predominant land uses in Isaac Regional Council area. Grazing activities occur north of Cherwell Creek on partially cleared land of native and buffel grass pastures. The properties adjoining the project site are predominantly large rural holdings used for grazing cattle on freehold and leasehold land. One cottage and one homestead are located within the project site. Other land uses within the project site include a light industrial trucking workshop (the Kalari Workshop), bushland, 66 kV powerline, the Peak Downs Highway, farming infrastructure (access tracks, fences, stockyards and sheds), and a small quarry. These features are shown on Figure 3.7.1.

The town of Moranbah is located 6.2 km north of the most northern point of the project site. Moranbah is a purpose-built mining town in the northern part of the Isaac Regional Council Area, with a population of approximately 7,432 people (ABS 2007). The Isaac Regional Council was formed after the amalgamation of the Belyando, Broadsound and Nebo Shires in March 2008.

The draft Belyando Planning Scheme (local planning scheme) (October 2006) still applies in the area that was previously the Belyando Shire. The project site is zoned as rural under the planning scheme. The intent of this zone is to protect agricultural or grazing areas surrounding Moranbah from undesirable urban development and to ensure their retention for rural purposes. Within the rural zone, extractive industry (the definition that applies to the proposed project) is an appropriate land use where it is located and operated so as to ensure no unacceptable detrimental impact on surrounding uses or on the environment.

3.7.1.2 Soils

The following soil units were identified within the project site, as presented on Figure 3.7.2.

- Uniform Clays: This soil unit occurs on the undulating plains which include minor areas of linear gilgai. This soil unit generally consist of yellowish and reddish brown to light brownish and reddish yellow uniform clays which display little textural change down the profile. This soil unit is associated in the north-western areas of the project site and encompasses approximately 41% of the project site.

- Yellow Duplex Soils: This soil unit is associated with the floodplain areas, and encompasses some 10% of the project site. The soil is characterised by dark yellow sandy and clay loam of varying depths.

- Brigalow Clays: The soil unit occurs on the lowlands and plains (up to 1% slope). These areas contain melanhole and normal gilgai. The soil is characterised by brown light to medium clays throughout the profile. The unit encompasses some 6% of the project site.

- Skeletal Soils: This soil unit is characterised by shallow reddish brown stony clay soils associated with the steeper eroded side slopes and ridgelines throughout the project site. The soil unit encompasses some 3% of the project site.
- Shallow Heavy Clays: Shallow dark cracking clays occur on undulating plains and low hills within the project site and encompasses some 9% of the project site.
- Dark Heavy Clays: The dark heavy clays consist of heavy dark uniform clays, with a fine mulched surface layer. Given that the unit is a uniform soil, very little textural change down the profile occurs. The soil unit represents 26% of the project site.
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3.7.1.3 Land Capability and Suitability

Suitability
Agricultural land suitability of the project site was assessed using criteria provided in the Guidelines for agricultural land evaluation in Queensland (Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Land Resources Branch, 1990). The classification indicates the potential of the land for such uses as crop production, pasture improvement and grazing based on factors including soils, climate, and topography. The system allows for land to be allocated into five possible classes (with land suitability decreasing progressively from Class 1 to Class 5 based on severity of limitations) on the basis of a specified land use that allows optimum production with minimal degradation to the land resource in the long term.

The project site is currently used for low intensity cattle grazing and has been extensively cleared of trees. The majority of land within the project site is Class 5 land, unsuitable for cropping. Some duplex soils in the vicinity of the north end of the existing Heyford Pit are Class 4 lands that are extremely marginal for cropping. This includes the well drained areas of flat to gently sloping duplex soils. The lands are Class 2 land with respect to grazing which is suitable for low intensity grazing, with minor limitations that lower production or require management practices. The remainder of the project site is Class 2 and 4 land with respect to grazing potential and is land that has either moderate limitations or is marginal grazing land.

Capability
The project site was assessed in accordance with Rosser et al (1974) for pre-mining land capability. The system comprises eight possible classifications and refers to the overall agricultural potential of the land. Classes I to IV are suitable for cultivation with increasing level of management input required, Classes V to VII are not suitable for cultivation with decreasing suitability for grazing, and Class VIII land is not suitable for grazing. The majority of the project site has a Class VI land capability – not suitable for cultivation and is moderately susceptible to degradation requiring proper management to sustain the land use. Some Class V land (high quality grazing land) occurs adjacent to Cherwell and Caval Creeks. The land surrounding Horse Creek and its tributaries and two smaller creeks in the southern section of the project site were identified as Class V land capability. The rocky hills and ridgelines, along with highly eroded soils and skeletal soils, are considered to be Class VII - land that is highly susceptible to degradation requiring severe restrictions for use. Grazing may be conducted with rigorous management inputs required to prevent degradation. The distribution of these land capability classes within the project site can be found in Figure 3.7.3, for the project site.

Good Quality Agricultural Land
The project site and immediate surrounds, was assessed to identify potential Good Quality Agricultural Land (GQAL) (Qld DPI & DHLG&P, 1993). Agricultural land is defined as land used for crop or animal production, but excluding intensive animal uses (i.e. feedlots and piggeries). GQAL is land which is capable of sustainable use for agriculture, with a reasonable level of input, and without causing degradation of land or other natural resources. The categories of GQAL range from Class A (classified as crop land with no to moderate limitations) to Class D (land not suitable for agricultural uses due to extreme limitations). NRW (1995) have mapped the majority of the project site as Class C – suitable for improved or native pastures due to limitations that preclude cultivation for crop production. The remaining 4% of the project site is Class A land. This GQAL occurs within the project site but does not occur within the pit footprint area and, therefore, will not be disturbed. Figure 3.7.4 shows Good Quality Agricultural Land within the project site.
3.7.1.4 Sensitive Environmental Areas

Land Subject to Treaty
There is no known land subject to treaties within or adjacent the project site.

International Treaties (Ramsar Convention, JAMBA, CAMBA, or Bonn Convention)
The Convention on Wetlands, signed in Ramsar, Iran, in 1971, is an intergovernmental treaty which provides the framework for national action and international cooperation for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources (Ramsar, 1996-2008). The nearest Ramsar Wetland is approximately 80 km north of Rockhampton (Shoalwater and Corio Bays) and approximately 250 km east of the project site. Although the project is within the same catchment of this wetland due to the nature of the project and the distance to Shoalwater and Corio Bays, it is unlikely that the project will have a significant impact on Ramsar Wetlands. The Japan-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (JAMBA) and China-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (CAMBA) agreements list terrestrial, water and shorebird species which migrate between Australia and the respective countries. In both cases the majority of listed species are shorebirds (EPA 2008). The Republic of Korea-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (ROKAMBA) formalises Australia’s relationship with the Republic of Korea in respect to migratory bird conservation and provides a basis for collaboration on the protection of migratory shorebirds and their habitat (EPA 2008). The Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (also known as CMS or Bonn Convention) came into force in 1983, Australia has been a party since 1 September 1991. The Bonn convention aims to conserve terrestrial, marine and avian migratory species throughout their range. Table 3.7.1 identifies species currently listed under the BONN Convention, JAMBA, CAMBA and ROKAMBA.

A search of the project site on the Commonwealth DEWHA matters of national environmental significance (NES) database identified a number of migratory bird species listed under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act). In addition, the Queensland Nature Conservation Act 1994 (NC Act) identifies some treaty species as threatened. These species as present on the project site are listed in Table 3.7.1.

Protected Estate, National Parks, Conservation Areas, Wilderness Areas or Scientific Reserve
An Ecomap search was carried out to identify any environmentally sensitive areas near the project site, as determined by the EPA. The EPA ecomap (refer Appendix K) indicates that there are no category A environmentally sensitive areas. Ecomapping indicates the presence of endangered regional ecosystems ("category B" environmentally sensitive areas) within and around the southern boundary of the project site (refer Section 3.8 below). The only National Parks, State Forests, reserves and conservation area within a 50 km radius of the project site is the Peak Range Area (place identification number 8886). The Peak Range National Park incorporates a number of conservation areas. This Area was registered on the Register of National Estate in 21/10/1980. A large quarry reserve is located to the east of the project site within the 50 km radius, another small quarry is located to the north-east of the project site.
### Table 3.7.1 Species Listed under International Migratory Treaties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus Species</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>BONN Convention</th>
<th>JAMBA</th>
<th>CAMBA</th>
<th>ROKAMBA</th>
<th>NC Act¹</th>
<th>EPBC Act²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nettapus coromandelianus</td>
<td>Cotton Pygmy-goose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus</td>
<td>Black-necked Stork</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Not Listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardea alba</td>
<td>Great Egret</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubulcus ibis</td>
<td>Cattle Egret</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haliaeetus leucogaster</td>
<td>White-bellied Sea-Eagle</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostratula australis</td>
<td>Australian Painted Snipe</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallinago hardwickii</td>
<td>Latham’s Snipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numenius minutus</td>
<td>Little Curlew</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tringa stagnatilis</td>
<td>Marsh Sandpiper</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actitis hypoleucos</td>
<td>Common Sandpiper</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calidris acuminata</td>
<td>Sharp-tailed Sandpiper</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterna caspia</td>
<td>Caspian Tern</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirundapus caudacutus</td>
<td>White-throated Needletail</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apus pacificus</td>
<td>Fork-tailed Swift</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merops ornatus</td>
<td>Rainbow Bee-eater</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhipidura rufifrons</td>
<td>Rufous Fantail</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monarcha melanopsis</td>
<td>Black-faced Monarch</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myiagra cyanoleuca</td>
<td>Satin Flycatcher</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrocephalus australis</td>
<td>Australian Reed-Warbler</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not Listed</td>
<td>Not Listed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B Qld NC Act: E = Endangered, V = Vulnerable, R = Rare, S = Special Least Concern (Migratory), CS = Least Concern (Culturally Significant), C = Least Concern wildlife.
Commonwealth EPBC Act: E = Endangered, V = Vulnerable, M = Migratory Species

### Declared Fish Habitats or Aquatic Reserves

A declared fish habitat area (FHA) is an area that is an area protected against physical disturbance from coastal development. Although the project site is not located within an FHA, the creeks that flow through the project site flow into the Isaac River. The Isaac Rover is a tributary of the Mackenzie River which forms the Fitzroy River. The Fitzroy River is a declared fish habitat.

### Heritage, Historic or Cultural Areas or Items

The DEWHA online Australian heritage database (08 October 2008 - http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl) was searched for Register of National Estates, Commonwealth Heritage List, National Heritage List and World Heritage List within the Belyando local government area. No places were identified within a 50 km radius of the project site. Cultural heritage is discussed in Section 3.10 below.

An EPBC protected matters search was conducted for the project site and surrounds (11 July 2008). The search did not identify any world heritage properties or places within or adjacent the project site.
3.7.1.5 Contaminated Land

A contaminated land preliminary site investigation (PSI) was carried out at the project site to determine if any previous or current land uses have resulted in possible contamination issues. Activities identified as being likely to cause contamination are listed as notifiable activities under Schedule 2 of the Environmental Protection Act 1994 (EP Act). It is a requirement of the EP Act that the DERM be informed of any area that has been utilised for a notifiable activity or contaminated by a hazardous contaminant. Notifiable activities under Schedule 2 of the EP Act include, but are not limited to, petroleum product or oil storage, cattle dips, landfills, abrasive blasting, chemical storage, mineral processing and disposal of mine wastes. The DERM maintains the EMR and CLR. Table 3.7.2 presents the results of searches performed on the EMR and CLR for lots within the project site.

Table 3.7.2  Results of EMR and CLR Register Searches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>EMR listed</th>
<th>CLR Listed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>SP163605</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RP884695</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SP151669</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RP615467</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RP615467</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RP615467</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RP615467</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>GV225</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SP163605</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>GV116</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>GV135</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SP174999</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>GV226</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RP818135</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SP135741</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RP616987</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>GV303</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SP137499</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RP616897</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Management Register

The EMR is a land use planning and management register. It records properties that have been used for a notifiable activity (i.e. activities that may cause land contamination). The EMR provides information on historic and current land uses registered with the DERM. Generally, sites registered on the EMR pose a low risk to human health and the environment. The listing of a site on the EMR does not automatically mean the land must be remediated or that the current land use must cease. The following lots are currently listed on the EMR:

- Lot 18/GV135 for the notifiable activity of Petroleum Product or Oil storage;
- Lot 14/GV116 for the notifiable activity of Petroleum Product or Oil storage; and
- Lot 10/SP137499 for the following notifiable activities:
Lot 18/GV135 is within the mining lease held by BMA. Various mobile petroleum storage activities take place at the project site, including mobile refuelling of plant and vehicles. There are no fixed locations for this activity, however, this is the likely reason for the registration. Lot 14/GV116 is listed for the notifiable activity of Petroleum Product or Oil Storage, however the location of this storage activity is unknown.

Lot 10/SP137499 is part of the operating Peak Downs Mine. The project site contains a very small part (15.53 ha) of the northern section of this lot, which is used for storage and formulation of explosives used at PDM, the coal rejects stockpile, and mechanical workshops for water pumps for the mine. The area affected by the project does not contain any of these activities and is located some distance from any infrastructure associated with these activities.

Contaminated Land Register
The CLR is a register of Risk sites. If it has been scientifically proven that a site is contaminated, the site is registered on the CLR and action is required to either remediate or manage the site to reduce the risk of potentially serious harm to the environment and human health. None of the lots forming part of the project site are currently listed on the CLR.

### 3.7.1.6 Mineral Wastes

Mineral waste is generated through mining in the form of overburden and interburden, and through coal processing as rejects and tailings. The total mined overburden and interburden volumes from the Horse and Heyford Pits combined are expected to approximate over 1,600 million bulk cubic metres (Mbcm) over a 30-year mine life (i.e. approximately 55 Mbcm per year). This equates to over 2,000 million tonnes (Mt) over the mine life based on an assumed overburden/interburden (sandstone/siltstone) excavated density of 1.3 to 1.4.

In addition to this coal reject material will be generated by the project and will comprise primarily coal seam roof and coal seam floor material from the P, Harrow and Dysart seams, and also ROM coal from Peak Downs Mine (PDM), which will be processed at Caval Ridge Mine. Approximately 161 Mt of coarse rejects and 54 Mt of fine rejects (215 Mt of rejects in total) are expected to be produced from the CHPP at Caval Ridge Mine over a 30-year life (i.e. about 7 Mtpa). Coarse rejects will comprise approximately 80% of the total reject volume and the remainder will be fine dewatered tailings. Rejects (coarse and fine) will comprise in the order of 10% of all geological waste produced by the project.

Overburden and interburden will be predominantly disposed of into the mined Horse and Heyford Pits, behind the operating strip, however an out of pit overburden dump will be constructed along the western edge of the Horse Pit box-cut, between the box-cut and the haul road, for the first year of mining. This out-of-pit spoil will comprise approximately 28 Mbcms of overburden (less than 2% of the total) mined from the box-cut and will cover an area of approximately 340 ha.
The rejects materials from the CHPP will consist of coarse reject, spiral tailings and flotation tailings generated from Caval Ridge Northern and Southern ROMs. The rejects and tailings material will be analysed in the early stages of the project to determine their geochemical characteristics, specific disposal requirements, and implications for site rehabilitation. These materials will be managed as follows:

- Coarse rejects will be dewatered and discharged onto the CHPP rejects conveyor, which reports to the rejects bin;
- Fine reject from the spirals will be thickened and dewatered before being discharged onto the coarse rejects conveyor;
- The flotation tailings will also be thickened before reporting to belt press filters; and
- The solids discharged from the belt press filters (tailings paste) will be discharged onto the coarse rejects conveyor.

All rejects (coarse and dewatered tailings) from the CHPP (approximately 20% moisture) will be combined and truck-dumped into the Horse and Heyford Pits where they will be co-disposed with spoil material. It is planned that there will be no disposal of coal rejects or fine coal tailings outside of the pits; instead these wastes will be disposed of as dewatered solids within the in-pit spoil dumps.

3.7.2 Environmental Values

The environmental values of the land at the project site that are to be protected or enhanced are:

- The integrity of undisturbed land and ecosystems on the project site;
- The integrity of topsoil as a resource to be used in rehabilitation;
- The stability of disturbed land and ensuring it is non-polluting; and
- The suitability of land to support beneficial post mining land uses such as agriculture and native ecosystems.

3.7.3 Potential Impacts on Environmental Values

Site activities with potential to impact on the land environmental values are:

- Land disturbance (vegetation clearance, topsoil stripping, stockpile management) causing erosion and degradation of topsoil resources;
- Land disturbance resulting in a reduction in agricultural land capability and suitability, and capacity to support native ecosystems;
- Construction of spoil dumps and potential acid mine drainage generation;
- Construction of access tracks, haul roads and pits;
- Disposal of coarse and fine dewatered rejects and tailings;
- Creation of final voids; and
- Potential land contamination from the inadequate management of hazardous materials including fuels, oils and chemicals.

3.7.3.1 Land Use

The Caval Ridge Mine lease area is used for broad scale cattle grazing. Much of the area is either uncleared or partially cleared. No areas are suitable for forage or cash cropping uses. Post-mining, rehabilitation of the Caval Ridge Mine will return a stable landform capable of uses similar to those prior to disturbance. To
achieve this, the nominated post-mine land use for the site is a mosaic of bushland and grassland. The mosaic will link remnant native vegetation where possible and will aim to return some conservation values (refer Section 3.7.5.2 below).

3.7.3.2 Soils

Topsoil Suitability
The major land disturbance at the project site will result from excavation of the open cut pit, placement of out-of-pit overburden dumps and haul road construction. Topsoil will be recovered in these areas of disturbance. Structural and textural properties of subsoils are the most significant limiting factors in determining depth of soil suitability for re-use, however, salinity levels, pH and dispersion potential are also limiting factors in some soils in the project site. Recommended topsoil stripping depths for each soil unit are provided in Section 3.7.5.1 below.

Erosion Potential
Some of the uniform clay sites have indicated a moderate erosion potential with Emerson Aggregate Test ratings of 2 to 3, which indicates a moderate potential for dispersion and surface hardsettingness. Once this material is disturbed, the potential for erosion may be increased. If this disturbance occurs within the vicinity of a drainage line, this could impact on the health of downstream watercourses, through an increase in the sediment load. These soils will not be disturbed without suitable erosion and sediment controls being implemented, including the construction of structural soil conservation works (e.g. contour, graded and diversion banks and drop structures together with sediment control basins). Cover crops and/or organic ameliorants will be used to reduce soil dispersion and surface crusting thereby reducing runoff and increasing infiltration which will subsequently reduce erosion and sedimentation.

Potential Acid Generating Material
The potential for acid generation from regolith material (topsoil and subsoil) within the project site is low. This does not include acid generation potential within the overburden material (consolidated bedrock below 2 to 3 m depth), which was not assessed during this survey. Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS), which are the main cause of acid generation within the soil mantle, are commonly found less than 5 m above sea level, particularly in low-lying coastal areas such as mangroves, salt marshes, floodplains, swamps, wetlands, estuaries, and brackish or tidal lakes. The project site is located within the Central Highlands region (which is located approximately 150 km from the coast at > 260 m AHD). There has been little history of acid generation from regolith material with this region.

3.7.3.3 Land Suitability
The proposed post-mining land use for the project site is expected to be low intensity grazing, with minor areas of native habitat. In terms of soil conservation and agricultural land suitability, the proposed post-mining land use of low density cattle grazing is considered achievable for those areas not subject to significant landscape modification (open cut pit and out-of-pit spoil dumps). In the areas impacted by significant landscape modification, agricultural suitability class may be altered. In areas where mining impacts land with a land suitability Class 2 or Class 3, a greater level of management will be required to prevent land degradation and change in suitability class.

In order to sustain the desired land use without degradation, it is important that the post-mining land only be used in accordance with the limits of the agricultural suitability class. Soil conservation practices such as
stocking rate control and establishment or re-establishment of permanent pasture are recommended for areas of mining impact. The overriding principle is to maintain the most beneficial future use of land that can be sustained in view of the range of limiting factors. The proposed post-mining land must provide and sustain a sufficient bulk of nutritious forage in addition to the following management considerations:

- The ability to access and manage livestock;
- Flood free and relatively dry ground conditions;
- Adequate stock drinking water and shelter; and,
- Stock routes throughout the land.

Provided that environmental controls such as structural soil conservation works and appropriate revegetation are in place and operating properly during mine construction, operation and closure, there should be no adverse effects to the project site or the surrounding grazing land.

3.7.3.4 Sensitive Environmental Areas

There is no known land subject treaties within or adjacent the project site. Due to the nature of the project and the distance from the project to any of the protected estates, national parks, conservation areas, wilderness reserves or scientific areas, declared fish habitats, GBRWHA, RAMSAR wetland, it is unlikely that the project will have an impact on these areas.

Although migratory species that are listed under JABMA, CAMBA, ROKAMBA and the BONN Convention are likely to be present on the project site there is little evidence to suggest that the site supports an 'ecologically significant' proportion of a population of these migratory species. Given their migratory habits, the ephemeral nature of important food and habitat resources and the extent of similar and comparable habitat throughout the range of these species, it is likely that the habitats on the project site would be utilised infrequently and on a transitory basis only (refer Section 3.8).

No National Estates, Commonwealth Heritage List, National Heritage List and World Heritage List were identified within a 50 km radius of the project. No sites, places or objects of significant cultural heritage significance were identified during recent investigations. It is unlikely that the project will have an impact on the non indigenous cultural heritage values of the area.

3.7.3.5 Contaminated Land

Inspections of the project site carried out in November/December 2007 and March 2008 identified several areas as having potential to be contaminated as follows (Figure 3.7.5):

- Potential soil/groundwater impact from an in-ground oily water tank and minor impacts from petroleum product spills at the Kalari Workshop Trucking Yards;
- Potential residual pesticide impact at the cattle yards on Lot 13/SP151669 (it is understood that in the 1990s DPI issued a remediation order to have the top 300 to 500 mm of soil excavated and disposed of, and clean fill be laid. The excavated soil is believed to have been stockpiled elsewhere on Lot 12 on SP151669 (Buffel Park), which is located outside the project site);
- Potential lead residues in the soil at the rifle range site in the centre of the Horse Creek property;
- Potential soils impact as a result of chemical storage at the occupied homesteads;
• Potential contamination associated with petroleum product or oil storage on Lot 14/GV116 (exact location unknown);
• Potential soil contamination from leaks and spills of mobile transformer lubricants, winding oils and fuels on the operating Peak Downs Mine; and
• Potential soil contamination from fuels storage and transfer at mobile fuel tanks on Peak Downs Mine (including Lot 18/GV135).

The various areas investigated (with the exception of the homestead, rifle range, and sawmill sites), are within the project footprint. A protocol will be developed to further assess (and manage as required) these areas. These assessments will include site inspections as deemed necessary and possible soil testing where required.

3.7.3.6 Mineral Wastes

Coal is deposited within environments that typically have a high potential to produce sulphides within the sediments. The mining of coal and removal of the overburden and interburden can result in the oxidation of the sulphides upon exposure to air and water, generating sulphuric acid. The resulting mine drainage is characterised by highly acidic waters with elevated metal and sulphate concentrations. Geochemical assessment is used to determine whether the spoil contains sulphides and whether they will potentially form acidic conditions, if oxidised. Mineral wastes generated by the project have the potential to impact on the identified land environmental values, depending on the characteristics of the material and methods of disposal employed.

**Acid Generating Potential**

Geochemical static-test data collected from seven drill holes at the project site (Figure 3.7.6) indicate that all overburden/interburden and almost all potential rejects tested are clearly non acid forming (NAF). In addition, the total sulphur contents of these materials was very low, with 29 of the 31 overburden and interburden samples having total sulphur concentrations ≤0.1% and therefore classed as barren. Similarly for the reject samples, where the average and median total sulphur concentrations for the 43 samples tests were 0.1% and 0.06%, respectively. One coal-seam roof sample from the P08 seam was classified as potentially acid forming (PAF), indicating the some fine-grained sulphide mineral is likely to be present in some materials, most likely the roof and floor (potential reject) materials, but the proportions of such PAF materials are expected to be very low.
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From an acid-base perspective almost all materials are NAF, but the high acid neutralising capacity (ANC) of many of the samples combined with the very low sulphur concentrations, indicates there will be excess alkalinity to buffer the small quantity of acid that may potentially be produced by a very small proportion of the likely mineral waste materials. As a general rule, an ANC to maximum potential acidity (MPA) ratio of two or more generally signifies that there is a high probability that the material will remain circum-neutral in pH and thereby should not be problematic with respect to acid rock drainage. The median ANC/MPA ratio for the project samples tested was 31. Geochemical analysis indicates that rejects from PDM coal to be processed and disposed at the project are not expected to generate acid.

**Multi-Element Composition and Water Quality**

Composite overburden and potential reject samples were tested for total and water-soluble metals concentrations. Only total manganese (Mn) was present in solids in concentrations that exceeded the applied Draft Queensland Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Contaminated Land (1998), but still well within the National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) (1999a) health-based investigation level (HIL) guidelines. Despite this, the water extracts from all composite samples (evaluated for the immediate solubility of multi-elements in solids) had soluble metal concentrations below applied ANZECC (2000) values for livestock drinking water and below NEPC (1999b) groundwater investigation levels.

Although soluble metals concentrations were low, the electrical conductivity (EC) of the materials was moderate to high, ranging from 388 to 1,970 µS/cm (median 679 µS/cm), and was similar for both overburden and potential rejects. These results indicated that metals concentrations in overburden and potential reject materials was low and that the initial water solubility of these materials with respect to metals in mineral waste materials from the project was also low, but the materials may contribute some salt load to the surrounding environment.

The multi-element analyses undertaken by URS (2002) on coarse rejects and tailings from PDM indicates that rejects from PDM coal to be processed and disposed at the project site are not expected to cause environmental issues with respect to metal and salt concentrations in leachate.

**Potential for Use of Overburden Materials in Rehabilitation**

It is proposed that rejects and almost all overburden materials will be disposed of together back into the void behind the mining (stripping) face in Horse and Heyford Pits. Some quantity of overburden materials will be set aside for rehabilitation of the spoil, as rejects will not be permitted to report to final surfaces. Also, a small proportion of overburden (<2% of the overall total) will be disposed into an out of pit dump along the western edge of the Horse Pit box-cut.

All of the tested overburden composite materials (and also the potential reject materials) had variously elevated Exchangeable Sodium Percentage (ESP) values, ranging from 8.5% to 25% (median 11%). An ESP value of between 6% and 14% indicates that these materials are regarded as marginally sodic to sodic and may be prone to dispersion (Isbell, 2002). Sodic material will be disposed of away from the final landform surfaces where possible. Any marginally sodic or sodic material to be disposed on at the surface of landforms (e.g. as a growth medium) will be treated appropriately.

Sodic soils often have unbalanced nutrient ratios that can lead to macro-nutrient deficiencies (Hazelton and Murphy, 2007). Table 3.7.3 shows the proportions of each exchangeable cation relative to the effective cation exchange capacity (eCEC). The desirable proportions of each major cation are also shown (Abbott,
Exchangeable cation proportions in the overburden and potential rejects were outside the average ranges, but were not extreme. The exchangeable proportions of the alkali metals Na and K are high, which supports the naturally alkaline nature of most mineral waste materials. Exchangeable Ca and exchangeable Mg proportions are marginally imbalanced, but were generally acceptable. Exchangeable Ca:Mg ratios less than two typically require some form of amelioration before these materials can be used as a growth layer. The overburden materials have a median exchangeable Ca:Mg ratio of 2.7, whereas the potential reject materials have a median exchangeable Ca:Mg ratio of 1.6, indicating that amelioration of overburden materials for use as a growth layer may not be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchangeable Cation</th>
<th>Desirable ranges</th>
<th>Overburden</th>
<th>Potential Rejects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (Ca)</td>
<td>65 - 80</td>
<td>26 – 65 (average 53)</td>
<td>29 – 65 (average 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (Mg)</td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>15 – 35 (average 24)</td>
<td>14 – 34 (average 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (K)</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>3 – 20 (average 11)</td>
<td>10 – 25 (average 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (Na)</td>
<td>0 - 1</td>
<td>9 – 25 (average 13)</td>
<td>9 – 15 (average 12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In soil chemistry a pH 1:5 (solid:water w/v) of between 7.9 and 8.4 is regarded as moderately alkaline and a soil pH 1:5 of between 8.5 and 9.0 is regarded as strongly alkaline. Of the overburden samples, 58% were regarded as moderately alkaline and 29% were regarded as strongly alkaline. Comparatively, 77% of the potential reject samples were regarded as moderately alkaline and 16% were regarded as strongly alkaline. Therefore some degree of nutrient imbalance is likely to already exist in these materials, despite exchangeable Ca:Mg ratios in these materials being generally acceptable.

3.7.4 Environmental Protection Objective
The objectives to protect the environmental values of the mined land are:

- To provide a stable, non-polluting landform;
  - Land disturbed by mining activities will be made stable (geotechnically and erosionally) to ensure that the post mine landform are not compromised by instability.
- To provide a beneficial post mining land use;
  - The post-mine land uses for areas disturbed by mining will be a mosaic of self sustaining vegetation communities and grazing land, using appropriate native tree, shrub and grass species, and improved pasture species as appropriate.
- To minimise the extent and degree of disturbance on land and remnant vegetation as mining continues and will continue to rehabilitate land disturbed by mining;
- To maximise the recovery and reuse of topsoil;
- To minimise land contamination and to continue to remediate areas of contamination, as appropriate within the constraints of the continuing operations; and
- To minimise pre-mining disturbance and to continue to rehabilitate exploration areas
3.7.5 Performance Criteria
The performance criteria for land management are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.
- No off site impacts from acid rock drainage.
- Stable landforms once rehabilitated with no visible rill or gully erosion.

3.7.6 Control Strategies

3.7.6.1 Soils
The strategies discussed below will be implemented to minimise and manage potential impacts on soils at the project site, along with other control strategies to be implemented as part of rehabilitation and decommissioning of the site (refer Section 3.7.5.2). A topsoil management plan will be maintained and regularly updated. This will include:

- All relevant aspects for topsoil retrieval such as striping, stockpiling and re-spreading procedures, stockpile locations and inventory;
- Topsoil stripping quantities formulated from pre-mining soil survey information; and
- Stripping and stockpile methodology.

Topsoil Stripping, Handling and Respreading
Where topsoil stripping and transportation is required, the following topsoil handling techniques will be implemented to prevent excessive soil deterioration:

- Strip material to the depths stated in Table 3.7.4 and shown in Figure 3.7.7, subject to further investigation as required;
- Topsoil will be maintained in a slightly moist condition during stripping. Material will not be stripped in either an excessively dry or wet condition;
- Marker pegs will be used to indicate required stripping depth in the uniform clays (brown clays and dark clays), especially where over-stripping may expose potentially dispersive subsoils;
- Place stripped material directly onto reshaped overburden and spread immediately (if mining sequences, equipment scheduling and weather conditions permit) to avoid the requirement for stockpiling;
- Grade or push soil into windrows with graders or dozers for later collection by elevating scrapers, or for loading into rear dump trucks by front-end loaders, to minimises compression effects of the heavy equipment that is often necessary for economical transport of soil material;
- Soil transported by dump trucks may be placed directly into storage. Soil transported by bottom dumping scrapers is best pushed to form stockpiles by other equipment (e.g. dozer) to avoid tracking over previously laid soil;
- The surface of soil stockpiles will be left in as coarsely textured a condition as possible in order to promote infiltration and minimise erosion until vegetation is established and to prevent anaerobic zones forming;
Maintain a maximum stockpile height of 3 m. Clayey soils, such as the brown clay topsoil, will be stored in lower stockpiles for shorter periods of time (i.e. less than 12 months) compared to sandier soils, selected from the alluvial soils;

If long-term stockpiling is planned (i.e. greater than 12 months), seed and fertilise stockpiles as soon as possible. An annual cover crop species that produce sterile florets or seeds will be sown. A rapid growing and healthy annual pasture sward provides sufficient competition to minimise the emergence of undesirable weed species. The annual pasture species will not persist in the rehabilitation areas but will provide sufficient competition for emerging weed species and enhance the desirable micro-organism activity in the soil; and

An inventory of available suitable surface cover material will be maintained to ensure adequate topsoil materials are available for planned rehabilitation activities.

Table 3.7.4 Soil Stripping Depths for Soil Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Unit</th>
<th>Recommended Stripping Depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Clays</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Duplex Soils</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigalow Clays</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal Soils</td>
<td>Not recommended for stripping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow Heavy Clays</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark Heavy Clays</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow Sandy Soils</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Brown Duplex Soils</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Sandy Loams</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B.* High clay content material may benefit from mixing with a sandy textured material for use in rehabilitation.

Sampling and analysis of topsoil resources for pH, conductivity, exchangeable Na% and nutrient requirements, whether stockpiled or in situ, will be undertaken prior to respreading to assess suitability (Table 3.7.5). This will assist in identifying potential soil deficiencies and estimating required rates of fertiliser or ameliorant (i.e. gypsum or lime) application.

Table 3.7.5 Soil Suitability Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>30% peds present coherent when wet or dry no mottle present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>finer than sandy loam sand and gravel content &lt; 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersion</td>
<td>EAT &gt; 2 (2) exchangeable Na% &lt; 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>&gt; 4.5 &amp; &lt; 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5 dS/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not all reshaped overburden areas will require topdressing using conserved topsoil reserves when direct tree seeding techniques are implemented in the revegetation program. Where possible, suitable topsoil will be re-spread directly onto reshaped areas. Where topsoil resources allow, topsoil will be spread to a minimum
depth of 10 cm on all regraded spoil. Topsoil will be spread, treated with fertilizer or ameliorants (if required) and seeded in one consecutive operation, to reduce the potential for topsoil loss to wind and water erosion. Prior to re-spreading stockpiled topsoil onto reshaped overburden (particularly onto designated tree seeding areas), an assessment of weed infestation on stockpiles will be undertaken to determine if individual stockpiles require herbicide application and/or scalping of weed species prior to topsoil spreading.
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Post Disturbance Regrading

Regrading will be undertaken to produce slope angles, lengths and shapes that are compatible with the proposed post-mine land use and not prone to an unacceptable rate of erosion. A drainage system will be installed that is capable of conveying runoff from the newly created catchments whilst minimising the risk of erosion and sedimentation. Contour furrows or contour banks will be constructed at intervals down the slope to divide long slopes into a series of short slopes with the catchment area commencing at each bank or furrow. This will prevent runoff from reaching a depth of flow or velocity that would cause erosion. As the slope angle increases, the banks or furrows will be spaced closer together until a point is reached where they are no longer effective.

Contour ripping across the grade (to minimise erosion and cultivate the surface in readiness for sowing) will be constructed away from the true contour, at a designed gradient (0.5% to 1%) to drain water towards the sediment control structures. The use of engineered waterways using erosion blankets, ground-cover vegetation and/or rip rap will be used to safely dispose of runoff downslope. Sediment control basins will be constructed to capture sediment laden runoff prior to off-site release. The following points will be considered when selecting sites for sediment control basins:

- Each dam will be located so that runoff may easily be directed to it, without the need for extensive channel excavation or for excessive channel gradient. Channels must be able to discharge into the dam without risk of erosion. Spillways must be designed and located so as to safely convey the maximum anticipated discharge;
- The material from which the dam is constructed must be stable. Dispersive clays, such as the subsoils of the dark clays, will require treatment with lime, gypsum and/or bentonite to prevent failure of the wall by tunnel erosion. Basins will be well sealed, as leakage may lead to instability, as well as allowing less control over the storage and release of water; and
- The number and capacity of basins will be related to the total area of catchment and the anticipated volume of runoff.

Seedbed Preparation

Thorough seedbed preparation will be undertaken to ensure optimum establishment and growth of vegetation. All topsoiled areas should be contour ripped (after topsoil spreading) to create a “key” between the soil and the spoil. Ripping should be undertaken on the contour and the tynes lifted for approximately 2 m every 200 m to reduce the potential for channelised erosion. Ripping will be undertaken when soil is moist and immediately prior to sowing where possible. The respread topsoil surface will be scarified prior to, or during seeding, to reduce run-off and increase infiltration. This can be undertaken by contour tilling with a fine-tyned plough or disc harrow.

3.7.6.2 Rehabilitation and Decommissioning

Objectives

The objectives of rehabilitating disturbed land that will result from the project comprise:

- Achievement of acceptable post-disturbance land use suitability – Mining and rehabilitation will aim to create a stable landform with land use capability and/or suitability similar to that prior to disturbance, unless other beneficial land uses are pre-determined and agreed. This will be
achieved by setting clear rehabilitation success criteria and outlining the monitoring requirements that assess whether or not these criteria are being accomplished;

- Creation of stable post-disturbance landform - Mine wastes and disturbed land will be rehabilitated to a condition that is self-sustaining, or to a condition where maintenance requirements are consistent with an agreed post-mining land use; and

- Preservation of downstream water quality – Surface and ground waters that leave the mining leases should not be degraded to a significant extent. Current and future water quality should be maintained at levels that are acceptable for users downstream of the site.

Rehabilitation Strategy
All areas significantly disturbed by mining activities will be rehabilitated to a stable landform with a self-sustaining vegetation cover. Rehabilitation of disturbed land will generally proceed within two years of the areas becoming available for rehabilitation. In some situations, progressive rehabilitation may not be possible because the area may be effectively integrated with areas nearby that are unavailable for rehabilitation. To achieve the objectives above, rehabilitation will be conducted so that:

- Suitable species of vegetation are planted and established to achieve the relevant grassland and bushland post-mine land uses;

- Wherever practicable landscaping and rehabilitation works will include endemic native species of local provenance, and if suitable will also make use of conservation significant flora species or species that can provide habitat opportunities for conservation significant fauna

- Potential for erosion is minimised, including likelihood of environmental impacts being caused by the release of dust;

- The quality of surface water and seepage released from the site is such that releases of contaminants are not likely to cause environmental harm;

- The water quality of any residual water bodies meets criteria for subsequent uses and does not have the potential to cause environmental harm; and

- The final landform is stable and not subject to slumping or erosion which will result in the agreed post-mining landform being maintained.

A Rehabilitation Management Plan will be developed to incorporate the control strategies and monitoring programs identified in Section 3.7.5 to 3.7.7 of this EM Plan.

Landform Design and Planning
Rehabilitation planning at the project will ensure the total area of disturbance at any one time is minimised to reduce the potential for wind-blown dust, visual impacts and increased sediment-laden run-off. Rehabilitation will be designed to achieve a stable final landform compatible with the surrounding environment. This will involve the reshaping, using large dozers, of the majority of overburden emplacement slopes to 10 degrees or less. Should slopes exceed 10 degrees, additional drainage and revegetation works will be carried out to ensure erosion / sediment control and groundcover establishment is achieved. Natural re-contouring will be incorporated in rehabilitation design and construction wherever possible and treed vegetation along the toe of rehabilitation areas will not be cleared unless an unacceptable safety or erosion risk remains. Waterways and diversions on the project site will be rehabilitated to a post-mining standard to include a diverse riparian vegetative community of native trees, shrubs and grasses. The conceptual final landform contour is illustrated on Figure 3.7.8.
Rehabilitation Methods

Progressive Rehabilitation
Rehabilitation will be progressively undertaken on areas that cease to be used for mining or mine-related activities within two years of becoming available. This will reduce the amount of disturbed land at any one time. Results of progressive rehabilitation and vegetation trials (if appropriate) will be used to refine rehabilitation methods for future application such as the selection of appropriate drainage measures and plant species for re-establishment. Areas available for progressive rehabilitation and the types of disturbance at those sites will be detailed in the Plan of Operations. Rehabilitation of exploration disturbance will be undertaken in accordance with the BMA Geological Services Environmental Management Plan. Disturbance will be minimised. Drill holes will be capped and drill lines and tracks not required again in the near future will be rejuvenated or ripped and seeded.

Revegetation
Revegetation activities will be scheduled to occur after the completion of reshaping, re-topsoiling and drainage works. Where possible, the timing of these works will enable a preferred seasonal sowing of pasture and tree seed in autumn or spring. On prepared surfaces, selected tree, shrub and pasture species will be sown using seed stock and/or planted depending on the species, slope gradients and area to be revegetated. Tree and shrub species will be established at a density and richness consistent with the nominated post-mine ecosystem.

Plant selection for areas to be returned to bushland will focus on those species that will successfully establish on the available growth medium, species that will bind the soil and species that will result in a variety of structure and food/habitat resources, with an aim to establishing woodland to open forest. Native species will be established through direct seeding or planting of tube stock/nursery-raised stock from local propagules. Seed will be collected from site where possible and treated if necessary to ensure it is adapted to environmental conditions in the area. Tree and shrub establishment on site will be dominated by the direct seeding method, currently being used at the majority of coal mines in the Bowen Basin. An initial tree and shrub mix, based on the species list from the terrestrial ecology assessment is provided in Table 3.7.6 below, and will be reviewed periodically depending on changes in best practice, technology and rehabilitation monitoring results.
Table 3.7.6 Initial Native Tree and Shrub Species Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Native Tree Species</th>
<th>Shrub Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia leiocalyx</td>
<td>Corymbia intermedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia cambagai</td>
<td>Corymbia polycarpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia farnesiana</td>
<td>Corymbia tessellaris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia holosericea</td>
<td>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia rhodoxylon</td>
<td>Eucalyptus cambageana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia salicina</td>
<td>Eucalyptus microtheca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia shirleyi</td>
<td>Eucalyptus crebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocasuarina luehmannii</td>
<td>Eucalyptus dreanophylla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atriplex muelleri</td>
<td>Eucalyptus populneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachychiton australis</td>
<td>Eucalyptus tereticornis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachychiton rupestric</td>
<td>Eucalyptus thozetiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callistemon viminalis</td>
<td>Melaleuca bracteata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corymbia erthropobia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A combination of native and introduced pasture species will be used on the bushland sites to ensure the quick establishment of a continuous groundcover, thereby reducing the risk of erosion. Legumes may also be selected to assist in the supply of bio-available nitrogen to the soil. If the use of introduced grasses and/or legumes is deemed necessary for erosion control in the bushland areas, pasture seed and fertiliser will be applied at a lower rate than for pasture outcomes to reduce competition with tree seed and/or seedlings. Native and exotic pasture species will be sown where the risk of erosion is less and on the more protected aspects of landforms. Introduced, stoloniferous grass species (e.g. Rhodes Grass, Indian Couch) will be sown on the steeper slopes (>10°) as their growth habit provides more extensive coverage in a shorter time. Aerial sowing and ground broadcasting will be conducted for pasture seed as the preferred sowing methods and grazing will be restricted whilst the vegetation is establishing. Potentially suitable pasture species include:

- Indian Couch
- Rhodes Grass
- Bambasti Panic
- Purple Pidgeon Grass
- Siratro
- Stylo
- Red Natal Grass
- Sabi Grass
- Silk Sorghum

Weeds will be managed in accordance with Section 3.9.5.1 below.

Decommissioning of Infrastructure, Plant and Buildings

Site Services
All services including power, water, data and telephone for the project site will be isolated, disconnected and terminated to make them safe. The inspection pits and junction boxes for underground services will be sealed. Generally all underground services will be made safe and left buried in the ground. Overhead power lines will be removed and the materials (i.e. poles and wire) recovered for potential re-sale or recycling as
applicable. Switch room buildings will be disconnected and demolished. The substations will be removed from the site and either used on another project or sold as a going concern.

**Infrastructure and Buildings**

All sumps will be de-watered and the excess coal removed prior to the commencement of demolition. In addition, all items of equipment will be de-oiled, degassed, depressurised and isolated and all hazardous materials (HAZMATs) removed from the site.

All buildings, including the main administration building, workshop, CHPP and fixed plant (including stacker / reclaimers, conveyors and gantries, transfer points, thickener tank, coarse reject hopper, vehicle wash, etc) will be required to be demolished and removed from the site. Where possible assets may be re-used or sold to other mining operations. The remaining items will be demolished, removed and transported from the site as required. All recoverable scrap steel will be sold and recycled, with the remaining non-recyclable wastes either being taken to a licensed landfill or buried in the backfill of the final voids. Only inert wastes will be placed in the backfill.

All concrete footings and pads will be broken up to at least 1.5 m below the surface and removed with the non contaminated waste material and buried in the voids. The carbonaceous material on the base of the ROM and product stockpile areas will be stripped to a depth of at least 0.5 m and buried in the low wall of the open cut void. Where possible the material will be considered for reprocessing before the CHPP goes off line.

The entire CHPP and infrastructure areas will be dozer trimmed to facilitate the appropriate drainage of surface runoff from the site. Appropriate surface water management structures (contour banks, drains and settlement ponds) will also be constructed. The site will be rock raked to remove all surface rocks to a size of less than 500 mm and ripped to a depth of at least 1 m. Fertiliser and pasture/tree seed will be applied to assist establish grassland post-mine land use.

**Roads, Car Parks and Hardstands**

The bitumen roadways, car parks and hardstand areas around the CHPP, workshop and administration areas will be ripped up with the inert waste material being placed in the open cut voids and buried. Contaminated, carbonaceous or unsuitable (gravel, etc) material will be removed from the haul roads and hardstand surfaces and disposed of and covered in the low wall area. Minor dozer reshaping work will be undertaken to ensure surface level consistency with the surrounding areas. Any creek crossings (i.e. culverts, etc) will be removed and the pre-existing drainage line re-instated where applicable. The site will be rock raked to remove all surface rocks to a size of less than 500 mm and ripped to a depth of at least 1 m. Fertiliser and pasture/tree seed will be applied to assist establish pasture post-mine land use.

A light vehicle access road is to be maintained to enable inspections of the site following closure of the mine. All roadside markers (tyres and guideposts) and signs are also to be removed from within the area once mine closure activities within the pit area have been completed.

**Sediment Control Basins and Surface Water Features**

All sediment control basins which assist in the water flow from the final rehabilitated surface will be retained following mine closure. The water storage basins will be removed and the original drainage paths re-established wherever possible. These areas will be rock raked to remove all surface rocks to a size of less
than 500 mm and ripped to a depth of at least 1 m. Fertiliser and pasture/tree seed will be applied to the site.

**Void Management**
A void management strategy will be developed and provide:

- Measures to minimise potential impacts associated with the final void;
- Measures for monitoring and management of potential impacts of the void over time; and
- Options for the final post-mine use of the void.

**Void Water Quality**
Groundwater and surface water assessments indicate that the water quality in the final void will be saline. The following aspects will be considered with respect to managing final void water quality:

- Stratification of the water column;
- Concentration of dissolved salts resulting from the mining of the coal seams;
- Surface flow into the void;
- Recharge rates to the spoil aquifer and void;
- Movement of flow through the spoil aquifer;
- Groundwater inflows and outflows; and
- Rainfall and evaporation.

Post-closure, a ground and surface water monitoring program will remain in place to closely monitor any changes to water chemistry within the void.

**Low Wall Slope Stability**
Stability of the low wall will be achieved through implementing the following:

- The low wall will be battered back from the angle of repose to ensure that long term geotechnical stability of the face. Determination of geotechnical stability will be based on an assessment of the spoil material, the likely degree of settlement, and the degree of weathering expected in the long term. Where required the sides of the final void will be battered back to 17 degrees;
- Drainage on and over the low wall will be minimised through the construction of drainage control structures;
- Erosion of the low wall will be controlled by limiting the length of slope, minimising the degree of slope, and by the establishment of suitable vegetation;
- Battering of the low wall against the bottom of the high wall will enhance stability; and
- Benching of the spoil material may need to be considered in some areas in order to achieve geotechnical stability and minimise erosion.

**High Wall Slope Stability**
To ensure the safety of the final void, the surrounding final slopes will be left in a condition where the risk of slope failure is minimised. The following will be considered when assessing the geotechnical stability of the highwalls:

- Long term groundwater levels;
Long term final void water levels;
- Height and inclination of slope and number and spacing of intermediate benches;
- Shear strength of the highwall soils and rocks;
- Density and orientation of fractures, faults, bedding planes, and any other discontinuities, and the strength along them; and
- The effects of the external factors, such as surface runoff.

Prior to closure, further investigations will be undertaken to confirm the criteria above and appropriate action will be taken to ensure effective long term safety, stability and management of the void.

**Spontaneous Combustion**

Spontaneous combustion is not known to occur at Peak Downs Mine and is therefore not expected to occur on the project site. However, it has been included for reference as it is often an issue associated with final voids. Spontaneous combustion above ground commonly occurs in waste dumps containing reject coal material, in unconsolidated heaps where oxygen can come into contact with the coal and heat can’t dissipate. The problem is compounded when rainfall events cause erosion, progressively exposing the coal. Spontaneous combustion may also occur in the coal seam exposed in the remaining highwall of the final void. The following will be undertaken to reduce the potential for spontaneous combustion to occur:

- Accumulations of coal material, particularly pyritic, will be buried under inert spoil;
- Any remaining coal spalling will be removed from the highwall where possible;
- If any coal on the highwall face is prone to spontaneous combustion, it will be sealed with water, clay or inert soil where possible; and
- Should any outbreaks of spontaneous combustion occur in the final void, details on the materials involved, presence of pyrites, location, date, time and climatic conditions will be recorded. This will be undertaken as part of the ongoing inspection and monitoring to occur post closure of the mine.

**Control of Surface Inflow**

The control of surface inflow into the final void is essential for the long term management of water quality within the pit and will also aid in the control of erosion to low walls and high walls. Drainage will be directed away from the highwall face through the construction of interceptor channel drains around the perimeter of the highwall and spoon drains will be utilised on the upslope side of all benches. Drainage will be such that external catchments are diverted away from the final void (ie only internally draining voids, where possible, not receiving draining from external catchments). Drainage over the low wall will be minimised through constructing surface water diversions, and drainage on the wall will be limited and controlled to reduce the erosion potential.

**Safety**

At mine closure, voids will be rendered safe in terms of access by humans, livestock and wildlife. The following will be considered at the time of closure to ensure that the void is left in a safe manner:

- To ensure stability, the high walls and low walls will be battered back to a stable slope angle as required;
- Exposed coal seams will be covered with inert material to prevent ignition either from spontaneous combustion, bush fires or human interference;
A barrier at a safe distance from the perimeter of the void to prevent human access will be constructed. The highwall areas will be secured by the construction of a trench and a 2 m safety berm, as well as a 2.1 m security fence along the entire length of the remaining high wall. This is to provide an engineered barrier between the pit and the surrounding area. The trench and berm is to be constructed in such a way that it will physically stop most vehicles;

- Suitable signs, clearly stating the risk to public safety and prohibiting public access will be erected at 50 m intervals along the safety fence;

- Surface runoff from land surrounding the void will be diverted from entering the void so as to prevent flooding of the pit and potential development of instability of the void walls;

- Shrub and/or tree planting along the outside edge of the bund wall will be implemented where practicable to lessen the visual impact of the wall, and will be in accordance with the agreed post-mining rehabilitation criteria and land use.

**Catchment Management Strategies**

The catchments, formerly reporting to the final void, will be diverted away from the low wall areas to minimise the amount of clean water runoff accumulating in the voids. These catchment areas will be either rehabilitated or in an advanced stage of rehabilitation. Runoff from these areas will be diverted to appropriate sediment control measures prior to leaving the site through stable water disposal areas.

The remainder of the regraded low wall area entering the void, will be stabilised with structural soil conservation earthworks (banks, drains, drop structures, etc), and suitable vegetation to minimise low wall erosion.

**3.7.6.3 Contaminated Land**

The principal risks for land contamination from the construction and operation of the project result from waste storage (refer Section 3.6), reject handling and the potential for acid rock drainage (refer Section 3.7.3.6), and hydrocarbon storage and use. General control strategies minimise the potential impact of the project on soil and groundwater are identified in Section 3.4.4. Additional control strategies to avoid the contamination of land include the following:

- Any contaminated material will be removed and placed in an appropriate area for remediation. This material will be kept separate from any material used for rehabilitation activities.

- During excavation works, any existing potentially contaminated fill material will be segregated from clean material. The fill material will be analysed prior to removal from site. If contaminated soil is to be removed from site, the DERM regulations for waste transport and disposal will be followed. Any fill material to be imported for use during construction works will be clean. The status of fill will be confirmed prior to delivery on site.

- Coal stockpiles, workshop areas, chemical stores, fuel tanks and waste disposal/storage areas will be located on hardstand or compacted soil. As runoff from these areas may be contaminated, runoff will be collected using appropriate drainage and water management structures and managed within the mine water management system.

- Record all hazardous materials used in a Hazardous Materials Register that includes details on storage location, storage requirements, proper usage, handling information and disposal procedures.
Where possible, hazardous chemicals and materials will be replaced with less harmful alternatives. Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) for chemicals used or brought to site will be kept in a central register on site and at the area of use and be readily available to workers at all times. Prohibit using chemicals on site that are not contained in the Hazardous Materials Register and do not have an MSDS.

- Clearly label all fuels, oils and chemicals.
- Store and handle corrosive materials in accordance with AS 3780.8 (Class 8 substances – corrosives).
- Store flammable and combustible liquids in accordance with the bunding requirements of AS 1940:2004.
- Store all fuels, oils and chemicals in containers of 200 L or more in a bunded area with capacity of at least 110% of the largest container.
- Store all fuels, oils and chemicals in containers less than 200 L, either in a bunded area with capacity of at least 110% of the largest container, or in a fenced and roofed compound.
- Regular inspections of containers, bund integrity, valves and storage and handling areas will be carried out.
- Spills will be cleaned up immediately. Provide spill clean-up kits including absorbent materials in close proximity to each store containing fuels, oils and chemicals. Site vehicles will be equipped with appropriate spill kits. For significant chemical or fuel spills, the site emergency response plan will be followed and the appropriate authorities notified as soon as possible.
- Install tank level indicators on fuel oil tanks for monitoring of fuel oil levels.
- Maintain fuel oil tanks to ensure safe and effective operation of all components.
- Design fuel tanks in accordance with AS 1692:2006 ‘Steel tanks for flammable and combustible liquids’ to minimise the potential for failure of the diesel storage vessel.
- Maintain contractor management procedures that require contractors to provide MSDS and apply for approval prior to bringing new chemicals on site.
- All staff will be trained as part of their site induction in appropriate handling, storage and containment practices for chemicals, fuel and other potential contaminants as relevant.
- Detailed records will be kept of any activities or incidents that have the potential to result in land contamination. Records will be kept on an inventory that contains information on storage locations, personnel training and disposal procedures for all chemicals, fuel and other potential contaminants used on site. Records will be maintained and reviewed regularly.

Lots identified at the project site as being potentially contaminated will be treated as follows:

- The cattle yard on Lot 13/SP151669 - A protocol will be developed to further assess (and manage as required) this area. These assessments will include site inspections as deemed necessary and possible soil testing where required. This area is the proposed location of the box-cut spoil pile. Any contaminated soil present in the site, identified through soil analysis, will be handled through an appropriate management strategy. The soil removed as part of the original DPI remediation order be located, assessed and if required, disposed of in an appropriate facility.
- Prior to any development of the project area taking place, the plan of mining operations shall be compared to the locations of the identified areas of potential contamination to identify what actions
need to be undertaken. If a site is to be disturbed by proposed mining activities, a protocol will be developed to further assess (and manage as required) identified areas. These assessments will include site inspections as deemed necessary and possible soil testing where required.

- The development of Heyford Pit will require the removal of potentially contaminated soils from five sites over the life of the mine. These include areas that previously had diesel tank skid mounts, transformers, toilets and septic tanks, and drilling pad sumps.
- The development of Horse Pit will require the removal of potentially contaminated soils from a former gravel pit site, located on the northern section of the development.
- The development of Horse Creek Diversion will require the removal of potentially contaminated soils from the site currently occupied by the Kalari Workshop and Yard.

3.7.6.4 Mineral Wastes

The ongoing management of mineral waste (overburden, interburden and potential reject materials) will consider the geochemistry of materials with respect to their potential risk to cause harm to the environment and their suitability for use in revegetation. A mineral waste management strategy for the project will be designed to focus on the placement of mineral waste materials to minimise run-off and erosion and the evaluation of the geochemical characteristics of materials from untested areas or lithologies that have not been evaluated. Monitoring of mineral wastes is discussed in Section 3.7.6 below.

3.7.7 Monitoring

3.7.7.1 Mineral Wastes

Geochemical Analysis

The geochemical information for the project site has largely been derived from the Horse Pit area. The geology of the project site, from Harrow Creek to the northern boundary of Horse Pit, is relatively uniform (i.e. the same units and lithology) despite seam splits. Therefore, the geochemical characteristics of mineral waste materials from the Heyford Pit (the southern section of the project) are expected to be the same as those tested at Horse Pit and similar to the geochemical characteristics of the existing PDM to the south. BMA will undertake ongoing operational geochemical characterisation of mineral waste materials in the southern section of the project site to confirm the expected geochemical characteristics of these materials.

Continued characterisation of reject materials from the CHPP will also be undertaken to verify the expected geochemical data of rejects. This data will be used to re-evaluate the management strategies of mineral waste materials. Future geochemical characterisation will comprise standard acid-base and metals testing (static tests), and assessment of general soil properties (sodicity, exchangeable cations) of mined waste materials to evaluate their suitability for use in revegetation activities.

Water Quality

Leachate and site water derived from, or in contact with, spoil piles, reject materials or other mineral waste is not expected to be problematic with respect to pH (acid) and metals, however the moderate EC of the overburden materials means that monitoring of salt concentrations in leachate will be undertaken to ensure nearby drainages are not receiving salt loads that could impact upon existing ecosystems. This will be managed by retaining surface seepage and runoff water on-site. This water will be reused in the mine water management system. This will be particularly important in the vicinity of the CHPP where coal washing is likely to produce brackish run-off water.
3.7.7.2 Rehabilitation and Decommissioning

Rehabilitation will be monitored regularly in accordance with the preliminary monitoring program identified below. Monitoring results will be compared against the nominated success criteria to track the progress of rehabilitation towards the objective of a self-sustaining ecosystem. Rehabilitation techniques will be continually developed and refined over the life of mine through an ongoing process of monitoring at the site and recognition of other industry experiences. A corrective action program will be implemented to address areas of failed rehabilitation and periodic and final rehabilitation reports will be submitted to the DERM as detailed in the Rehabilitation Management Plan.

Success Criteria

Preliminary success criteria (or closure criteria) for the rehabilitation of the main mine areas have been proposed in Table 3.7.7 below. The success criteria are performance objectives or standards against which rehabilitation success in achieving a sustainable system for the proposed post-mine land use is demonstrated. Satisfaction and maintenance of the success criteria (as indicated by monitoring results) will demonstrate that the rehabilitated landscape is ready to be relinquished from the mine's financial assurance and handed back to stakeholders in a productive and sustainable condition.

The success criteria have been developed to comprise indicators for vegetation, fauna, soil, stability, land use and safety on a landform-type basis that reflects the nominated post-mine land use of bushland and grassland. For each element, standards that define rehabilitation success at mine closure are provided. Based on the generic indicators in Table 3.7.7, each criterion will be further developed to be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and outcome based, and to reflect the principle of sustainable development. The further development of each criterion will be based on results of research, monitoring of progressive rehabilitation areas and risk assessments. The success criteria will be reviewed every three to five years with stakeholder participation to ensure the criteria remain realistic and achievable.

3.7.8 Commitments

- At closure the mine will achieve the agreed rehabilitation success criteria.
- Progressive rehabilitation of the disturbed areas will be undertaken on an availability basis.
- An ongoing rehabilitation monitoring program will be undertaken against the agreed criteria.
### Table 3.7.7 Rehabilitation Success Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rehabilitation Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. In–Pit and Out-of-Pit Spoil Dumps and Dragline Spoil Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landform stability</td>
<td>Slope gradient</td>
<td>No less than 75% of the area has slopes &lt;10° and up to 25% of the area has slopes &gt;10°. Where reject layers are present and exposed, the landform is capped.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion control</td>
<td>Erosion control structures are installed commensurate with the slope of the landform. Average soil loss per annum per domain unit is &lt;40 tonnes/ha/yr (sheet erosion).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water Drainage</td>
<td>Use of contour banks and diversion drains to direct water into stable areas or sediment control basins.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure receiving waters affected by surface water runoff have contaminant limits of electrical conductivity maximum of 1,500 µS/cm and pH range of 5.5 to 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Storages, Creek Diversions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clean water storages and diversions to be stabilised and left as required. Dirty water storages to be cleaned out and rehabilitated to a stable non-polluting condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Salinity (electrical conductivity)</td>
<td>Soil salinity content is &lt;0.6 dS/m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Soil pH is between 5.5 and 8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium content</td>
<td>Soil Exchange Sodium Percentage (ESP) is &lt;15%.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient cycling</td>
<td>Nutrient accumulation and recycling processes are occurring as evidenced by the presence of a litter layer, mycorrhizae and/or other microsymbionts. Adequate macro and micro-nutrients are present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td>Area accomplishes and remains as a healthy working bushland ecosystem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface cover</td>
<td>Minimum of 70% vegetative cover is present (or 50% if rocks, logs or other features of cover are present). No bare surfaces &gt;20 m² in area or &gt;10 m in length down slope.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species composition</td>
<td>Comprise a mixture of native trees, shrubs and grasses representative of regionally occurring woodland to open forest where possible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community structure</td>
<td>Groundcover, understorey and overstorey structure similar to that of appropriate reference site(s)*.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience to disturbance</td>
<td>Established species survive and/or regenerate after disturbance. Weeds do not dominate native species after disturbance or after rain. Pests do not occur in substantial numbers or visibly affect the development of native plant species.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Species are capable of setting viable seed, flowering or otherwise reproducing. Evidence of second generation of tree/shrub species. Vegetation develops and maintains a litter layer evidenced by a consistent mass and depth of litter over subsequent seasons.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Rehabilitation Element Indicator Criteria

#### Fauna

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertebrate species</td>
<td>Representation of a range of species characteristics (e.g. activity pattern, habitat usage, diet, dispersal character etc (WMB, 2003; Kimber et. al., 1999)) from each faunal assemblage group (e.g. reptiles, birds, mammals), present in the ecosystem type, based on pre-mine fauna lists and sighted within the three-year period preceding mine closure. Sighting of species of conservation significance or indicators of the presence of species of conservation significance (e.g. tracks) likely to be present in the established ecosystem type within the three-year period preceding mine closure (assuming non-mine related disturbance has not eliminated local populations thereby removing the colonising source). The number of vertebrate species does not decrease by more than 25% in the successive seasons prior to mine closure or by more than 40% over the two successive seasons prior to mine closure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Invertebrate species

| Presence of representatives of a broad range of functional indicator groups involved in different ecological processes (including termites for soil structure, Collembola for decomposition, Hemiptera for herbivory and predatory groups such as arachnids, centipedes, earwigs, cockroaches and ants as indicators of a range of other processes (Bisevac and Majer, 1998). |

#### Habitat structure

| Typical food, shelter and water sources required by the majority of vertebrate and invertebrate inhabitants of that ecosystem type are present, including: a variety of food plants; evidence of active use of habitat provided during rehabilitation such as nest boxes, stags and logs and signs of natural generation of shelter sources including leaf litter. |

#### Safety

| Risk assessment has been undertaken in accordance with relevant guidelines and Australian Standards and risks reduced to levels agreed with the stakeholders. |

### 2. Final Voids (including Ramps)

#### Landform stability

| Slope gradient | Highwall faces exhibit long-term geotechnical stability and a geotechnical report has been completed. Competent rock Highwall to have slope of <65°. Incompetent rock highwall to have slope of <17°. Low wall to have slope of <17°. Ramp walls not backfilled exhibit long-term geotechnical stability and a geotechnical report has been completed. In-pit rejects and spoil slope gradients can exceed 15%. |

#### Erosion control

| Average soil loss per annum per domain unit is <40 tonnes/ha/yr (sheet erosion). Erosion mitigation measures have been applied to ensure slope stability |

#### Surface Water Drainage

| Use of contour banks and diversion drains to direct water into stable areas or sediment control basins. |

#### Water quality

| Electrical conductivity of any void water may exceed 1,500 µS/cm if an ecological assessment shows the long-term ecological stability and groundwater quality is not adversely affected. |

#### Water Storages, Creek Diversions

| As for 1. |

#### Topsoil

| As for 1. |

#### Vegetation

| Land use | Where ramps and in-pit spoil design allow, area accomplishes and remains as a healthy working bushland ecosystem (although naturalised grasses may be used). |

<p>| Surface cover | As for 1. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rehabilitation Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species composition</td>
<td>Comprise a mixture grasses, shrubs and trees (where possible) suitable for establishment on steeper slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community structure</td>
<td>Groundcover and understorey structure to that of appropriate reference site(s)*.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resilience to disturbance</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>More than 75% of individual grasses and shrubs are healthy when ranked healthy, sick or dead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Risk assessment has been completed and risk mitigation measures have been implemented. Where risk mitigation measures include bunds, safety fences and warning signs, these have been erected generally in accordance with relevant guidelines and Australian Standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rejects Dumps</td>
<td>Landform stability</td>
<td>Slope gradient: Final slope of 1V:6H (9.5°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erosion control</td>
<td>Reject emplacements have been capped to a depth of 1.5 m of inert material. Erosion mitigation measures have been applied. Average soil loss per annum per domain unit is &lt;40 tonnes/ha/yr (sheet erosion).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface Water Drainage</td>
<td>Drainage control measures are installed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water Storages, Creek Diversions</td>
<td>As for 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>As for 1 where capping allows for tree establishment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fauna</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erosion control</td>
<td>Erosion mitigation measures have been applied. Average soil loss per annum per domain unit is &lt;40 tonnes/ha/yr (sheet erosion).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface Water Drainage</td>
<td>Use of contour banks and diversion drains to direct water into stable areas or sediment control basins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water Storages, Creek Diversions</td>
<td>As for 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Rehabilitation Element Indicator Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rehabilitation Element</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td>Buildings, water storage, roads (except those used by the public) and other infrastructure have been removed unless stakeholders have entered into formal written agreements for their retention. Areas are readily accessible and conducive to safe cattle management activities. Predicted economics and/or benefits have been defined and agreed by the stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface cover</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species composition</td>
<td>Palatable, nutritious pasture grass species are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community structure</td>
<td>Desirable grass species comprise at least 60% of total grass cover. Tree density and height of &gt;25 stems per 5 ha each being &gt;2 m in height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resilience to disturbance</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>Nitrogen fixing grass species present. More than 75% of shrubs and/or trees are healthy when ranked healthy, sick or dead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna</td>
<td>Vertebrate species</td>
<td>Representation of a range of species characteristics (e.g. activity pattern, habitat usage, diet, dispersal character etc (WBM, 2003; Kimber et. al., 1999)) from each faunal assemblage group (e.g. reptiles, birds, mammals), present in the grassland ecosystem type, based on pre-mine fauna lists and sighted within the three-year period preceding mine closure. The number of vertebrate species does not decrease by more than 25% in the successive seasons prior to mine closure or by more than 40% over the two successive seasons prior to mine closure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invertebrate species</td>
<td>Presence of representatives of a broad range of functional indicator groups involved in different pastoral ecological processes (including termites for soil structure, Collembola for decomposition, Hemiptera for herbivory and predatory groups such as arachnids, centipedes, earwigs, cockroaches and ants as indicators of a range of other processes (Bisevac and Majer, 1998).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habitat structure</td>
<td>Typical food, shelter and water sources required by the majority of vertebrate and invertebrate inhabitants of pastoral ecosystem type are present, including: a variety of food plants and signs of natural generation of shelter sources including leaf litter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>As for 1.</td>
<td>Risk assessment has been undertaken in accordance with relevant guidelines and Australian Standards and risks reduced to levels agreed with the stakeholders. Closure documentation includes the contaminated sites register which identifies contaminated sites and the treatment applied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Reference sites discussed below.
Monitoring Program
Regular monitoring of the rehabilitation will be required during the vegetation establishment period, to demonstrate whether the objectives of the rehabilitation strategy are being achieved and whether a sustainable landform has been provided. In addition to rehabilitated areas, reference sites will be identified and monitored to allow a comparison of the development and success of the rehabilitation against a control. Reference sites indicate the condition of surrounding un-mined areas or areas successfully rehabilitated that the mine sites must replicate. The rehabilitation at neighbouring Peak Downs Mine will be reviewed to determine if any areas would provide suitable reference sites for the project.

Monitoring will be conducted periodically by independent, suitably skilled and qualified persons at locations which will be representative of the range of conditions on the rehabilitating areas. Annual reviews will be conducted of monitoring data to assess trends and monitoring program effectiveness. Monitoring of the rehabilitated areas will broadly involve the following:

- Ongoing chemical analysis of topsoil;
- Comparison of soil erosion rates and rill and gully dimensions with measurements taken from reference sites;
- Comparison of vegetation measurements with measurements taken from reference sites;
- Ongoing analysis of water quality parameters in accordance with the development consent and environmental protection licence conditions from data collected monthly at water storages, ramps and pits, sediment basins and sewage effluent outfalls on-site, and from creeks (upstream and downstream of mine); and
- Visual surveillance including the use of digital photogrammetry/low level oblique or vertical aerial photography to monitor changes over time in the rehabilitation (e.g. changes in vegetation structure, erosion rates and landform drainage).

More specifically, monitoring of the elements in Table 3.7.8 will be undertaken to determine the level of achievement of success criteria.
Table 3.7.8 Rehabilitation Monitoring Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of Rehabilitation</th>
<th>Elements to be Monitored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecosystem Establishment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground cover</td>
<td>Percentage of ground covered by vegetation, rocks, logs and other obstructions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obstruction lengths and widths (indicates the amount of ground cover that is present to collect, hold and disseminate available resources necessary for ecosystem function) for use in Landscape Function Analysis (LFA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fetch lengths (measure of distances of soil surface that is bare of matter that could slow water velocity) for use in LFA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community structure and composition</td>
<td>Species composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number and form of ground cover and understorey species per plot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density, height, canopy cover and DBH of tree and large shrub species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numbers, heights and species identity (where able to be determined) of any seedlings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence of reproduction/regeneration (e.g. flower heads, fruits/seeds, germination of seedlings etc).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment of individual plant health (healthy, sick or dead).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat</td>
<td>Availability and variety of food sources (e.g. flowering/fruiting trees, presence of invertebrates etc).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Availability and variety of shelter (e.g. depth of leaf litter, presence of logs, hollows etc).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence/absence of free water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna</td>
<td>Presence and approximate abundance and distribution of functional indicator invertebrate species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General observations of vertebrate species (including species of conservation significance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detailed fauna surveys including presence and approximate abundance and distribution of vertebrate species (focussing on species of conservation significance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds and pests</td>
<td>Species identity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approximate numbers/level of infestation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observations of impact on rehabilitation (if any).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect of Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Elements to be Monitored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion Monitoring and Soil Characteristics</td>
<td>Stability, infiltration and nutrient cycling undertaken according to LFA procedure. Electrical Conductivity, as a measure of salinity. pH. Soil exchangeable Na potential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Location and extent of sheet wash. Location and extent of rill and gully erosion including measurements of depth, width and length. Extent of bare areas with potential to erode. Sediment movement and runoff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>Stability of batter and surface settlements, in particular where these features could impact on the performance of any surface water management system. Surface integrity of landform cover/capping (measurement of extent of integrity failure). Landform slumping (distance of material movement and extent).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotechnical Stability</td>
<td>Groundwater quality and depth. Efficiency of landform surface water drainage systems. Presence and quality of any surface water and seepage at selected locations at the lower part of potentially acid producing landforms such as reject dumps. Water quality including pH, EC and total suspended solids of water in water storages, ramps and pits, sediment basins and sewage effluent outfalls onsite. Water quality including pH, salinity and turbidity of water entering creek/river systems on site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface and Ground Water</td>
<td>Vegetation density, diversity and vigour Structural stability of channel Water quality including pH, salinity and turbidity of water entering creek/river systems on site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maintenance

Maintenance of rehabilitated areas will be undertaken where necessary and in response to results of the monitoring program, to ensure success criteria are met, or in the case of progressive rehabilitation, are projected to be met at the time of mine closure. Depending on the criteria to be achieved, examples of maintenance works include re-seeding or planting of tube stock of tree and/or shrub species to meet required revegetation parameters and implementation of erosion protection measures to reduce erosion rates.

Responsibility for the maintenance of rehabilitation will lie with BMA, as owner/operator of the project. As extensive areas of disturbed land will not be available for progressive rehabilitation, much of the rehabilitation work will require to be carried out at the end of mine life. Post-mining surveys of the rehabilitation will be undertaken across the site to determine whether the site meets success criteria and whether this result is being maintained over time. Once this occurs and the site is relinquished, the land will be returned to the relevant stakeholders and maintenance of the rehabilitation will no longer be required.

3.7.9 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule F – Land

Rehabilitation landform criteria

(F1-1) All areas significantly disturbed by mining activities must be rehabilitated to a stable landform with a self-sustaining vegetation cover.

(F1-2) Progressive rehabilitation must commence within 2 years when areas become available within the operational land.

(F1-3) Complete an investigation into rehabilitation of disturbed areas and submit a report to the administering authority proposing acceptance criteria within 3 years of the commencement of mining operations. The Rehabilitation Management Plan must, at a minimum:

a) map existing areas of rehabilitation;

b) detail rehabilitation methods applied to areas;

c) identify success factors for areas;

d) detail future rehabilitation actions to be completed on areas;

e) identify reference and rehabilitation sites to be used to support the development of rehabilitation success criteria;

f) contain landform design criteria including end of mine design;

g) detail how landform design will be consistent with the surrounding topography;

h) specify future planned rehabilitation methods for disturbed areas;

i) explain planned native vegetation rehabilitation areas and corridors;

j) describe rehabilitation monitoring and maintenance requirements to be applied to all areas of disturbance;

k) itemise revegetation criteria;

l) describe end of mine landform design plan and post mining land uses across the mine;

m) specify spoil characteristics, soil analysis, soil separation for use on rehabilitation;
n) include a cost benefit analysis / triple bottom line assessment (or an alternative assessment method) of the proposed final landform design criteria and alternatives; and

o) identify potential problems and how they will be addressed.

Residual void outcome
(F2-1) Residual voids must not cause any serious environmental harm to land, surface waters or any recognised groundwater aquifer, other than the environmental harm constituted by the existence of the residual void itself and subject to any other condition within this environmental authority.

(F2-2) Complete an investigation into residual voids and submit a report to the administering authority proposing acceptance criteria to meet the outcomes in (F3-1) and final landform criteria proposed in the Rehabilitation Management Plan within 3 years of the commencement of mining operations. The investigation must at a minimum include the following:

a) a study of options available for minimising final void area and volume;

b) a void hydrology study, addressing the long-term water balance in the voids, connections to groundwater resources and water quality parameters in the long term;

c) a pit wall stability study, considering the effects of long-term erosion and weathering of the pit wall and the effects of significant hydrological events;

d) a study of void capability to support native flora and fauna; and

e) a proposal/s for end of mine void rehabilitation success criteria, final void areas and volumes.

These studies will be undertaken to address mine closure, and will include detailed research and modelling.

Acid mine drainage
(F3-1) Subject to the release limits defined in Schedule C, all reasonable and practicable measures must be implemented to prevent hazardous leachate being directly or indirectly released or likely to be released as a result of the activity to any groundwater or water course.

Cover Material
(F4-1) Cover material suitable for use in rehabilitation must be identified and salvaged ahead of mining for strategic use in rehabilitation of the mine area.

(F4-2) An inventory of cover material resources and topsoil must be maintained.

Exploration
(F5-1) Disturbance due to exploration activities in areas not scheduled to be mined must be rehabilitated in accordance with provisions detailed in the Code of Environmental Compliance for Exploration and Mineral Development Projects.
Infrastructure

(F6-1) All infrastructure, constructed by or for the environmental authority holder during the mining activities including water storage structures, must be removed from the site prior to mining lease surrender, except where agreed in writing by the post mining land owner / holder. This is not applicable where the landowner / holder is also the environmental authority holder.

Dams Containing Hazardous Waste

Description of Dams

(F7-1) The construction or operation of any dam containing hazardous waste with the operational land must comply with Schedule F – Table 1.

**Schedule F – Table 1 (Size and purpose of dam containing hazardous waste)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Dam Containing Hazardous Waste</th>
<th>Maximum Surface Area of Dam (m²)</th>
<th>Maximum Volume of Dam (m³)</th>
<th>Maximum Depth of Dam (m)</th>
<th>Purpose of Dam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process Water Dam</td>
<td>24,400</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Receives water supply from Mine Water Dam 12N and make-up water from Raw Water Dam. Supplies CHPP make-up, industrial area water usage and dust suppression demands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N1</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Containment storage for Horse Pit dewatering. Transfers to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N2</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Containment storage for Horse Pit dewatering. Transfers to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N3</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Containment storage for Horse Pit dewatering. Transfers to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 12N</td>
<td>287,750</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Receives excess pit water from Pits N1, N2, N3 via Mine Water Dams N1,N2 and N3. Receives excess pit water directly from Pit S1, and via transfer from Mine Water Dams S1 from Pits S2 and S3. Receives Stockpile and Remediated land run-off from Sediment Basins N1, N2, N3, S1, S2 and S3. Receives ROM, Coal Handling Plant area and Rejects area, Raw Coal Stockpile and Product Coal Stockpile area run-off via Mine Water Dams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Dam Containing Hazardous Waste</td>
<td>Maximum Surface Area of Dam (m²)</td>
<td>Maximum Volume of Dam (m³)</td>
<td>Maximum Depth of Dam (m)</td>
<td>Purpose of Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,2,3,4 and 5. Pumps to Process Water Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplies haul road dust suppression demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam S1</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>This Mine Water Dam is the as a pit water transfer dam for Pits S2 and S3. Containment storage for pit dewatering (likely high salinity and low pH) Pumps to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 1</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Captures runoff from ROM, CHPP and rejects areas. Can contain contents of tailings thickener. Pumps to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 2</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Captures runoff from ROM, CHPP and rejects areas. Can contain contents of tailings thickener. Pumps to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 3</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Captures runoff from ROM, CHPP and rejects areas. Can contain contents of tailings thickener. Pumps to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 4</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Captures runoff from ROM, CHPP and rejects areas. Can contain contents of tailings thickener. Pumps to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 5</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Captures runoff from ROM, CHPP and rejects areas. Can contain contents of tailings thickener. Pumps to Mine Water Dam 12N.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Location of Dams

(F7-2) Any dam containing hazardous waste constructed or operated within the operational land must be located within the polygonal area defined by the co-ordinates defined in Schedule F – Table 2.

Schedule F – Table 2 (Location of dams containing hazardous waste)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Dam Containing Hazardous Waste</th>
<th>Easting (AGD84Z55)</th>
<th>Northing (AGD84Z55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process Water Dam</td>
<td>609,272</td>
<td>7,549,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N1</td>
<td>609,150</td>
<td>7,551,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N2</td>
<td>607,691</td>
<td>7,556,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam N3</td>
<td>608,023</td>
<td>7,558,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 12N</td>
<td>611,857</td>
<td>7,550,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam S1</td>
<td>612,913</td>
<td>7,549,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 1</td>
<td>610,324</td>
<td>7,549,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 2</td>
<td>610,738</td>
<td>7,549,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 3</td>
<td>610,609</td>
<td>7,549,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 4</td>
<td>609,710</td>
<td>7,550,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Water Dam 5</td>
<td>609,292</td>
<td>7,550,535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hazard Assessment

(F7-3) The holder of the environmental authority must determine in accordance with the Environmental Protection Agency Information Sheet entitled “Hazard Assessment for Dams Containing Hazardous Waste” if each dam is a low hazard dam.

Standards and Criteria

(F7-4) Low hazard dams shall be constructed and operated in accordance with the provisions for dams in the “Code of Environmental Compliance for Mining Lease Projects”.

(F7-5) For hazardous dams containing hazardous waste, the holder of the environmental authority must design, construct, repair, maintain, operate and decommission each dam only in accordance with an acknowledged design plan that must comply with the standard environmental conditions in the “Code of Environmental Compliance for Environmental Authorities for High Hazard Dams Containing Hazardous Waste”.
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Inspection of Dams

(F3-6) Hazardous dams containing hazardous substances shall be inspected by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPEQ) on or about 1st October but definitely before 1st November each year or at any time if alarming, unusual or otherwise unsatisfactory conditions are observed.

(F3-7) For each inspection, the engineer shall assess the condition of the dam and its foundations, determine the hydraulic adequacy of the dam and assess the adequacy of the works with respect to dam safety.

(F3-8) For each inspection, two copies of the engineer’s report and any recommendations as to measures to be taken to ensure the integrity of the dam shall be furnished to the administering authority within 28 days to the inspection.

Decommissioning of Dams – Objective

(F3-9) Dams containing hazardous substances must not be abandoned, these must be decommissioned to a situation where water can no longer be stored in the dams and the dams and their contained waste(s) are stable, whereafter the dams are no longer dams and they become landforms on the operational land and must comply with the rehabilitation requirements of this Environmental Authority.

Decommissioning of Dams – Documentation and Compliance

(F3-10) Decommissioning activities for dams must be documented in detail in the plan of operations under which the activities are to occur. Where the detailed documentation is not already contained in the design plan for the dam, the detailed documentation is considered to be an amendment to the design plan and must be submitted as an amendment to the design plan required by the “Code of Environmental Compliance for Environmental Authorities for High Hazard Dams Containing Hazardous Waste”.

Decommissioning of Dam – Setting criteria for previously referable dams

(F3-11) For dams previously licensed as referable dams under the Water Resources Act 1989 and where strategies for the operation and rehabilitation of each dam containing hazardous waste are not addressed in the EM Plan or the design plan, the holder of the environmental authority must apply for an amendment to the design plan within six months of the date when this environmental authority takes effect to incorporate strategies for operation and rehabilitation the each said dam. Operation and rehabilitation plans are to be consistent with the design plan.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE F
3.8 Landscape Character and Visual Amenity

3.8.1 Background

3.8.1.1 Landform

Topography across the Isaac River Valley in the vicinity of the project site varies from approximately 200 m elevation along the Isaac River east of the project site to approximately 450 m elevation along portions of the Denham Range that define the western edge of the Isaac River Valley. This variation in elevation is over a distance of approximately 25 km and represents an average gradient of approximately 1v:100h. The relatively steep slopes associated with the Denham Range, contrast with the extensive flat areas along the base of the valley, which have gradients significantly less than 1v:100h. Drainage along the western slopes of the Isaac River Valley generally takes the form of deeply incised gullies flowing east and north-east towards the Isaac River. The northern portion catchments of the project site generally drain north-east to the Isaac River while the southern portion catchments of the project site generally drain south-east to the Isaac River. Drainage lines are generally not deeply incised or visually prominent in most of the project site. However, steep embankments and the woodland vegetation associated with Cherwell Creek create a more visually distinctive landscape character in the central portion of the project site.

3.8.1.2 Mining Operations

While current open cut coal mining operations are visually prominent in many locations within the Bowen Basin they are generally not visible from the sections of Peak Downs Highway or Moranbah Access Road that adjoin the project site. An exception is the northern part of Peak Downs Mine in which the upper portions of the overburden stockpiles are visible in views to the south-west from some elevated sections of the Peak Downs Highway. However, these visible portions are not dominant due to the view distance, which is in the order of 5 km, and the lower portions of the overburden landform generally being screened by remnant woodland vegetation and local landforms. The Peak Downs mining operations do form a visually prominent element in the landscape along sections of the Dysart-Moranbah Access Road that runs close to the mine at a point approximately 10 km south of the Peak Downs Highway turnoff.

3.8.1.3 Commercial and Industrial Development

Commercial and industrial developments in the vicinity of the project site are generally limited in extent and do not form visually prominent elements across the landscape. They include:

- The Shell Roadhouse located at the Moranbah turnoff from the Peak Downs Highway;
- The truck and machinery service facilities (Kalari Workshop and Trucking Yard) located alongside the Moranbah Access Road at the northern end of the project site;
- The Moranbah Airport. Signage associated with the airport also forms a visual feature for motorists travelling along the Moranbah Access Road. The elevated location of the airport in relation to the road contributes to the visual prominence of aircraft and the terminal buildings.

3.8.1.4 Moranbah Township

Moranbah is visually integrated into the landscape setting largely due to the extensive tree cover within and around the township. Some elements such as the white water tower and other large structures are visible
from the section of Moranbah Access Road adjoining the project site, when approaching the township from the south.

### 3.8.1.5 Roads

Peak Downs Highway forms the main transport route between Mackay and Central Queensland. In addition to carrying regional and local traffic associated with mining and agricultural activities, it also functions as a major tourist route. The highway is mostly a two-lane undivided bitumen sealed road with passing lanes at various locations. While the highway generally follows the natural landform, there are a number of bridges and culverts at creek and river crossings with associated roadside cuttings. Consequently views from the section of Peak Downs Highway in the vicinity of the project site alternate between open long distance views across cleared grazing land and sections that are visually enclosed by woodland vegetation and roadside cuttings. The section of Peak Downs Highway passing through the central portion of the project site is generally adjoined by woodland vegetation that blocks views from the highway.

Moranbah Access Road provides the main entrance to Moranbah township from the Peak Downs Highway. It is a two-lane undivided bitumen sealed road that generally follows the undulations of the natural landform. The only significant cutting occurs where the road crosses over a prominent hill approximately 2 km north of the Peak Downs Highway turnoff. The section of Dysart-Moranbah Road running south from Peak Downs Highway is generally parallel to the eastern boundary of the project site at a distance of approximately 3 km. Views towards the project site from the Dysart-Moranbah Road are generally screened by woodland vegetation associated with drainage lines located between the road and project site. Views of existing Peak Downs Mine overburden landforms in the southern portion of the project site are visible from the Dysart-Moranbah Road where it comes closer to the boundary at about 10 km south of the Peak Downs Highway turnoff.

### 3.8.1.6 Landscape Quality

The landscape quality of the project site has been significantly altered by agricultural land uses over many years and more recently by open cut mining. An assessment of the current landscape quality was carried out for the project EIS using a methodology that has been adapted from the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) methodology (1984). The assessment criteria used are defined in Table 3.8.1 and the maximum potential rating for each of the criteria is shown by a number in the bottom right corner of each cell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Factors</th>
<th>Rating Criteria and Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform</td>
<td>High vertical relief as expressed in prominent cliffs, spires or massive rock outcrops or severe surface variation or highly eroded formations or detailed features, dominant and exceptionally striking and intriguing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>A variety of vegetative types has expressed in interesting forms, textures and patterns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landform</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Key Factors Rating Criteria and Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Factors</th>
<th>Rating Criteria and Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
<td>Clear and clean appearing, still or cascading white water and any of which are a dominant factor in the landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colour</strong></td>
<td>Rich colour combinations, variety or vivid colour or pleasing contrast in the soil, rock, vegetation, water or snowfields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Influence of adjacent scenery</strong></td>
<td>Adjacent scenery, greatly enhances visual quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scarcity</strong></td>
<td>One-of-a-kind or unusually memorable or very rare within the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cultural modifications</strong></td>
<td>Modifications add favourably to visual variety while promoting visual harmony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum potential rating that could be achieved is 32. This rating would apply to a landscape that was assessed as meeting all of the criteria in the left hand column. The Scenic Quality Rating Categories defined in the BLM system are:

- **19 - 32 = High**
- **12 - 18 = Medium**
- **11 or less = Low**

The Scenic Quality of the project site was assessed by applying the criteria in Table 3.8.1 and allocating a rating for each factor. Results of the assessment are presented in Table 3.8.2.

### Table 3.8.2 Scenic Quality Rating of the project site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Factors</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landform</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Undulating landform with distinct ridge line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Remnant clumps or individual trees and regrowth with pasture grass dominant ground cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No significant bodies of permanent water in drainage lines or visible in the landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Colour contrast between pasture grasses and patches of shrub/woodland; patches of red soil visible along edges of central ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence of adjacent scenery</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Views to forest-covered range to west of project site as well as trees along some sections of drainage line create</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Factors Rating Comments
visual contrast with pasture on most of the project site
Scarcity 3 The landscape character of the project site is distinctive in the regional setting
Cultural modifications 0 Cultural modifications include fencing, structures, signs and powerlines that add little visual variety to the area
Total 15

The rating of 15 out of a possible 32 indicates that the Scenic Quality of the project site is considered to be Medium in accordance with the BLM system.

3.8.1.7 Visual Amenity

The estimated Viewshed of the project site is indicated on Figure 3.8.1. Key aspects of the Viewshed are:

- The hill located in the centre of the project site is visible from some locations in the eastern portion of Moranbah township, which is located approximately 10 km to the north, but the areas of lower elevation in the northern portion of the project site are screened from view by the low ridge on which Railway Siding Road is located;
- Potential views from Moranbah township to the portion of the project site south of Peak Downs Highway are blocked by the central hill;
- The project site is potentially visible from locations along the lower slopes of the Denham Ridge but the extensive vegetation cover would generally block most of these potential views;
- Views from the section of Peak Downs Highway that runs through the centre of the project site are generally blocked by roadside woodland vegetation;
- The project site is highly visible to motorists travelling west along the section of Peak Downs Highway commencing approximately 3 km east of the Moranbah Access Road turn and extending to the Dysart – Moranbah Access Road turnoff;
- The project site is highly visible from most of Moranbah Access Road north of the Peak Downs Highway to the turn off to Long Pocket Road; exceptions are where the road passes through a cutting on the hill top about 2 km north of the Peak Downs Highway turnoff and near the Railway Siding Road turnoff, where views are blocked by roadside cut slopes and vegetation;
- The upper portions of the central hill in the of the project site are likely to be visible from rural areas between Moranbah Access Road and the Isaac River but the extent of visibility varies locally as a result of vegetation and local landforms; and
- The portion of project site south of the Peak Downs Highway is generally not visible from the highway due to screening by woodland vegetation; similarly potential view of the site from or the Dysart-Moranbah Road are generally blocked by woodland vegetation associated with the broad floodplain located between the road and the site. Views of the tops of the overburden stockpiles associated with the Peak Downs Mine are available from a limited number of locations.
3.8.1.8 Key Landscape Features

The key landscape features that comprise the visual character of the project site and surrounding areas include the Isaac River, Moranbah township, public roads (Peak Downs Highway, Moranbah Access Road, and Dysart-Moranbah Road), Moranbah Airport and Moranbah railway station.

3.8.1.9 Landscape Significance of the Project Site

At a local level the project site is highly significant as it forms part of the entrance zone into Moranbah township. All traffic travelling into and out of Moranbah from the Peak Downs Highway must drive past the northern portion of the project site. In the vicinity of the Moranbah Airport the boundary of the project site immediately adjoins the Moranbah Access Road. The project site also forms a major part of the visual experience of passengers arriving at the Moranbah Airport as it is not only visible from aircraft landing and taking off but also from vehicles driving out of the airport onto Moranbah Access Road.

The project site is also significant in a regional landscape context as it is visible from sections of the Peak Downs Highway, which carries significant volumes of regional traffic between Mackay, Clermont, Emerald and other Central Queensland towns. However, the landscape character of the project site is similar to extensive sections of landscape along the Peak Downs Highway.

3.8.1.10 Project Site Capacity to Visually Absorb Change

The generally open visual character of the portion of project site north to Peak Downs Highway means that any significant change to land use would be visible from the adjoining public roads, airport and residents. The hill located near the centre of the project site is visible from surrounding areas and forms a landmark for motorists travelling along the Peak Downs Highway, particularly those travelling to and from Moranbah township. However it does block views of the portion of the project site to the west and thus provides some visual absorption capacity. The portion of the project site south of the Peak Downs Highway has a moderate capacity to visually absorb change due to the extensive remnant woodland vegetation associated with the broad drainage channels located between the road and the project site. Consequently it has a low capacity to visually absorb development that involves construction of substantial structures. However, the woodland vegetation south of Peak Downs Highway provides some capacity to visually absorb development provided an adequate buffer strip of trees is maintained along the roadside.

3.8.1.11 Screening by Existing Vegetation

Remnant woodland vegetation in the portion of the project site south of Peak Downs Highway provides effective screening of potential views to the south. Current open cut mining is generally not visible from this section of the highway due to screening by the existing woodland vegetation. Similarly views of the overburden landforms from the Dysart-Moranbah Road are generally screened by trees along the broad drainage channels south-west of the road.

Potential views to the north across the project site from the Peak Downs Highway are also screened to some extent by existing vegetation along the northern edge of the highway. However, the upper portions of the central hill of the project site are often visible in these views. The existing grassland vegetation over most of the northern portion of the project site does not screen views from the adjoining sections of Moranbah Access Road or Peak Downs Highway. However woodland vegetation adjoining Moranbah Access Road south of Railway Siding Road screens views of part of the project site.
3.8.2 Environmental Values

The environmental values at the project site that are to be protected or enhanced are:
- The integrity of the landscape character of the project site within its local and regional context; and
- The visual amenity experienced by local residents and visitors to the area.

3.8.3 Potential Impacts on Environmental Values

Potential visual impacts associated with the project will result primarily from the construction and operation of:
- Out-of-pit overburden dumps
- New overpass along the Peak Downs Highway
- CHPP structures
- Coal loading facilities
- Administration buildings.

Visual impacts of the project on the various view situations identified in this section will vary throughout the 30-year period of mining and site rehabilitation works. For example the visual impact of the mining operations on a particular view situation will increase as the mining moves closer to it. Similarly, the visual impact of out-of-pit overburden dumps will be greatest immediately after they are created and will decline as they are regraded and vegetation established on the visible slopes. Due to this variation in potential visual impact over the life of the mine, the results presented in this section are an overall assessment that takes account of visual impacts throughout the full 30-year period of the project.

The two principal sections of public road that adjoin areas where mining operations will be carried out are Moranbah Access Road near the airport, and the section of Peak Downs Highway that passes though the centre of the project site.

The operating face of the mining operations that will adjoin Moranbah Access Road will run parallel to the road and be oriented away from it. Consequently lighting on the draglines and other equipment will generally not visible from the road as it will face away from the road and the mining operations will be blow the natural ground surface. However, the upper portion of the active slope created by dumping overburden material will above the natural ground surface elevation and will face towards Moranbah Access Road. Consequently lighting on trucks and the spreader used in placing the overburden may be visible from the road. However proposed mitigation measures include earth mounding and tree planting alongside Moranbah Access Road to block views of the mining operations both daytime and at night. It should be noted that mining operations only come within 1 km of the Moranbah Access Road during the last 5 years of the planned 30 year life of the mine.

The operating face of the mining operations adjoining the northern edge of Peak Downs Highway will be oriented at right angles to the road alignment. Consequently there will be a significant difference between the views of motorists depending on the direction of travel. Motorists travelling to the west will potentially see the lights of vehicles and equipment operating on top of the overburden slope. Lighting associated with the coal extraction face will be below the natural ground surface and therefore generally not directly visible. For motorists travelling east along Peak Downs Highway the lighting on vehicles and equipment operating on the overburden landform will not be directly visible because the slope will be oriented away from the viewer. In
addition the existing woodland vegetation adjoining this section of the Highway would provide substantial visual screening of potential views to the mining operations. As the mining operations that will adjoin the northern edge of Peak Downs Highway are planned to take place over the 30 year life of the mine it will be necessary to minimise the potential visual impact form lighting associated with mining operations as well as the coal processing facilities.

The potential visual impact of the project depends on extent to which the development will be visible (Visibility) and the significance of the visible change to the landscape that may result from the development. The level of significance of the potential visual impact of the project is dependent on the Magnitude of Visibility of change to existing views together with the Sensitivity of the viewers to that change. The Magnitude of Visibility of change to existing views will depend on a combination of scale, extent and duration of the views. It would be influenced by the:

- Extent of area from which the project development would be visible
- Number and type of viewers who see the development
- Distance of the view to the development
- Duration of change to the view (i.e. temporary or permanent, continuous or intermittent) that would result from the development
- Scale of change to the view that would result from the development (i.e. proportion of the view occupied by the development)
- Degree of contrast between the development and the existing landscape in terms of form, scale, line, height, colour and texture.

Viewer Sensitivity is the extent to which a viewer is willing to accept a change to the landscape resulting from the development without perceiving it as an adverse impact on the existing landscape character or value attributed to the current view. Viewer Sensitivity may range from high to low and is dependent on the:

- Location of the viewer (e.g. dwelling, workplace, recreation/open space, road/highway)
- Context of the view (e.g. visibility of existing mines, power lines)
- Expectations and activity of the viewer (e.g. resident, visitor, worker, motorists, cyclists, pedestrian, recreation/sporting participant)
- Importance of the view (e.g. identified in regional scenic resources assessment, referenced in tourist maps/guides, numbers of people deliberately seeking the view, reference to the view in literature and art).

Viewers with the highest sensitivity levels are likely to include:

- Residents with views affected by the development
- Users of public open space where their attention is focused on visual landscape values, such as scenic lookouts, natural landscape areas with attractive views
- Communities where the development would result in changes to the landscape setting of views that are valued by the community.
The various levels of Visual Impact Significance that result from the combinations of Magnitude of Visibility and Viewer Sensitivity are presented in Table 3.8.3, and are defined as:

- **Negligible Visual Impact** - only a very small part of the development would be discernible and/or it would be located at such a distance that it would be scarcely visible
- **Low Visual Impact** - the development would constitute only a minor component of the wider view and might be missed by the casual observer or awareness of the development would not have a marked effect on the overall quality of the view
- **Moderate Visual Impact** - the development may form a visible and recognisable new element within the overall scene and may be readily noticed by an observer
- **High Visual Impact** - the development would form a significant and immediately apparent part of the view that would affect and change its overall character (the change may be positive or negative).

**Table 3.8.3 Visual Impact Significance Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visibility Magnitude</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate/High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low/Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate /High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low/Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible/Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** the levels of Visual Impact Significance in shaded cells are not considered to be significant enough to constitute potential barriers of the development or land use change. However mitigation measures may still be required.

The visual assessment involved:

- Analysis of the existing landscape character
- A field inspection to determine the extent to which the site is generally visible
- Identification of the various viewing situations from which the project may potentially be visible from surrounding areas.

Particular attention was given to potential views of the site from residents, Moranbah township, Peak Downs Highway, other public roads, and areas adjoining the project site. Views from the site were analysed to identify the extent to which the residents and public roads were visible from the site, which provided an indication of the likely level of visibility from those residents and sections of road. This analysis was based on the principle of intervisibility, which means that if a dwelling or section of road is visible from the site then the site would be visible from those viewing situations. The various criteria used to determine Magnitude of Visibility are defined in Table 3.8.4.

**Table 3.8.4 Magnitude of Visibility Assessment Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Viewers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>&gt;5,000 people per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1,000-5,000 people per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>100-1,000 people per day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The levels of Magnitude of Visibility resulting from combination of the various criteria in Table 3.8.4 are presented in Table 3.8.5. These categories of Magnitude of Visibility are defined further below.

### Table 3.8.5 Magnitude of Visibility Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of View</th>
<th>Long Distance</th>
<th>Medium Distance</th>
<th>Short Distance</th>
<th>Very Short Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of viewers - High</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of viewers - Medium</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of viewers - Low</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of viewers - Very Low</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N = negligible Magnitude of Visibility
L = low Magnitude of Visibility
M = medium Magnitude of Visibility
H = high Magnitude of Visibility

Negligible Magnitude of Visibility is defined as very minor loss or alteration to one or more key element/features/characteristics of the baseline visual character (i.e. pre-development landscape or view) and/or introduction of elements that are not uncharacteristic to the existing landscape (i.e. approximating the ‘no change’ situation).

Low Magnitude of Visibility is defined as minor loss of or alterations to one or more key elements/features/characteristics of the baseline visual character (i.e. pre-development landscape or view) and/or introduction of elements that are not uncharacteristic of the existing landscape.

Medium Magnitude of Visibility is defined as partial loss of or alteration to one or more key elements/features/characteristics of the baseline visual character (i.e. pre-development landscape or view) and/or introduction of elements that may be prominent but not considered to be substantially uncharacteristic of the existing landscape.
High Magnitude of Visibility is defined as total loss of key elements/features/characteristics of the baseline visual character (i.e. pre-development landscape or view) and/or introduction of elements considered to be totally uncharacteristic of the existing landscape.

3.8.3.1 View Situations and Simulations

The locations of the various view situations (VS) assessed are shown on Figure 3.8.8. A series of visual simulations show how the final landform to be created by the proposed mining operations would appear in the landscape (locations shown on Figure 3.8.8). The simulations are of views from:

- View Situation 11, at the entrance to Moranbah Airport (Visual Simulation 1)
- View Situation 13, along Moranbah Access Road north of the intersection with Peak Downs highway (Visual Simulation 2)
- View Situation 19, along Dysart-Moranbah Road south of the intersection with Peak Downs highway (Visual Simulation 3)

**Visual Simulation 1**

The visual simulation presented in Figure 3.8.2 illustrates how the final landform would appear from View Situation 11 at the entrance to the Moranbah Airport from the Moranbah Access Road. A high visual impact would result at this location as a result of the new landform to be created by the proposed mining operations. The vegetation located close to the viewer, such as the small flowering tree in the centre of the photograph, could effectively screen views of the new landform. Such planting would need to include tall-growing trees planted dense enough to create a continuous visual screen. The existing long distance view is illustrated in Figure 3.8.3 extends well beyond the project site to mountain the range on the skyline.

**Visual Simulation 2**

The visual simulation presented in Figure 3.8.4 shows the final landform viewed from View Situation 13 along the Moranbah Access Road north of the intersection with the Peak Downs Highway. The existing ridgeline would partly screen views of the lower slopes of the new landform but the upper slopes will be visible, resulting in a moderate visual impact. The existing view from Moranbah Access Road is illustrated in Figure 3.8.5. The view extends across grazing paddocks in the mid distance to the hill and ridge on the skyline.
Figure 3.8.2 Visual Simulation of View from View Situation 11

Figure 3.8.3 Existing View from Moranbah Airport (11)
The visual simulation presented in Figure 3.8.6 shows the final landform viewed from View Situation 19 along the section of Dysart-Moranbah Road south of the intersection with the Peak Downs Highway. The steep slopes in the centre of the landform are associated with a cutting through the new landform. The existing landform between the road and the mine site would partly screen views of the lower slopes of the new landform, but the upper slopes will be visible. The simulation also demonstrates if earth mounding was carried out near the road edge and planted with trees then views of the new landform could be screened as the trees matured.

The existing view from Dysart-Moranbah Road looking toward Peak Downs Mine is illustrated in Figure 3.8.7. The view extends across grass-covered grazing paddocks in the mid distance to the Peak Downs Mine overburden landforms with the Denham Range visible on the skyline.
3.8.3.2 Visual Impact Assessment

The assessment of significance of potential visual impact is based primarily on an analysis of the View Situations mentioned above (Table 3.8.6 and Figure 3.8.8).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIEW SITUATION</th>
<th>CATEGORY OF VIEWER</th>
<th>APPROX. DISTANCE TO MINE</th>
<th>APPROX. PERIOD OF VIEW</th>
<th>RELATIVE NO. OF VIEWERS</th>
<th>MAGNITUDE OF VISIBILITY</th>
<th>VIEWER SENSITIVITY</th>
<th>VISUAL IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VS 1. Recreation Reserve Moranbah Township</td>
<td>Sports participants and spectators</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 2. Mills Av. Moranbah</td>
<td>Residents, motorists and pedestrians</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by houses. No photograph is presented for this reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 3. Federation Walking Track and Moranbah Access Rd.</td>
<td>Pedestrians and motorists</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M/L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 4. Moranbah Access Rd. and roadside rest area</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M/L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 5. Railway Siding Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 6. Moranbah Access Rd. toward airport</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L/M</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area partly blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 7. Long Pocket Rd. (east)</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 8. Long Pocket Rd. (south)</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area partly blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 9. South along Moranbah Access Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area partly blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 10. View from Moranbah Airport</td>
<td>Passengers and airport staff</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Views from terminal and entrance road are directly towards the proposed mining area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 10a. Aircraft in flight</td>
<td>Passengers and aircrew</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L/M</td>
<td>Views only available from window seats on one side of the aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 11. West from Moranbah Access Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Proposed mining operations will immediately adjoin the road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIEW SITUATION</td>
<td>CATEGORY OF VIEWER</td>
<td>APPROX. DISTANCE TO MINE</td>
<td>APPROX. PERIOD OF VIEW</td>
<td>RELATIVE NO. OF VIEWERS</td>
<td>MAGNITUDE OF VISIBILITY</td>
<td>VIEWER SENSITIVITY</td>
<td>VISUAL IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE</td>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 12. West and north from Moranbah Access Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Views of mining area will be partly screened by landform and vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 13. North and north-west from Moranbah Access Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Central hill in project site will partly screen views of mining area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 14. North-west from Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L/M</td>
<td>Central hill in project site will partly screen views of mining area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 15. North-west from Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 16. North from Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area partly blocked by ridge and vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 17. East and west along Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area and coal handling facilities may be partly blocked by woodland vegetation if it is retained along road corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 18. Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 19. North along, and south-west from, Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>View from Road travelling north is focused towards Central Hill in project site that blocks views to proposed mining area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 20. West from Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Long distance view includes Peak Downs Mine overburden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 21. North-west from Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area blocked by vegetation and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 22. North from Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>Motorists</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Peak Downs Mine overburden visually prominent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIEW SITUATION</td>
<td>CATEGORY OF VIEWER</td>
<td>APPROX. DISTANCE TO MINE</td>
<td>APPROX. PERIOD OF VIEW</td>
<td>RELATIVE NO. OF VIEWERS</td>
<td>MAGNITUDE OF VISIBILITY</td>
<td>VIEWER SENSITIVITY</td>
<td>VISUAL IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE</td>
<td>COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1. Long Pocket Rd. – House 1</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area partly blocked by trees and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2. Long Pocket Rd. – House 2</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area partly blocked by trees and landform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3. Mitchell Residence</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Views to proposed mining area generally blocked by woodland vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4. Grovenor Downs Residences</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5. Cattery and Residence</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>VS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6. Homery Residence</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Central hill on project site partly blocks views of proposed mining area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7. Muirehead Residence</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Central hill on project site partly blocks views of proposed mining area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8. Batchelor Residence</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9. Buffel Park Residence</td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N = negligible Magnitude of Visibility  
L = low Magnitude of Visibility  
M = medium Magnitude of Visibility  
H = high Magnitude of Visibility
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3.8.4 Environmental Protection Objective

The environmental protection objective is to ensure that the integrity of the local and regional landscape character and the visual amenity of the area are not significantly adversely affected as a result of the project.

3.8.5 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for visual amenity are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.

3.8.6 Control Strategies

The visual impact of the project would be significantly reduced through implementation of a range of mitigation measures. The objectives of these mitigation measures are to:

- Minimise the extent to which the mining operations would be visible from the various View Situations by screening or blocking potential views of the operations.
- Minimise the visual contrast between major components of the mining operations, such as out of pit overburden dumps and new structures, and the surrounding landscape in which they would be seen.

The most effective screening of views is achieved by locating screening objects such as, vegetation or structures as close as possible to the viewer. In the case of the project this means locating visual screening measures as close as possible to the public roads, dwellings and airport that may have views of the mining operations. The selection of the most appropriate visual mitigation measures must take account of the timing of those components of the project that will potentially be visible. Coal processing infrastructure and loading facilities, the railway line, Peak Downs Highway overpass and administration buildings will be constructed as the first stage to allow mining operations to proceed. Where visual mitigation measures are required in relation to these components of the project development, then they will be implemented within a short time frame.

For view situations where the mining operations will not be visible for more than 10 years it would be appropriate to use tree planting to provide visual screening as there will be adequate time for the trees to reach sufficient height to provide the visual screening required. Similarly, where the mining operations will not be significantly visible for more than 10 years, it may be feasible to facilitate natural regeneration of the indigenous woodland vegetation to provide visual screening of the mine. The 24 hour operation of the project will require lighting. Mitigation measures will therefore be required to minimise potential visual impacts on motorists travelling along the Moranbah Access Road, Peak Downs Highway and Dysart-Moranbah Road as well as dwellings in the vicinity of the mine.

Mitigation options include:

- Earth mounding with tree and shrub planting - soil and waste rock material from the mining operation will be used to construct sections of mounding (5 to 10 m) as close as possible to the sections of public road where visual mitigation is considered necessary. Tree or grass planting on the outer slope of the mound according to the surrounding vegetation will be carried out to provide additional visual screening over time and to integrate the mounding into the landscape.
- Tree and shrub planting in natural ground - planting of trees into natural ground would provide effective visual screening within the time frame of the mining operation. Indigenous plant species
would be used in order to maximise survival rate and to minimise maintenance requirements while contributing to enhancement of biodiversity.

- Retention of existing vegetation buffer zones - extensive stands of remnant woodland vegetation alongside the section of Peak Downs Highway running through the project site creates an opportunity to retain buffer zones. They will be a minimum of 50 m wide on both sides of the highway and supplemented with tree planting in some locations to ensure effective visual screening.

- Management of natural regeneration in buffer zones - management of the regeneration requires the removal of grazing, control of weeds and fire management to allow the natural regeneration to occur.

- Colour selection for various structures including the CHPP, loading facilities, administration and other buildings - colour contrast and reflectivity are major factors in the level of visibility. Colours to be used for the various structures would be selected with the aim of minimising the level of contrast.

- Lighting design for the MIA including the ROM, CHPP infrastructure and conveyors - Design of the lighting for the project will aim to minimise light spill and avoid direct line of sight to lights associated with the CHPP and loading facilities. This will involve the use of hoods and shields where necessary and ensuring lights are not directed at adjoining public roads or dwelling.

- Lighting design for mining operations – directional lighting will be employed where operational activities come within 1 km of Moranbah Access Road or Peak Downs Highway. The planning of truck movements will aim to avoid their headlights shining directly at motorists travelling along either of the roads. Mining operations only come within 1 km of the Moranbah Access Road during the last 5 years of the planned 30 year life of the mine, by which time proposed tree planting will be reaching mature heights.

In View Situations where the level of Visual Impact Significance has been assessed as High or Moderate, a series of appropriate mitigation measures have been identified aimed at minimising the potential visual impact (Table 3.8.7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View Situation</th>
<th>Proposed Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VS 9. South along Moranbah Access Rd.</td>
<td>- Roadside vegetation to be retained to maintain visual screening of views from road to the mine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| VS 10. View from Moranbah Airport | - Earth mounding combined with tree planting along the western edge of Moranbah Access Road to provide visual screening of views from the airport access road.  
- Additional planting alongside the access road and adjoining the terminal building to screen views of the mine.  
- Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining. |
| VS 11. West from Moranbah Access Rd. | - Earth mounding combined with tree planting along the western edge of Moranbah Access Road to provide visual screening of views from the road.  
- Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining. |
| VS 12. West and north from Moranbah Access Rd. | - Roadside tree planting and management of natural regeneration to screen views of the mine.  
- Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View Situation</th>
<th>Proposed Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 14. North-west from Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>- Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 16. North from Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>- Roadside tree planting and management of natural regeneration to screen views of the mine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 17. East and west along Peak Downs Hwy.</td>
<td>- Retention of existing woodland vegetation alongside both sides of the Peak Downs highway to provide a visual buffer zone (minimum 50 m wide). - Additional tree planting where necessary to fill gaps in the existing roadside woodland vegetation in the proposed buffer zone. - Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining. - Selection of appropriate colours for the CHPP and buildings that may be visible from the Peak Downs highway. - Design of lighting to avoid light spill on to adjoining section of the highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 19. North along, and south-west from Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>- Retention of existing vegetation and management of natural regeneration is to screen potential views of the mine in the longer term. - Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 20. West from Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>- Retention of existing vegetation and management of natural regeneration to screen potential views of the mine. - Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS 22. North from Dysart-Moranbah Rd.</td>
<td>- Retention of existing vegetation and management of natural regeneration to screen potential views of the mine. - Rehabilitation of the out of pit overburden dumps to create a natural landscape character post-mining.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.8.7 Monitoring

Monitoring of the effectiveness of the proposed visual impact mitigation measures is to be carried out over the period of the mining operations. Site inspections are to be carried out at least every two years by an independent professional with appropriate qualifications and experience in visual assessment and management. The inspection is to include both day and night conditions. The reviewer is to prepare a report that documents the results to the assessment, including photographs from the key viewing points identified in the EIS. Recommendations are to be made in the report for any additional actions that may be considered necessary to achieve the mitigation outcome identified in the EIS. Copies of the report are to be submitted to the relevant authority as required.

### 3.8.8 Commitments

- At closure the mine will achieve the agreed rehabilitation success criteria.
- Progressive rehabilitation of the disturbed areas will be undertaken on an availability basis to reduce the potential visual impact.
- Visual impact mitigation strategies, where appropriate, as outlined in the EIS will be undertaken.

### 3.8.9 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions

There are no proposed environmental authority conditions for landscape character and visual amenity.
3.9 Terrestrial Ecology

3.9.1 Background and Environmental Values

3.9.1.1 Flora

Ground-truthing has confirmed that 19 distinct vegetation communities occur within the project site as listed in Table 3.9.1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation Community</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Conservation Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VM Act</td>
<td>EPBC Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Open/Closed Grassland with Isolated Trees (Height 0.5-1.5 m)</td>
<td>Non-remnant</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Open Grassland/Forbland with Isolated Trees (Height: 0.2 – 1.0 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.8.11 - Of Concern</td>
<td>Analogous to Nationally Natural Grasslands of the Queensland Central Highlands and the northern Fitzroy Basin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Open/Closed Grassland/Forbland with Isolated Trees (Height: 0.5 - 12 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.8.5 - Not of Concern. Note: portions currently mapped by the EPA as RE 11.8.11 (Of Concern - VM Act)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Open Woodland (Height 8-14 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.4.9 - Endangered</td>
<td>Analogous to Nationally Endangered Brigalow (<em>Acacia harpophylla</em> Dominant and Co-dominant) Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Open forest/Woodland (Height: 15-18 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.3.2 - Of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Woodland (Height: 15-18 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.3.2 - Of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Open Forest/Woodland (Height: 18-25 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.5.3 - Not of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Tall Open Forest/Woodland (Height: 25-30 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.3.25 - Not of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Tall Open Shrubland (Height: 6-8 m)</td>
<td>Non-remnant</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (site 14) Open Forest/Woodland (Height: 15-18 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.5.9 - Not of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Open Shrubland (Height: 1-8 m)</td>
<td>Non-remnant</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Open Forest/Woodland (Height: 4-6 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.9.5 - Endangered Note: currently mapped by the DERM as Non-remnant</td>
<td>Analogous to the Nationally Endangered Brigalow (<em>Acacia harpophylla</em> Dominant and Co-dominant) Community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Woodland/Open Woodland (Height: 15-18 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.5.9b - Not of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Open Forest (Height 8-14 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.4.8 - Endangered</td>
<td>Analogous to Nationally Endangered Brigalow (<em>Acacia harpophylla</em> Dominant and Co-dominant) Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Woodland/Open Woodland (Height 14-23 m)</td>
<td>RE 11.5.3 - Not of Concern</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fourteen of the communities are currently mapped as remnant (i.e. as regional ecosystems (REs)) under the provisions of the Vegetation Management Act (VM Act), while an additional community (vegetation community 14) is comparable to RE 11.9.5. Of the fifteen ground-truthed remnant communities, eight have a Not of Concern management status, four have an Of Concern management status and three have an Endangered management status under the provisions of the VM Act, while five are comparable to ecological communities listed as Endangered under the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC Act). The latter includes:

- Brigalow (Acacia harpophylla dominant and co-dominant) communities - (comparable to REs 11.4.8, 11.4.9 and 11.9.5).
- Natural Grasslands of the Queensland Central Highlands and the northern Fitzroy Basin – (comparable to RE 11.8.11).

These communities are comparable to ground-truthed vegetation communities 2 (Natural Grassland), 4 (Brigalow), 14 (Brigalow), 16 (Brigalow) and 18 (Brigalow).

**Terrestrial Flora Species**

A search of the Queensland Herbarium’s records via the DERM’s Wildlife Online database (EPA 2008b) indicates that one terrestrial plant species of special conservation significance, Bertya pedicellata (a shrub with no common name listed as Rare under the NC Act), occurs within the locality of the project site.

The EPBC Act Online Protected Matters Search Tool results indicate that two species of special conservation significance may occur within the vicinity of the project site, as follows:

- King Blue-grass (Dichanthium queenslandicum) - Vulnerable NC Act, Vulnerable EPBC Act.
- Finger Panic Grass (Digitaria porrecta) - Rare NC Act, Endangered EPBC Act.
A previous ecological assessment undertaken for the adjacent Peak Downs mining lease (including southern sections of the current project site) by Ecoserve and LAMR (2005) also indicated that, although not recorded during surveys, King Blue-grass and Queensland Blue-grass (*Dichanthium setosum* - Vulnerable EPBC Act, Rare NC Act) both have a “reasonable probability of occurrence on the site in either Regional Ecosystems 11.8.5 or 11.8.11.”

A ground survey of the project site recorded 176 flora species, of which 157 (89.2%) were native and 19 (10.8%) were exotic. This includes species recorded during formal survey transects, as well as incidental records from across the project site. No flora species listed as significant under the provisions of the NC Act or the EPBC Act were recorded within the project site during ground survey.

**Declared Weed Species**

Five flora species listed as declared pests under the provisions of the *Lands Protection (Pest and Stockroute Management) Act* (LP Act) were recorded from the project site, as follows:

- Mother of Millions (*Bryophyllum delagoense*).
- Harrisia Cactus (*Eriocereus martini*).
- Lantana (*Lantana camara*).
- Velvet Tree-pear (*Opuntia tomentosa*).
- Parthenium Weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus*).

Lantana is currently listed as a Class 3 weed and the remaining species are listed as Class 2 weeds under the provisions of the LP Act.

Of these species, Harrisia Cactus, Mother of Millions and Velvet Tree-pear were distributed throughout the entire site, particularly within vegetation communities 1 and 7, while Parthenium was generally restricted to areas overlying basalt (i.e. vegetation communities 2 and 3). Infestation of Parthenium within these areas was very high, resulting in suppression of native species, particularly grasses.

### 3.9.1.2 Fauna

Ground survey recorded a total of 153 terrestrial vertebrate species from the project site or nearby, including 20 species of mammal, 113 birds, 10 reptiles and 10 amphibians (Table 3.9.2). The complete list of terrestrial vertebrate species recorded during the survey is provided in Appendix K. This list includes the results of previous surveys undertaken on the adjacent Peak Downs mining lease (including southern sections of the current project site), which indicate that an additional 136 terrestrial vertebrate species also occur, resulting in an overall total of 289 terrestrial vertebrate species for the project site and surrounds.
Table 3.9.2 Terrestrial vertebrate species diversity from survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Mammals</th>
<th>Birds</th>
<th>Reptiles</th>
<th>Amphibians</th>
<th>Total¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Diversity (current survey)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Surveys²</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL Diversity (all surveys)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

1. Totals for specific sites and surveys may include a number of the same species as those for other sites and surveys. As such, totals for the ‘current survey’ and ‘all surveys’ are derived from the summation of adjacent cells within the same row, not the summation of totals in preceding rows. Incidental records refer only to species not recorded from a formal survey site.

2. Includes data that may or may not have been recorded on the current project site.

**Significant Species**

The majority of the terrestrial vertebrate species recorded from the current and previous surveys are currently listed in Queensland’s NC Act as Least Concern wildlife (i.e. native animals that are not currently listed as Presumed Extinct, Endangered, Vulnerable or Rare, although are still prescribed as protected wildlife). However, twenty are recognised as species of special conservation significance under Commonwealth (EPBC Act) and/or Queensland Government (NC Act) legislations, while a further nine were not recorded but may occur based on database records from the local area and the presence of suitable habitat within the project site, as listed in Table 3.9.3. Full profiles are provided in Appendix K. Those species recorded on databases for the area but not considered likely to occur are addressed in Appendix K.

**Declared Pest Species**

The feral fauna species noted during the current and previous surveys and database searches were the Cane Toad (*Bufo marinus*), House Sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), House Mouse (*Mus musculus*), Black Rat (*Rattus rattus*), Cat (*Felis catus*), Brown Hare (*Lepus capensis*), Rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), Donkey (*Equus asinus*), Pig (*Sus scrofa*) and Goat (*Capra hircus*). Of these, Cat, Rabbit and Pig are listed as Class 2 pests under the LP Act.

None of these species are unexpected and all are commonly found in central Queensland.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoological Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>NC Act Status</th>
<th>EPBC Act Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reptiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paradelma orientalis</em></td>
<td>Brigalow Scaly-foot</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Potential occurrence within a patch of Brigalow on a variety of substrates around site V16 (Figure 8.1) that retains areas of leaf litter and coarse woody debris.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Egernia rugosa</em></td>
<td>Yakka Skink</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Potential occurrence within small areas very close to Site V16 (Figure 8.1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Denisonia maculata</em></td>
<td>Ornamental Snake</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Previously recorded on the adjacent Peak Downs Mine (Figure 8.5). Potential occurrence within an area of Brigalow around site V16 (Figure 8.1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nettapus coromandelianus abipennis</em></td>
<td>Cotton Pygmy-Goose</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>There are previous survey records for this species (Figure 8.5). Potential occurrence on Boomerang Dam, the wetlands adjacent to 7 South fill point, Windsor’s Dam and 4 North Dam, subject to the presence of aquatic vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus</em></td>
<td>Black-necked Stork</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential occurrence on the project site, including shallow margins of wetlands adjacent to 7 South fill point, and may utilise small dams scattered throughout the grazing land north of Cherwell Creek.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bubulcus ibis</em></td>
<td>Cattle Egret</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Potential occurrence on the cleared and actively grazed areas of the project site at times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ardea alba</em></td>
<td>Great Egret</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Recorded from artificial waterbodies, including Four North Dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Halaeetus leucogaster</em></td>
<td>White-bellied Sea-Eagle</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Breeding pair previously recorded from nearby One Mile Dam at Saraji Mine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rostratula australis</em></td>
<td>Australian Painted Snipe</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Potential occurrence on the project site at times, possibly years apart, including One North Dam (to the south of the project site).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gallinago hardwickii</em></td>
<td>Latham’s Snipe</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Potential occurrence sporadically, including the shallow margins of wetlands adjacent to 7 South fill point and Windsor’s Dam to the south of the project site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Numenius minutus</em></td>
<td>Little Curlew</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Use of the project site by this species would be sporadic and probably short-term and is likely only in areas either of non-remnant vegetation and/or in areas heavily disturbed by livestock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tringa stagnatilis</em></td>
<td>Marsh Sandpiper</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Previously recorded for the project site from limited suitable habitat such as Boomerang Dam 21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Actitis hypoleucos</em></td>
<td>Common Sandpiper</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Previously recorded for the project site from limited suitable habitat such as Boomerang Dam 21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calidris ruficollis</em></td>
<td>Red-necked Stint</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Previously recorded for the project site from limited suitable habitat such as Boomerang Dam 21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoological Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>NC Act Status</td>
<td>EPBC Act Status</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calidris acuminata</td>
<td>Sharp-tailed Sandpiper</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Previously recorded for the project site from limited suitable habitat such as Boomerang Dam 21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternal caspia</td>
<td>Caspian Tern</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Two previous survey records, including Raw Water Dam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geophaps scripta scripta</td>
<td>Squatter Pigeon (southern subspecies)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>During the recent ground survey, Squatter Pigeons were observed on a number of occasions, most near a creek (Figure 8.5). Also recorded during previous surveys on the project site and adjacent Peak Downs Mine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirundapus caudacutus</td>
<td>White-throated Needletail</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Previously recorded aerial species that may at times fly over the project site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apus pacificus</td>
<td>Fork-tailed Swift</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Recorded from wooded and rehabilitated habitats within the project site, but is likely to utilise almost any habitat present. Potential breeding habitat is present on the exposed banks of Cherwell Creek.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merops ornatus</td>
<td>Rainbow Bee-Eater</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Recorded from wooded and rehabilitated habitats within the project site, but is likely to utilise almost any habitat present. Potential breeding habitat is present on the exposed banks of Cherwell Creek.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhipidura rufifrons</td>
<td>Rufous Fantail</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Only one previous survey record and unlikely to be a regular visitor to the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monarcha melanopsis</td>
<td>Black-faced Monarch</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Potential occurrence on the project site on passage during migration, most likely in riparian vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myiagra cyanoleuca</td>
<td>Satin Flycatcher</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Only one previous survey record and unlikely to be a regular visitor to the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrocephalus australis</td>
<td>Australian Reed-Warbler</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Present at Dam 1 North during recent ground survey and previous records both a rehabilitation area and Dam 1 North. It regularly occurs on artificial waterbodies should they have suitable fringing vegetation and may breed in the project site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachyglossus aculeatus</td>
<td>Short-beaked Echidna</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Short-beaked Echidna has been recorded six times for all surveys combined, suggesting that it is reasonably common in the project site and surrounds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phascolarctos cinereus</td>
<td>Koala</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over 100 records of the species from previous surveys, indicating that there is a substantial population present, presumably in the areas of better quality habitat south of Cherwell Creek.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taphozousroughtoni</td>
<td>Troughton's Sheathtail-bat</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>Previous Anabat records for Cherwell Creek (Figure 8.5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyctophilus timoriensis</td>
<td>Greater Long-eared Bat (South-eastern)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Sporadic use of the site by any individuals possibly present in the local area cannot be discounted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoological Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>NC Act Status</td>
<td>EPBC Act Status</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalinolobus picatus</td>
<td>Little Pied Bat</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numerous Anabat survey records from recent ground survey and previous surveys (Figure 8.5), including in or near woodland with Acacia harpophylla, Windsor’s Dam, wetlands adjacent to 10 North Fill Point, and within the Brigalow remnant adjacent to the boundary with Saraji mine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

Special Status abbreviations are as follows:


General Values

The habitats within and immediately surrounding the project site can be assigned to five broad categories:

i. Woodland and Open Forest.
ii. Grasslands – both introduced pasture and native grasslands.
iii. Jump-ups with shrubby vegetation.
iv. Ephemeral creeks and drainage lines.
v. Dams and other artificial waterbodies.

More detailed discussions (including photos) are provided in Appendix K.

Habitat Protection for Significant Fauna

The likelihood of a species occurring in an RE can be determined based on the description of the RE and the known distribution of the species in question. The exclusion of an RE in relation to a particular species does not mean that it may not at times occur there, especially for migratory species. Rather, the REs have been chosen to represent the habitats of greatest likelihood of regular occurrence. Waterbirds and wetland species, such as those associated with rank vegetation fringing waterbodies, may use many REs beyond those listed should appropriate waterbodies exist within the area in question. The habitat requirements of White-throated Needletail, Rainbow Bee-eater and Short-beaked Echidna are so broad that they could occur in all REs.

It should also be noted that non-remnant vegetation may provide significant resources for many of the species listed. Section 8 of the EIS calculates the total area of REs listed for each species listed in Table 8.9 of the EIS and gives the land tenure, indicating the amount of habitat for conservation significant species that is currently conserved. This is, however, a broad scale approach as particular species do not occur in all available and apparently suitable habitat due to a variety of patch characteristics including connectivity and habitat condition. An actual habitat assessment is required to accurately identify the likelihood of a species occurring in a habitat patch.

Significant Species (EPBC Act and NC Act)

Brigalow Scaly-foot, Yakka Skink and Ornamental Snake have 16,778; 20,032 and 3,675 ha of reserved habitat (i.e. within National Parks and Forest Reserves) respectively. These species are susceptible to disturbance and the loss of suitable ground cover such as coarse woody debris and leaf litter. Non-reserved remnant vegetation may be grazed or otherwise disturbed and is less likely to provide suitable resources for these species, though Yakka Skink can occupy degraded areas with log piles or rabbit warrens to provide shelter. Due to the possible consequences of grazing the extent of reserved land is of much greater importance for these species than for many other conservation significant species.
The Australian Painted Snipe is not particularly restricted to any RE types in the BBN bioregion. It requires terrestrial shallow wetlands and will use inundated grasslands, saltmarsh, dams, rice crops, sewage farms and bore drains. Therefore the area of conserved REs for this species gives little indication of the amount of suitable habitat as this species is often recorded from non-remnant vegetation.

The Squatter Pigeon occurs in open woodlands with a grassy understorey with permanent water nearby. The 23,330 ha of reserved habitat would include some areas where the understorey is too dense for this species. Given a tolerance of low to medium intensity cattle grazing and a willingness to eat some pasture grasses much of the freehold and leasehold land and state forest may actually provide better resources. The species probably also benefits from artificial waterbodies in non-remnant vegetation.

The 6,869 ha of reserved habitat for Greater Long-eared Bat may be considerable under-estimation given that the species occurs in a variety of habitats. The lack of knowledge of its biology makes it difficult to make accurate assessments of its habitat use. It is likely, however, to require large, intact remnants (Turbill et al. 2008) and hence may be dependent on reserved lands.

**Significant Species (NC Act)**

Cotton Pygmy-goose and Black-necked Stork are similar to Australian Painted Snipe in that their habitat requirements are not well reflected by REs.

Little Pied Bat occurs in a wide variety of habitats and, based on Anabat records, is often found in non-remnant, highly modified landscapes. It does require caves, tunnels, other similar subterranean structures or hollow-bearing trees as roosts, which may be just as common in non-reserved remnant vegetation as in forest reserves and National Parks. The sparseness of this species is not indicated by the amount of apparently suitable remnant vegetation in the BBN bioregion.

**Non-Significant Migratory Species (EPBC Act)**

White-bellied Sea-eagle, Latham’s Snipe, Marsh Sandpiper, Common Sandpiper, Red-necked Stint, Sharp-tailed Sandpiper Caspian Tern and, to a lesser degree, Great Egret and Little Curlew, are dependent on waterbodies, including artificial ones. Cattle Egret is an open country species that most often occurs in highly modified non-remnant habitats such as pasture. RE extent does not indicate the amount of suitable habitat for these species in the BBN bioregion.

Rufous Fantail and Black-faced Monarch prefer areas with intact mid-strata. This is more likely to be the case in reserved remnants not subject to grazing. The extent of reserved suitable habitats, <3% of the relevant REs in the BBN bioregion, is hence more significant than for many other conservation significant species. These species will appear in a much greater variety of habitats, including non-remnant vegetation, during passage.
3.9.2 Potential Impacts on Environmental Values

3.9.2.1 Flora

During the construction phase, clearing will be required for a range of infrastructure within the project site, along with a number of sediment basins, creek diversions, the initial open-cut mining pit(s), ramps and out of pit waste dump areas. Clearing will also occur progressively during mine operation for the extension of pits and spoil areas. Overall, it is understood that a total area of approximately 3,900 ha will be disturbed over the life of the project. This will incorporate the clearing of remnant vegetation as defined under the provisions of the VM Act as well as non-remnant vegetation. The approximate areas of each RE to be cleared (calculated via aerial photograph interpretation and subsequent geographic information system (GIS) analysis), together with their description under the provisions of the VM Act, their bioregional extent, local extent and area contained in the project site is provided within Table 3.9.4.
Table 3.9.4  Approximate current extent of ground-truthed REs within the Bioregion, Local Government Area and Project Site and extent to be disturbed during the Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparable RE and Description (REDD)</th>
<th>Management Status</th>
<th>Approximate Total Extent (ha)</th>
<th>Approximate Extent to be Disturbed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Within BBN Bioregion(^1)</td>
<td>Within Local Government Area(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3.2 – <em>Eucalyptus populnea</em> woodland on alluvial plains</td>
<td>Of Concern (VM Act)</td>
<td>545,265</td>
<td>30,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3.25 – <em>Eucalyptus tereticornis</em> or <em>E. camaldulensis</em> woodland in Cainozoic clay plains</td>
<td>Not of Concern (VM Act)</td>
<td>498,414</td>
<td>28,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4.2 – <em>Eucalyptus spp.</em> and/or <em>Corymbia</em> spp grassy or shrubby woodland on Cainozoic clay plains</td>
<td>Of Concern (VM Act)</td>
<td>37,135</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4.8 – <em>Eucalyptus cambageana</em> woodland to open forest with <em>Acacia harpophylla</em> or <em>A. argyrodendron</em> on Cainozoic clay plains</td>
<td>Endangered (VM Act and EPBC Act)</td>
<td>80,904</td>
<td>30,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4.9 – <em>Acacia harpophylla</em> shrubby open forest to woodland with <em>Terminalia oblongata</em> on Cainozoic clay plains</td>
<td>Endangered (VM Act and EPBC Act)</td>
<td>105,656</td>
<td>39,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5.3 – <em>Eucalyptus populnea</em> and/or <em>E. melanophloia</em> and/or <em>Corymbia clarksoniana</em> on Cainozoic sand plains/remnant surfaces</td>
<td>Not of Concern (VM Act)</td>
<td>413,237</td>
<td>183,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparable RE and Description (REDD)</td>
<td>Management Status</td>
<td>Approximate Total Extent (ha)</td>
<td>Approximate Extent to be Disturbed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Within BBN Bioregion¹</td>
<td>Within Local Government Area¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5.9 – <em>Eucalyptus crebra</em> and other <em>Eucalyptus spp.</em> and <em>Corymbia spp.</em> woodland on Cainozoic sand plains/remnant surfaces</td>
<td>Not of Concern (VM Act)</td>
<td>251,427</td>
<td>31,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8.5 – <em>Eucalyptus orgadophila</em> open woodland on Cainozoic igneous rocks</td>
<td>Not of Concern (VM Act)</td>
<td>348,697</td>
<td>39,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8.11 – <em>Dichanthium sericeum</em> grassland on Cainozoic igneous rocks</td>
<td>Of Concern (VM Act), Endangered (EPBC Act)</td>
<td>188,169</td>
<td>37,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.9.5 – <em>Acacia harpophylla</em> and/or <em>Casuarina cristata</em> open forest to woodland on fine grained sedimentary rock.</td>
<td>Endangered (VM Act and EPBC Act)</td>
<td>149,368</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

1. Based on most recent data available from the EPA (2004).
2. Approximate areas calculated via aerial photograph interpretation and subsequent GIS analysis.
3. These areas are excluded from the subsequent considerations of proposed offsets under relevant legislation.
4. Based on an indicative breakdown of vegetation community 18 (Section 4.2.2) into 60% comparable RE 11.4.2 and 40% comparable RE 11.4.8.
3.9.2.2 Fauna

Tables 3.9.5 and 3.9.6 set out each significant fauna element present in the project site, summarise the impact mechanisms and their potential effects on each element, provide appropriate mitigation measures, and show the assessed residual impact for the construction and operation phases of the Project, respectively. For the purposes of this assessment, significant fauna elements refer to those species, communities or processes that have the potential to constrain the proposed activities (e.g. species and habitat listed as significant under the provisions of the EPBC Act, NC Act, Mineral Resource Act 1989 and the VM Act).

Assessment of the nature and scale of predicted impacts are based on known or likely occurrence, fecundity, dispersal abilities, home range, habitat specialisation, resilience to disturbance, and mobility.

The tables in Appendix K further clarify the impact assessment process applied to Table 3.9.5 and 3.9.6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element and Protection Objective</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Source of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</th>
<th>Preliminary Impact Assessment</th>
<th>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</th>
<th>Residual Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nationally Significant Fauna (Endangered or Vulnerable) Objective: Minimise long term loss of habitat in project site.</td>
<td>Brigalow Scaly-foot (<em>Paradelma orientalis</em>) (species not recorded - reasonable possibility of occurrence in project site)</td>
<td>Vulnerable EPBC Act and NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Fauna spotter/catcher during clearing of remnant woodland. Consideration of vegetation offset areas will take into account habitat for significant fauna species.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Very Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible – marginal habitat</td>
<td>Fauna spotter/catcher during clearing of remnant woodland. Retention of log piles where practical.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Minor – marginal habitat</td>
<td>Fauna spotter/catcher during clearing of remnant woodland.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Minor – marginal habitat</td>
<td>Fauna spotter/catcher during clearing of remnant woodland.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of habitat</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible – marginal habitat</td>
<td>Fauna spotter/catcher during clearing of remnant woodland.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important foraging habitat and no loss of potential breeding habitat.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.9.5 Fauna Construction and Clearing Impact Assessment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element and Protection Objective</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Source of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</th>
<th>Preliminary Impact Assessment</th>
<th>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</th>
<th>Residual Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Squatter Pigeon (southern subspecies) (Geophaps scripta scripta) (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of breeding habitat</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Consideration of vegetation offset areas will take into account habitat for significant fauna species.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – Loss of breeding habitat</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Long-eared Bat (Nyctophilus timoriensis) (species not recorded – low possibility of occurrence in project site)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging and roosting habitat</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Retention of hollow-bearing trees where practical.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging and roosting habitat</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Significant Fauna Objective: Minimise long term loss of habitat in project site.</td>
<td>Black-necked Stork Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus (species not recorded, but predicted to occur occasionally)</td>
<td>Rare NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat: farm dams and pasture (when inundated)</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Negligible – marginal habitat</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important foraging habitat and no loss of potential breeding habitat.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>None – No suitable habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-beaked Echidna</td>
<td>Special Least Concern</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Loss of habitat,</td>
<td>1. Certain</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Fauna spotter/catcher during clearing of</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Tachyglossus aculeatus)</em> <em>(species present)</em></td>
<td>(Culturally Significant) NC Act</td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Loss of habitat. 2. Predation by feral predators when fleeing disturbance</td>
<td>2. Possible 3. Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>remnant woodland. Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan. Appropriate speed limits for construction vehicles. Awareness of wildlife matters will be incorporated in the site induction.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Certain 2. Possible 3. Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>None provided.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – 1. Loss of habitat, 2. Predation by feral predators when fleeing disturbance, 3. Mortality from vehicle strike</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troughton’s Sheathtail-bat (Taphozous troughtoni) (species present)</td>
<td>Endangered NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Not appropriate – there is uncertainty concerning the validity of this species and of the reliability of Anabat as a means of identification (see Section 4.3.4).</td>
<td>None provided.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Not appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Pied Bat Chalinolobus picatus (species present)</td>
<td>Rare NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct - Loss of foraging habitat and potential roosting habitat</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Consideration of vegetation offset areas will take into account habitat for significant fauna species. Retention of hollow-bearing trees where</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationally Significant Fauna</td>
<td>Australian Cotton Pygmy-goose, Migratory EPBC Act</td>
<td>None – No suitable habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important foraging habitat and no loss of potential breeding habitat.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Migratory) Objective:</td>
<td>Also listed as Rare under the NC Act (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimise long term loss of habitat in project site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Egret (Ardea alba)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat: farm dams and pasture (when inundated)</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle Egret (Bubulcus ibis)</td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>None – No suitable habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(species not recorded, but predicted to occur sporadically)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-bellied</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None – No suitable habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species Qualification Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-eagle (Haliaeetus leucogaster) (species present)</td>
<td>habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>applicable</td>
<td>loss of habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painted Snipe (Rostratula benghalensis s. lat), and Latham’s Snipe (Gallinago hardwickii) (species not recorded, but predicted to occur occasionally)</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Curlew (Numenius minutus) (non-breeding wader, not recorded but may occur sporadically)</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat: pasture and native grasslands</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsh Sandpiper (Tringa stagnatilis), Common</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat: farm dams</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandpiper (Actitis hypoleucos), Red-necked Stint (Calidris ruficollis) and Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (Calidris acuminata) (non-breeding waders, species present)</td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>landscape.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspian Tern (Sterna caspia) (species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-throated Needletail (Hirundapus caudacutus) and Fork-tailed Swift (Apus pacificus) (non-breeding aerial species – species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Indirect – Disturbance of flying invertebrates (prey)</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Negligible (possibly positive – provision of foraging opportunity)</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Indirect – Disturbance of flying invertebrates (prey)</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Negligible (possibly positive – provision of foraging opportunity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow Bee-eater (<em>Merops ornatus</em>) (species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat, loss of potential breeding habitat Indirect impact – disturbance of flying invertebrates (prey)</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of foraging habitat, Indirect – Disturbance of flying invertebrates (prey)</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufous Fantail (<em>Rhipidura rufifrons</em>) (breeding passerine – species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of passage habitat</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>None – no habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black-faced Monarch (<em>Monarcha melanopsis</em>) (breeding)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of passage habitat</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>None – No habitat within</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>passerine – not recorded but predicted to occur occasionally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satin Flycatcher (Myiagra cyanoleuca) (non-breeding passerine – species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Loss of passage habitat</td>
<td>Certain</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of important habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Reed-Warbler (Acrocephalus australis) (breeding passerine – species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided – no loss of habitat and no constraints to movement across the landscape.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure 4</td>
<td>None – No habitat within area of disturbance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feral Species of State Significance Objective: Manage existing pests and prevent new introductions.</td>
<td>(feral) Cat (Felis catus), Dingo/dog (Canis lupus dingo/familiaris) (feral predators – species present)</td>
<td>Declared Class 2 Pest under the LP Act</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Predation on native fauna</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Fox (Vulpes vulpes) (feral predator – not recorded but predicted to occur sporadically)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Predation on native fauna</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type 2</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit ((Oryctolagus cuniculus)) () (species present)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>Indirect – Destruction of native vegetation</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(feral) Pig ((Sus scrofa)) () (species present)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Predation on native fauna.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(feral) Goat ((Capra hircus)) () (species present)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>Indirect – Destruction of native vegetation</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Feral Species</td>
<td>Cane Toad ((Bufo marinus)) () (species present)</td>
<td>Non-native animal</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Predation of native fauna, 2. Competition with native fauna around waterbody edges</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Sparrow ((Passer domesticus)) () (species present)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Mouse (Mus musculus)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Competition with native fauna</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Rat (Rattus rattus)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Competition with native fauna</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Hare (Lepus capensis)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Direct – Competition with native fauna Indirect – Destruction of native vegetation</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(feral) Donkey (Equus asinus)</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure 4</td>
<td>Indirect – Destruction of native vegetation</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Clearing refers to activities undertaken both during the construction and operational phases.
2. All impacts listed in the tables should be considered as negative, unless otherwise stated.
3. This note not utilised in the above table.
4. During the construction phase all infrastructure, other than for the pits, is combined.
Table 3.9.6 Fauna Operation Impact Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element and Protection Objective</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Source of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</th>
<th>Preliminary Impact Assessment</th>
<th>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</th>
<th>Residual Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nationally Significant Fauna (Endangered or Vulnerable) Objective: Minimise fauna injury and disturbance to natural processes and behaviour.</td>
<td>Brigalow Scaly-foot (<em>Paradelma orientalis</em>) (species not recorded - reasonable possibility of occurrence in project site)</td>
<td>Vulnerable EPBC Act and NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local movements, 2. Mortality from vehicle strike</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Appropriate speed limits for vehicles. Awareness of wildlife matters will be incorporated in the site induction.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yakka Skink (<em>Egernia rugosa</em>) (species not recorded - low possibility of occurrence in project site)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local movements, 2. Mortality from vehicle strike</td>
<td>Very Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Appropriate speed limits for vehicles. Awareness of wildlife matters will be incorporated in the site induction.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ornamental Snake (<em>Denisonia maculata</em>)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local</td>
<td>Very Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Appropriate speed limits for vehicles. Awareness of wildlife</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>movements, 2. Mortality from vehicle strike</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>matters will be incorporated in the site induction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Painted Snipe (Rostratula australis)</td>
<td>(species not recorded, but predicted to occur occasionally)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Light pollution (may affect movements at night)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>None provided.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Light pollution (may affect movements at night)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squatter Pigeon (southern subspecies) (Geophaps scripta scripta) (species</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General purpose</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Long-eared Bat (Nyctophilus timoriensis) (species not recorded – low possibility of occurrence in project site)</td>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional watering points</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Black-necked Stork</td>
<td>Rare NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauna Objective: Minimise fauna injury and disturbance to natural processes and behaviour.</td>
<td>(Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus) (species not recorded, but predicted to occur occasionally)</td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-beaked Echidna (Tachyglossus aculeatus) (species present)</td>
<td>Special Least Concern (Culturally Significant) NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local movements, 2. Mortality from vehicle strike, 3. Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Liaison with local Wildlife Carer for treatment of injured animals or young rescued from adults killed or injured by vehicles or activities associated with the Project. Appropriate speed limits for vehicles. Awareness of wildlife matters will be incorporated in the site induction. Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local movements (if</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element and Protection Objective</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Source of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</th>
<th>Preliminary Impact Assessment</th>
<th>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</th>
<th>Residual Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Koala</td>
<td>(Phascolarctos cinereus) (species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local movements, 2. Mortality from vehicle strike, 3. Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Probable Minor</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Liaison with local Wildlife Carer for treatment of injured animals or young rescued from adults killed or injured by vehicles or activities associated with the Project. Appropriate speed limits for vehicles. Awareness of wildlife matters will be incorporated in the site induction. Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – 1. Constraint to local movements (if rail corridor fenced), 2. Mortality</td>
<td>Possible Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troughton’s Sheath-tailed bat (Taphozous wroughtoni) (species present)</td>
<td>Endangered NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Not appropriate – there is uncertainty concerning the validity of this species and of the reliability of Anabat as a means of identification.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Not appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>Rare NC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Pied Bat (Chalinolobus picatus) (species present)</td>
<td>Direct – Noise, dust and light pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natiomally Significant Fauna (Migratory) Objective: Minimise fauna injury and disturbance to natural processes and behaviour.</td>
<td>Australian Cotton Pygmy-goose (<em>Nettapus coromandelianus albipennis</em>) Also listed as Rare under the NC Act (species present)</td>
<td>Migratory EPBC Act</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Great Egret (<em>Ardea alba</em>) (species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Australian Cotton Pygmy-goose (*Nettapus coromandelianus albipennis*) Also listed as Rare under the NC Act (species present).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element and Protection Objective</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Source of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</th>
<th>Preliminary Impact Assessment</th>
<th>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</th>
<th>Residual Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle Egret (Bubulcus ibis) (species not recorded, but predicted to occur sporadically)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-bellied Sea-eagle (Haliaeetus leucogaster) (species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>infrastructure pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Painted Snipe <em>(Rostratula benghalensis s. lat.)</em> and Latham’s Snipe <em>(Gallinago hardwickii)</em> (species not recorded, but predicted to occur occasionally)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Light pollution (may affect movements at night)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Light pollution (may affect movements at night)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little Curlew <em>(Numenius minutus)</em> (non-breeding wader, not recorded but may occur sporadically)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct impact – noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats).</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marsh Sandpiper</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Tringa stagnatilis</em>, Common Sandpiper <em>Actitis hypoleucos</em>, Red-necked Stint <em>Calidris ruficollis</em>, and Sharp-tailed Sandpiper <em>Calidris acuminata</em> (non-breeding waders, species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caspian Tern</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>None provided</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sterna caspia</em> (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional habitat.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-throated Needletail Hirundapus caudacutus and Fork-tailed Swift Apus pacificus (non-breeding aerial species – species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals foraging above and over adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals foraging above and over adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow Bee-eater Merops ornatus (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals foraging above and over adjacent habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rufous Fantail <em>Rhipidura rufifrons</em> (breeding passerine – species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in nearby riparian habitats)</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black-faced Monarch <em>Monarcha melanopsis</em> (breeding passerine – not recorded but predicted to occur occasionally)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in nearby riparian habitats)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in nearby riparian habitats)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satin Flycatcher <em>Myiagra cyanoleuca</em> (non-breeding passerine – species present)</td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct – Noise and dust pollution (on individuals in nearby riparian habitats)</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australian Reed-Warbler <em>Acrocephalus australis</em> (breeding passerine – species present)</td>
<td>Pits</td>
<td>Direct impact – noise and dust pollution (on individuals in adjacent habitats).</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Standard dust suppression techniques.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal preparation infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Dams</td>
<td>Direct – creation of additional</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td>Minor (positive impact)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feral Species of State Significance Objective: Manage existing pests and prevent new introductions.</td>
<td>(feral) Cat Felis catus, Dingo/dog Canis lupus dingo/familiaris (feral predators – species present)</td>
<td>Declared Class 2 Pest under the LP Act</td>
<td>Roads and tracks</td>
<td>Direct – Increased access to surrounding vegetation and resultant predation on native fauna</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Red Fox Vulpes vulpes (feral predator – not recorded but predicted to occur sporadically)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Roads and tracks</td>
<td>Direct – Increased access to surrounding vegetation and resultant predation on native fauna</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbit Oryctolagus cuniculus (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(feral) Pig Sus scrofa (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(feral) Goat Capra hircus (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Feral Cane Toad Non-native</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Objective: Manage existing pests and prevent new introductions.</td>
<td><em>Bufo marinus</em> (species present)</td>
<td>animal</td>
<td>disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>applicable</td>
<td>applicable</td>
<td>managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>House Sparrow Passer domesticus</em> (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>House Mouse Mus musculus</em> (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Source area for dispersal into adjacent native vegetation and resultant competition with native fauna</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Black Rat Rattus rattus</em> (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>Direct – Source area for dispersal into adjacent native vegetation and resultant competition with native fauna</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Brown Hare Lepus capensis</em> (species present)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element and Protection Objective</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Source of Impact</td>
<td>Impact Type</td>
<td>Likelihood of Impact Occurring</td>
<td>Preliminary Impact Assessment</td>
<td>Mitigation and Compensatory Measures</td>
<td>Residual Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(feral) Donkey <em>Equus asinus</em> (species present)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Areas disturbed for Project infrastructure</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Strategies for managing pest species will be maintained in the EM Plan.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.9.3 Environmental Protection Objective

The environmental protection objective is to ensure that the integrity of the local and regional landscape character and the visual amenity of the area are not significantly adversely affected as a result of the project.

3.9.4 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for terrestrial ecology are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.
- Protection of conservation significant species, communities and habitat;
- Minimal disturbance to flora and fauna during the construction and operation.
- No unplanned or unapproved disturbance/clearing of flora and fauna

3.9.5 Control Strategies

3.9.5.1 Flora

**Mitigation Measures**

The following mitigation and compensatory measures will be implemented to reduce identified impacts on flora to levels that will not cause permanent harm to significant ecosystems or flora and fauna populations:

- As much as possible, only areas absolutely necessary for the construction and the operation of the project will be cleared. Clearance will be controlled by a Permit to Disturb process, and go/no-go areas will be identified on site, and managed through a GIS system.
- Standard dust suppression techniques will be used.
- Disturbed areas will be rehabilitated progressively as practicable to minimise the net loss of vegetative cover.
- Vegetation offsets will be developed through negotiation with the DERM and DEWHA for communities listed as significant under the provisions of the VM Act and/or the EPBC Act not subject to existing surface area approvals for clearing. These offsets will be managed through an offsets management plan.
- Any Interference with watercourses and flows will be in accordance with the requirements of the Water Act 2000, including the development of a specific revegetation plan for creek diversions.

**Biodiversity Offset Management Plan**

A biodiversity offset management plan will be developed and implemented to manage the offsetting of cleared significant vegetation communities. The plan will be developed in keeping with the objectives of the current Commonwealth and State legislation for the offsetting of significant vegetation communities. The plan will also be in keeping with the principles of relevant policies and guidelines such as:

- Draft policy statement ‘Use of environmental offsets under the EPBC Act 1999’ (DEWHA, 2007)
- Queensland Policy for Vegetation Management Offsets (DNRW, 2007)
- Queensland Government Environmental Offset Policy.
The offset management plan will include criteria for offset suitability which, where practicable, would include:

- The acquisition of a remnant/regrowth community that is equal to, or greater in area than that which will be impacted by the project.
- Support a comparable suite of plant species contained in RE types impacted by the project.
- Consider maximising biodiversity gains through site selection, (e.g. habitat requirements for migratory species that will be impacted by loss of foraging trees and water sources).
- Offset locations which are preferentially closer (at least within the locality) to communities impacted by the project.
- Offset sites which are preferentially larger contiguous stands of vegetation with connectivity to other habitat types to increase viability of ecological processes.
- Place potential offset(s) parcels under a secure protection such as a conservation covenant to ensure that protection runs with title.
- Management measures to ensure offset areas remain viable in the long term. Such measures may include the management of supplementary planting, weed, fire, feral animal, livestock management and restriction on access.
- Monitoring and maintenance activities to measure success and viability of the offset activities to measure success and viability of the offset.

Specific components of the offset management plan will include:

- A map detailing the location and extent of the proposed offset(s), the associated vegetation types and any infrastructure (e.g. fencing, vehicle access networks);
- Measures for the long-term management and protection of existing areas of the endangered ecological communities
  - Brigalow (*Acacia harpophylla* dominant and co-dominant) and
  - Natural Grasslands of the Queensland Central Highlands and the Northern Fitzroy basin native grasslands;
- Measures to survey and monitor the occurrence of flora and fauna species including but not limited to squatter pigeon (*Geophaps scrita*) and brigalow scaly-foot (*Paradelma orientalis*);
- Where appropriate, measures for weed and feral animal control, supplementary fire management, erosion & sediment control, access restriction and livestock exclusion;
- The development of a process to review and report on the effectiveness of the performance of the management plan and on any unplanned events which may impact on the offset.
**Weed Management**

The following weed management control strategies will be implemented to achieve the environmental protection objectives.

- Develop and implement a weed management plan.
- Manage methods for declared plants on the project site in accordance with local management practice and or DNRW Pest Fact sheets.

The spread of weeds will be eliminated from rehabilitation areas by using weed-free soil from the open cut area and monitoring and controlling weed populations should they occur. Weed control, if required, will be undertaken in a manner that will minimise soil disturbance.

### 3.9.5.2 Fauna

Implementation of the following mitigation and compensatory measures is identified in Tables 3.9.5 and 3.9.6 as necessary to reduce identified impacts on fauna to levels that will not cause permanent harm to significant ecosystems or fauna populations:

- As much as possible, only areas absolutely necessary for the construction and the operation of the project will be cleared. Clearance will be controlled by a Permit to Disturb process, and go/no-go areas will be identified on site, and managed through a GIS system.
- Take into account habitat for significant fauna species when considering vegetation offset areas;
- Utilise standard dust suppression techniques and strategically rehabilitate available disturbed areas to minimise the net loss of vegetative cover.
- Develop and implement the site Environmental Management Plan, including appropriate pest animal management measures.
- Fauna spotter/catchers present during clearing operations in areas of high ecological value, such as remnant woodland.
- Interference with watercourses and flows will be in accordance with the *Water Act 2000*, including the development of a specific Revegetation Plan for creek diversions.
- Implement measures to reduce fauna mortality on roads and ensure appropriate treatment of injured/orphaned animals.
- Retention (or provision in surrounding habitat), where practical, of important habitat features such as large hollow-bearing trees (live or dead), nest boxes and log piles.

A contractor’s construction environmental management plan will manage potential habitat impacts during the construction phase.

In terms of maintaining natural fauna movement, focus will be on roads that traverse areas of significant fauna habitat, including the haul road crossing of drainage lines (e.g. Cherwell, Nine Mile, Harrow and Horse Creeks) and any areas associated with Brigalow. Measures to be implemented include driver awareness and reduction of speed limits in these areas.
3.9.6 Monitoring

3.9.6.1 Flora

Monitoring during the construction phase will include:

- Mapping of the distribution of declared and environmental weeds, particularly adjacent to roadways.
- Occurrences of erosion and sedimentation influencing vegetation and stream health.
- Dust effects on native vegetation.

Monitoring during the operations phase will include:

- The distribution of declared and environmental weeds around the perimeter of the open pits, CHPP, ROM, overland conveyor, rail route, new sediment basins, creek diversions and adjacent to new roadways and the dragline transport route.
- Habitat rehabilitation/restoration progress.
- Downstream riparian habitat.
- Monitoring of treated areas to assess the success of declared plant eradication.
- Monitoring of the project site to identify any new declared plant infestations.

3.9.6.2 Fauna

During the construction phase, a fauna monitoring program will include the following:

- Frequency of fauna spotting and catching.
- Native animal injuries from construction activities.
- Pest animal activity.

During the operations phase, a fauna monitoring program will include the following:

- Habitat rehabilitation/restoration progress.
- Fauna use of rehabilitated areas.
- Downstream riparian habitat.
- Pest animal activity.

3.9.7 Commitments

- Significant weed infestations will be controlled in all areas of the Caval Ridge Project site. Species targeted for control or eradication will include those declared under legislation in accordance with the Land Protection (Pest and Stock Route Management) Act 2001.
- Trees, shrubs and other vegetation will only be removed where required (and appropriate approvals sought where necessary). Vegetation outside mining and infrastructure areas will where ever possible remain undisturbed.
- Where possible, infrastructure will be placed in areas to minimise the disturbance of existing native vegetation. Existing tracks and cleared areas will be utilised, where possible.
Cleared areas will be progressively rehabilitated in accordance with the methodology outlined in Section 3.7.6.

Implementation of a fauna and flora habitat monitoring program to measure rehabilitation success.

A biodiversity offset management plan will be developed and implemented to manage the offsetting of cleared significant vegetation communities. The plan will be developed in keeping with the objectives of the current Commonwealth and State legislation for the offsetting of significant vegetation communities. The plan will also be in keeping with the principles of relevant policies and guidelines such as:

- Draft policy statement ‘Use of environmental offsets under the EPBC Act 1999’ (DEWHA, 2007)
- Queensland Policy for Vegetation Management Offsets (DNRW, 2007)
- Queensland Government Environmental Offset Policy.

The offset management plan will include criteria for offset suitability which where practicable, would include:

- The acquisition of a remnant/regrowth community that is equal to, or greater in area than that which will be impacted by the project.
- Support a comparable suite of plant species contained in RE types impacted by the project;
- Consider maximising biodiversity gains through site selection, (e.g. habitat requirements for migratory species that will be impacted by loss of foraging trees and water sources).
- Offset locations which are preferentially closer (at least within the locality) to communities impacted by the project.
- Offset sites which are preferentially larger contiguous stands of vegetation with connectivity to other habitat types to increase viability of ecological processes.
- Place potential offset(s) parcels under a secure protection such as a conservation covenant to ensure that protection runs with title.
- Management measures to ensure offset areas remain viable in the long term. Such measures may include the management of supplementary planting, weed, fire, feral animal, livestock management and restriction on access.
- Monitoring and maintenance activities to measure success and viability of the offset activities to measure success and viability of the offset.

Specific components of the offset management plan will include:

- A map detailing the location and extent of the proposed offset(s), the associated vegetation types and any infrastructure (e.g. fencing, vehicle access networks);
- Measures for the long-term management and protection of existing areas of the endangered ecological communities
  - Brigalow (*Acacia harpophylla* dominant and co-dominant) and
  - Natural Grasslands of the Queensland Central Highlands and the Northern Fitzroy basin native grasslands;
- Measures to survey and monitor the occurrence of flora and fauna species including but not limited to squatter pigeon (*Geophaps scrpita*) and brigalow scaly-foot (*Paradelma orientalis*);
- Where appropriate, measures for weed and feral animal control, supplementary fire management, erosion & sediment control, access restriction and livestock exclusion;
The development of a process to review and report on the effectiveness of the performance of the management plan and on any unplanned events which may impact on the offset.

3.9.8 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions

There are no proposed environmental authority conditions for terrestrial ecology.

3.10 Cultural Heritage

3.10.1 Background

3.10.1.1 Non-Indigenous Cultural Heritage

Searches of relevant heritage registers and two field surveys were undertaken to identify any non-Indigenous cultural heritage values at the project site.

A search of the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Heritage Register was carried out in an attempt to locate any non-Indigenous sites that had already been identified as possessing a level of significance. No cultural heritage sites within the project site were identified on the EPA’s Heritage Register, the National and Commonwealth Heritage Registers, or the Register of the National Estate.

A non-indigenous cultural heritage survey was conducted in December 2007 and August 2008 under permitting arrangements with the DERM (then EPA) (EPA permit number CHST00240207). There were no historical sites of cultural heritage significance (i.e. sites which contain suitable value to warrant a significance and impact assessment) located within the project site during the field surveys. Five places of historic interest (i.e. places which contribute to the broader discussion of historic cultural heritage sites and places but do not have a suitable level of cultural heritage significance in their own right to justify further assessment or specific mitigation strategies) were located at the project site. The location of the sites of historic interest (HI) is provided in Table 3.10.1 and shown on Figure 3.10.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place ID</th>
<th>GPS Coordinates¹</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HI-1</td>
<td>611281 610741</td>
<td>Telegraph line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7552278 7551695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI-2</td>
<td>611496</td>
<td>Saw Mill Remnants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7549891</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI-3</td>
<td>607312</td>
<td>Dam and Windmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7559678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI-4</td>
<td>610172</td>
<td>Cattle Trough and Yards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7556669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI-5</td>
<td>608356</td>
<td>Dams and Windmills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7555192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B.¹: Geodetic Datum: WGS84. Grid Zone 55K.
HI – 1  
**Telegraph Line**
An old telegraph line is located approximately 500 m south of the Peak Downs Highway, in the centre of the project site (Figure 3.10.2). The line of timber posts extends for at least 1.5 km in a north-east/south-west alignment. There are at least 15 thin timber posts, positioned approximately 100 m apart, on a fairly steep inclination, each with a ceramic conductor attached. The telegraph line is located outside the project footprint and will not be disturbed by the project.

![Figure 3.10.2 North View. Telegraph Post](image)

HI – 2  
**Saw Mill Remnants**
Three piles of sawn timber and remains of a saw mill were located in the central and southern section of the project site (Figure 3.10.3). The mill is thought to have been built during the 1970s by a local landowner in response to the large amount of timber being cleared in preparation for the Peak Downs mining operation. Immediately east of the piles of sawn timber are stock piles of lumber. The saw mill remnants are located outside the project footprint and will not be disturbed by the project. If required back access roads will be aligned so that they do not disturb the site.

![Figure 3.10.3 South-East View. Piles of Sawn Timber.](image)
HI – 3 Dam and Windmill
The remains of two dams and a water pumping windmill are located on the north-western boundary of the project site (Figure 3.10.4). The windmill is damaged with the rotating blades lying on the ground at the base of the steel lattice tower. Evidence of the water pipeline used to feed the water from the dam can be seen emerging from one of the dam walls. The two dams and a water pumping windmill are located outside the project footprint and will not be disturbed by the project.

Figure 3.10.4 South-East View. Damaged Windmill and a Nearby Dam.

HI – 4 Cattle Trough, Yards and Fence Lines
Timber and steel cattle yards, trough and timber fence lines are located in the northern section of the project site (Figure 3.10.5). With gates in working order and cattle in and around this site, it is apparent the yards are still in use. This site is in good condition. The cattle trough, yards and fence lines are within the footprint of Horse pit and will be removed during the clearing activities.

Figure 3.10.5 Southern View. Timber and Steel Cattle Yards and Trough.

HI – 5 Dams and Windmills
Two water-pumping windmills and two associated dams are located in the northern section of the project site (Figure 3.10.1). The windmills are seemingly intact. The dams and windmills are within the footprint of Horse pit and will be removed during the clearing activities.

3.10.1.2 Indigenous Cultural Heritage
An Indigenous cultural heritage study was undertaken by Northern Archaeology Consultancies Pty Ltd in consultation with BBKY#4 Native Title Claimants (NAC 2009). The study was conducted in accordance with relevant provisions of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003 (for pre-contact indigenous cultural heritage) and the Queensland Cultural Heritage Act 1992 (for post-contact indigenous cultural heritage), and comprised a literature review, a field survey. The Indigenous cultural heritage found at the project site as discussed below was assessed for its ‘significance’ as provided for in the Queensland Aboriginal Cultural
Heritage Act 2003 (ACH Act) and the Burra Charter. The Burra Charter defines ‘significance’ as ‘aesthetic, historic, scientific or social value for past, present or future generations’ (Guidelines to The Burra Charter Section 2.1). The concept of significance is multi-faceted, and any one cultural heritage site may have different kinds of significance at different times and to different interest groups. Under the ACH Act, places may be significant because of a past event, because of association with a story, or because of an inherent spiritual quality associated with the place.

Indigenous cultural heritage surveys of the project site, undertaken by Northern Archaeology Consultancies Pty Ltd and representatives of BBKY#4 between July and November 2008, resulted in the identification of numerous cultural heritage sites, items and significant natural features of indigenous origin, including:

- More than 1,200 surface stone artefacts of various types and raw materials (in disturbed and/or deflated low to high density concentrations and isolated finds) occurring mainly in association with creek and river terraces, gullies and drainage lines (Table 3.10.2);
- 13 scarred trees with a total of 14 scars of likely cultural origin;
- Aboriginal fireplaces;
- Artefact knapping floors;
- A silcrete extraction site;
- A cultural stone feature;
- Natural features with cultural significance; and
- A possible historic feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated Find</th>
<th>Low Density Artefact Scatter</th>
<th>Medium/high Density Artefact Scatter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or two artefacts lying 10 m or more from their nearest neighbours</td>
<td>A concentration of 3-30 artefacts Site area usually less than 50 m² Maximum density usually 2 or &lt; 2/m²</td>
<td>Concentration of &gt;30 artefacts Site area usually &gt; 50 m² Maximum artefact density &gt;2/m² May contain discrete activity areas such as knapping floors, hearth features, native wells etc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cultural materials were identified in association with the following natural landforms and features:

- Banks and alluvial terraces associated with Cherwell, Harrow, Nine Mile, Horse and Grosvenor Creeks and associated gullies and drainage lines, either in alluvium or on older ground surfaces that have been exposed by sheet or gully erosion; and
- The existing and cleared Acacia and Eucalyptus dominant forests.

Certain natural resources that supported the economic and cultural systems of Aboriginal people who lived in the area of the project were identified as follows:

- A variety of native plants with documented or orally reported Aboriginal uses (Table 3.10.3);
- Documented and orally reported lists of native animals that either provided food or were intimately linked with Aboriginal people in other ways; and
- Local supplies of silcrete, chert, sandstone, basalt and petrified wood and less commonly occurring raw materials such as rhyolite, chalcedony and quartz.

The distribution of artefacts across the project site was not random, with a clear concentration of occupation along the creeks. Some of the creeks appeared to have been more attractive for living than others. High density artefact scatters were found in erosion round the creeks just north of the Peak Downs Highway, although they did not appear to contain the same concentrations as found at Cherwell and Horse Creeks, though surface visibility was very similar. In spite of intensive surveys of the hillier country west of Horse Creek and the lengthy narrow strip west of the Peak Downs Mine, very little cultural material was found.

**Table 3.10.3 List of Vegetation Species in the Project Site with known Traditional Uses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Local Name</th>
<th>Traditional Use/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia cambageana</td>
<td>Bendee</td>
<td>Implements, fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia harpophylla</td>
<td>Brigalow</td>
<td>Implements, fire, medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia rhodoxylon</td>
<td>Rosewood</td>
<td>Implements, medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia salicina</td>
<td>Black wattle</td>
<td>Food, implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia shirleyi</td>
<td>Lancewood</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archidendrops basaltica</td>
<td>Dead finish</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphitonia excelsa</td>
<td>White myrtle, soap tree</td>
<td>Soap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauhinia spp.</td>
<td>Bauhinia</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachychiton populneus</td>
<td>Kurrajong</td>
<td>Food, water, implements, string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capparis cansecens</td>
<td>Wild orange</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capparis lasiantha</td>
<td>Split Jack, wait a while</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carissa ovata</td>
<td>Native currant bush or ‘burrum’</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassia brewsteri</td>
<td>Leichhardt bean</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbidium canaliculatum</td>
<td>Black orchid or wild arrowroot</td>
<td>Food, medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eremocitrus glauca</td>
<td>Native limebush</td>
<td>Food, medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eremophila mitchelli</td>
<td>False sandalwood</td>
<td>Fuel, medicine, ceremonial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythroxylum australae</td>
<td>Native cherry</td>
<td>food, medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrophleum sp.</td>
<td>Ironwood</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus populnea</td>
<td>Poplar box</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corymbia sp.</td>
<td>Bloodwood</td>
<td>Implements, medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geijera parviflora</td>
<td>Wilga</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grewia retusifolia</td>
<td>Emu berries, dog balls</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owenia acidula</td>
<td>Emu apple</td>
<td>Food, implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petalostigma pubescens</td>
<td>Quinine</td>
<td>Medicine, implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santalum lanceolatum (true sandalwood)</td>
<td>True or commercial sandalwood</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalia oblongata</td>
<td>Yellowwood</td>
<td>Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Possumberry</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enchylaena tomentosa</td>
<td>Ruby saltbush</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Local Name</td>
<td>Traditional Use/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zehneria cunninghamii</td>
<td>Native cucumber</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteropogon sp.</td>
<td>White spear grass</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stone Artefacts**

The range of artefact types identified varied across the project site and consisted of cores, flakes (at primary, secondary and tertiary stages of reduction), broken and intact grindstones and mullers, blades, utilised scrapers of various kinds (including steep edge and tulas) and hammerstones. The greatest concentrations were found in erosion and mine-related exposures along the high banks and terraces of Cherwell Creek, Harrow Creek and Horse Creek where artefact densities at times exceeded 10 per square metre and were virtually continuous wherever there was erosion.

The area surrounding the existing Cherwell Creek diversion, a large dry dam north of the creek, and diggings on the north-eastern end of Heyford pit were also extremely rich in artefacts. It is likely that the disturbance had brought the material to the surface. Although a widespread salvage of artefacts had already been undertaken, artefacts were still appearing and will probably continue to do so in the future.

Although the concentrations of artefacts were disturbed to varying degrees, specific activity areas were identified within the more extensive sites. Fifty-three broken and intact grindstones and mullers were identified within 2 km along Horse Creek, suggesting an intensive local food grinding industry. There was evidence of some artefacts having been damaged recently and therefore larger artefacts found intact were removed from site and placed into the custody of the BBKY Traditional Owners. Further erosion will likely continue to expose more artefacts. The concentration of grindstones and mullers found in this survey parallels similar finds on the other side of, and further up, Horse Creek (Gorecki 2006). Evidence of similar activities has also been observed along certain creeks to the east on the mining leases of Peak Downs, Millennium, Carborough, Burton and Broadlea. The finds at the project site broaden the extent of this potential regional industry.

Along with the grinding implements along Horse Creek, 45 cores of mainly silcrete, but also petrified wood, basalt and quartz, were identified. These were identified within a particular concentration distance of 200 m. Approximately 70% of all artefacts found consisted of silcrete. Petrified wood (approximately 150) and chert (approximately 80) were also relatively common materials for flaked artefacts, while the least common materials were rhyolite (5 artefacts including a fragment of a finely ground axe) and crystal quartz (13 artefacts). Twelve basalt artefacts were found distributed very sparsely throughout the project site but their use as mullers, anvils and hammerstones was confined to the northern section along Horse Creek, closer to the source of natural basalt. Almost 20% of flaked artefacts exhibit some kind of wear.

**Knapping (Flaking) Floors**

Within artefact scatters were several features identified as stone tool knapping floors, consisting of very small pieces of stone debitage or rejected fragments in the process of knapping flakes from a core. These features are generally difficult to identify because of small size of the debitage (mainly <2 cm). A concentration of debitage fragments indicates the presence of a knapping floor. In an undisturbed state they may still contain the core and the hammerstone that was used to strike the core. The sites along Horse Creek contained large numbers of cores and hammerstones, but knapping floors that would once have been there could not be specifically identified due to the disturbance by cattle.
Scarred Trees
Scarred trees are trees of species suitable for bark removal, where the bark has historically been levered off in sufficiently large quantities to be used for various purposes. Thirteen trees with 14 scars of cultural origin were found throughout the project site. Two situated in the south-eastern corner of the project site were recorded in earlier fieldwork (Woora 2005). Twelve of the trees were poplar box with only 4 of these living. The thirteenth tree was a dead coolabah tree. Most scars were subject to visible deterioration from the elements, insect activity or fire. The varied shapes of the scars recorded in the project site suggested that bark was removed to make shelters, canoes, shields and/or coolamons (containers). Some small scars in hollow trees suggested the removal of bark to gain access to possums or honey. Ten of the scars in the were between 1 and 2 m long suggesting a range of uses for the bark, while the three scars that were 2 m or more in length would most likely have been used as canoes due to the shape of the scar. Assemblages of scarred trees close to major rivers usually include a greater percentage of large scars, bark from which would have been used as canoes. The absence of very small scars indicates that cutting trees to extract honey or possums was uncommon. Extensive clearing has resulted in large old scarred trees being an increasingly rare cultural resource. Living scarred trees are even more rare, and are a direct, living link with the post-European contact past and traditional people. As well as being of high cultural significance to the Traditional Owners, their archaeological (scientific) significance is also increasing due to the scarcity.

Stone Extraction Site (Quarry)
A large open area with an exposure of grey silcrete nodules was recorded on a gentle slope near a small gully about 600 to 700 m west of Horse Creek. Many of the artefacts identified at this site were found in a pre-form (i.e. in a natural state before refinement). These would have likely been taken to be finished at a later stage or place.

Fireplaces
Ten suspected Aboriginal fireplaces were found in the project site, and eight of these (all less than 50 cm in diameter) were concentrated in one short section of Horse Creek. Some of these fireplaces contained burnt artefacts such as mullers and flakes. According to oral information from the Traditional Owners, these types of fireplaces were for ritual purposes rather than for heating or cooking and may be of significance. They have the potential to contain datable organic material (charcoal, burnt seeds, etc) which may assist in determining the age of these campsites.

Historic Feature
In the cleared northern section of the project site, a low basalt outcrop with an exposure of about 50 m by 10 m was identified. Loose, naturally occurring basalt stones were piled up on the eastern side to form a base filled with sand to a height of about 50 cm. The recent age of this feature was gauged when a concrete besserblock and a piece of polythene water pipe were also identified. It was determined that this feature was probably a base for a tank, and of relatively recent origin.

Existing Impacts to Indigenous Cultural Heritage
Known Indigenous cultural heritage is currently being adversely impacted on by erosion caused by the Cherwell Creek diversion and clearing of the riparian zone of Horse Creek, and physical damage caused by cattle. Considering the archaeological evidence that has been recorded in various studies along both watercourses, unrecorded cultural heritage in the area may be currently being impacted also.
Landscape Significance
The survey identified that the spatial distribution and density of artefacts were directly proportional to the degree of disturbance in the environment. High densities of artefacts were identified in eroded sections of the creek environments, while little surface material was identified in similar and adjacent undisturbed locations along the same creeks. While few artefacts were identified in these adjacent areas, the undisturbed, intact banks and terraces of the watercourses within the project site retain high cultural value for their intact subsurface content. A rich cultural zone existed along all of the larger creeks in the project area. The sections between the creeks would have been exploitation zones where people may have camped for short periods when resources and water were available. The Traditional Owners of the area have identified the project site as a landscape with highly significant cultural attributes and values.

3.10.2 Environmental Value
The environmental value to be protected is the cultural heritage interest and significance (i.e. aesthetic, historic, scientific and social) of Indigenous and non-Indigenous use and occupation of the project site.

3.10.3 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Value

3.10.3.1 Non-Indigenous Cultural Heritage
The field survey identified no sites of cultural heritage significance and five places of historical interest within the project site. There is some potential for further historic items to exist within the project site as ground surface visibility, along with the nature of the survey did not allow for a complete survey of 100% of this area. In particular, potential exists for surface and/or subsurface road remnants along the old telegraph line (HI – 1) where an old road potentially passed through. Elements associated with older roads and stock routes from times past may also exist in this area. Other potential sites and places may include mile markers, survey trees, historic camp remnants and associated exotic vegetation, remote graves, old station dumps and remains of early mining activities.

It is concluded that the project site is likely to contain low levels of local cultural heritage significance. There were no sites or places located within the project site that contain levels of cultural significance important to Queensland under Section 35 of the Queensland Heritage Act 1992.

The field survey has identified five places of Historical Interest (HI). These places are not considered to contain enough heritage value to warrant further assessment or specific mitigation strategies, however, they will be subject to potential direct impact by the project. Potential direct impact by the project will generally be in the nature of surface and sub-surface disturbance and pre-stripping activities related to the mine’s development and the construction of associated infrastructure. Indirect impacts may occur from the construction of roads and infrastructure associated with mining activities, including the day to day operation of vehicles across the broader site.

3.10.3.2 Indigenous Cultural Heritage
There is significant potential for the cultural values associated with the watercourses to be degraded due to erosion and sedimentation resulting from construction, diversion and operational activities. Control strategies to mitigate and manage adverse impacts on Indigenous cultural heritage are identified below within the context of the ACH Act and associated Duty of Care Guidelines. Section 11 of the ACH Act notes: If a particular object or structure is evidence of Aboriginal occupation, the area immediately surrounding that object or structure is also evidence of Aboriginal occupation to the extent the area cannot
be separated from the object or structure without destroying or diminishing the object or structure’s significance as evidence of Aboriginal occupation. Section 12 notes:-For an area to be a significant Aboriginal area, it is not necessary for the area to contain markings or other physical evidence indicating Aboriginal occupation or otherwise denoting the area’s significance. Protection of the area surrounding artefacts is consistent with provisions of the ACH Act, and is preferable to salvaging individual objects that are then removed from their contexts and lose much of their cultural and scientific value.

3.10.4 Environmental Protection Objective
The environmental protection objective is to preserve the cultural heritage values (Indigenous and non-Indigenous) of the project site.

3.10.5 Performance Criteria
The performance criteria for cultural heritage management are:

- Cultural Heritage Management Plan(s) (CHMP), to be developed in consultation with the traditional owners.
- Compliance with the requirements of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003 and the Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP).
- Avoidance where possible of all heritage sites and places. A particular focus should be made to ensure that no disturbance of any place of State and National significance, including archaeological places or sites and places listed on the Queensland Heritage Register in accordance with the requirements of the Queensland Heritage Act 1992.

3.10.6 Control Strategies

3.10.6.1 Non-Indigenous Cultural Heritage
BMA will retain HI sites were possible, although HI places do not contain suitable levels of cultural heritage significance to warrant specific mitigation strategies. HI places 5 and 4 will be removed during the clearing activities for Horse pit. Strategies to mitigate potential impacts on unexpected cultural heritage material or sites found during the construction and pre-clearing activities include the following:

- All new employees will be provided with suitable training in how to identify cultural heritage sites or objects and report the find to the Site Environmental Advisor;
- All employees will be informed of their obligations to notify the Site Environmental Advisor of any cultural heritage finds;
- A permit will be required before any clearing or excavations activities are carried out.
- Cultural heritage policies will be developed for the management of existing cultural heritage sites or finds;
- Site Environmental Advisors will be informed of their obligations to notify the DERM of any relevant finds; and
- Regular cultural heritage educational sessions will be conducted and educational material distributed as appropriate. This material should inform the employees of what cultural heritage material may look like, and give them clear instructions on what to do if they find any such material.
3.10.6.2 Indigenous Cultural Heritage

Procedures will be implemented early in the planning stages of the project to manage and/or mitigate impact on areas containing cultural heritage in the project site from mining related activities. Specifically:

- A buffer zone of at least 100 m will be established along both banks of the major creeks. All subsurface disturbance caused by activities within this zone will be monitored by traditional owner representatives.
- Where it is proposed that creeks be diverted to allow for a mine pit, long term planning will consider the effect of the diversion on the cultural, as well as the physical and ecological, effects on the banks.
- Identified isolated artefacts and low density scatters, apart from those within specifically defined or protected zones, will be salvaged by traditional owner representatives prior to any development works.
- Livestock will be managed on leased properties, with no-go areas established at least 100 m along both banks of the major creeks. Traditional owner representatives will be commissioned to plot the width and length of the corridor containing cultural heritage significance to better establish appropriate no-go areas.
- Disturbance to the 13 scarred trees will be avoided where possible. Scar trees to be avoided will be marked and fenced as no-go areas. However, if disturbance is unavoidable, procedures established by the traditional owner representatives for dealing with scarred trees will be adopted.
- The fireplaces identified at the project site have the potential to provide valuable insights into past Aboriginal cultural practices by radiocarbon (C14) dating of burnt organic remains such as charcoal, wood and seeds. Further recording by the traditional owner representatives will be undertaken at these and other sites where disturbance is to take place from mine related activities. Such research could include archaeological excavations of any fireplaces if they will be directly impacted by mining or associated activity.

- Impact in the vicinity of the large area of Brigalow and Native Orange trees near the proposed rail extension and the Bower Bird nest will be minimised.

- Topsoil stripping for the rail extension will be monitored between the junction with the existing rail line to the eastern side of the gully.

In the event that unrecorded cultural heritage sites or materials are discovered in surface or sub-surface deposits during future operations, work at that particular location will cease and be cordoned off as a no-go area until traditional owner representatives are contacted to provide advice on significance of the finds and management/mitigation options. A program of cultural heritage inductions will be implemented at the project and presented by traditional owner representatives for personnel and contractors involved in the construction and the subsequent day to day working of the mine.

Should skeletal material suspected of being of Indigenous human origin be discovered, all operations within 100 m of the skeletal material will cease immediately upon its discovery, and procedures outlined in relevant legislation and the project’s Human Remains Draft Burial Policy (NAC 2008) will be followed.

A Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP) will be developed and implemented in consultation with the traditional owners, and in accordance with the requirements of the ACH Act. When the traditional owners and BMA are negotiating a CHMP, the following items will be taken into account:

- Arrangements for the ongoing management and protection of cultural heritage after the mine is decommissioned;
Assignment of responsibility for management measures and corrective action, to ensure that cultural values are included in the rehabilitation of creeks via plants and cultural items; and

Associated with the above, a consideration of long term arrangements for the artefacts that will be salvaged from various areas and stored in preparation for their eventual return to the land. Consideration will be given in particular for their return to the approximate areas (ie. grid reference locations) from which they were collected (though the rehabilitated area may be dramatically altered in appearance).

Fossils
If fossils are located during the development and operation phases of the project, BMA will advise the Queensland Museum.

3.10.7 Commitments
- Control strategies in the EIS will be implemented to manage known and potential cultural heritage sites and values located within the project site.
- Conduct regular cultural heritage education sessions/trainings to employees.
- Development and implementation of a Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP) in consultation with the traditional owners, and in accordance with the requirements of the ACH Act.

3.10.8 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions – Cultural Heritage
There are no proposed conditions for cultural heritage.

3.11 Traffic
3.11.1 Background
The project site is accessed from the Peak Downs Highway. When travelling to and from the project site the majority of vehicles will utilise the:

- Peak Downs Highway – is a State-controlled road which extends approximately 276 km from Mackay to Clermont and functions as a major link between a number of townships and mines within Central Queensland and Mackay;
- Winchester Road (Saraji – Dysart Road); and
- Moranbah Access Road - runs from the Peak Downs Highway to its intersection with Mills Avenue in Moranbah and is the sole access route between Moranbah and the highway.

There are currently two school bus routes which utilise Peak Downs Highway to provide transport to the schools in Moranbah from Clermont and Coppabella. There are no school bus stops along the mine site frontage.

Crash analysis for the Peak Downs Highway (Queensland Transport, period of 2001-2006) indicated that 64% of crashes that have occurred involved a single vehicle and that 84% of crashes occurred at mid-block locations. The high proportion of these two crash types indicated that driver fatigue was a significant contributing factor, although the trend was consistent with a rural road environment. Road works scheduled on the Peak Downs Highway between Clermont and Nebo include isolated pavement reconstruction, rehabilitation and seal widening as well as driver fatigue measures (Roads Implementation Program 2008-09 to 20012-13 (RIP)). No upgrading works are detailed in the RIP for intersections relevant to the project.
3.11.1.1 Construction Traffic

Construction of the project is expected to commence in 2011 and be completed by the end of 2013. During the construction period there will be a maximum workforce of 1,200 staff during the peak six month construction period. This workforce includes the industrial area and mine construction teams who are anticipated to work one shift (7am – 6pm) and two shifts (7am -7pm, 7pm-7am) seven days a week respectively.

All construction personnel will reside in the Denham Village, south of Moranbah, which will be accessed from Moranbah Access Road. A privately-operated BMA bus service will transport 80% of the workforce, while the remaining 20% of staff will utilise private/company vehicles (assumed occupancy of two). During the construction phase it is anticipated that there will be approximately 5,128 two-way bus movements per annum with a maximum of 23 two-way bus movements per day during the peak six month construction period. There will be 140 two-way private vehicle movements associated with the Caval Ridge Mine during the peak six month construction period.

Delivery of materials and the removal of wastes will occur five days a week, and average deliveries by 12 trucks per day over two years (52 trucks per day during six month peak construction period). No site materials or wastes such as overburden are proposed to be relocated via the external road network during the construction phase. Table 3.11.1 summarises the expected type and quantity of heavy vehicle movements during the construction phase. The key transport routes to be used by construction traffic are shown on Figure 3.11.1.

Table 3.11.1 Construction Heavy Vehicle Movements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Origin/Destination</th>
<th>Heavy Vehicle Volume (two way)</th>
<th>Construction Inputs</th>
<th>Construction Outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Construction Requirement</td>
<td>Annual Construction Requirement</td>
<td>Transport Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Construction Requirement)</td>
<td>(Construction Requirement)</td>
<td>(Construction Requirement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Materials &amp; Fuels</td>
<td>Mackay</td>
<td>33,406 T</td>
<td>16,703 T</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base and Subbase materials &amp; Aggregates</td>
<td>Dysart</td>
<td>365,200 T</td>
<td>182,600 T</td>
<td>Type 1 Road Train &amp; Single Articulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>Moranbah</td>
<td>50,400 T</td>
<td>25,200 T</td>
<td>Concrete Transit Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefabricated Buildings - Offices</td>
<td>Clermont</td>
<td>400 T</td>
<td>200 T</td>
<td>Single Articulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>449,406 T</strong></td>
<td><strong>224,703 T</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste (Oil + Sludge, Grease, Hydraulic fluid, metal, etc)</td>
<td>Mackay</td>
<td>47 T</td>
<td>24 T</td>
<td>Single Articulated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.11.1.2 Operational Traffic

The road traffic movements generated during the operations phase will be almost entirely associated with the delivery of consumables, removal of wastes and the transportation of staff. Product coal will be transported via rail to Mackay for distribution and will not generate any external road traffic demands. The delivery of materials and removal of waste will occur five days a week averaging seven trucks per day.

The site will operate seven days a week with two daily 12-hour shifts starting at 7.00am and 7.00pm. The average workforce will be 495 staff over 30 years. Approximately 95% of operations staff will be accommodated in Moranbah, with the remaining 5% lodging in Dysart. Approximately 70% of operations staff accommodated in Moranbah will travel to the site via a private bus service while the remaining 30% will travel via private/company vehicle. There will be an estimated 2,493 two-way bus movements per annum to/from the project site with an average of 7 two-way bus movements per day. The bus service will be coordinated with the start and end of shifts such that all bus services are fully utilised. All staff housed in Dysart are anticipated to travel to the site via private/company vehicle. During the normal operations phase there will be 165 two-way private vehicle movements per day associated with the project.

The operational phase heavy vehicle movements are summarised in Table 3.11.2. The key transport routes to be used by operations traffic are shown in Figure 3.11.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Origin/Destination</th>
<th>Heavy Vehicle Volume (two way)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Construction Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General, Recyclable &amp; Septic Waste</td>
<td>Moranbah</td>
<td>2,788 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,835 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Inputs &amp; Outputs)</td>
<td></td>
<td>452,240T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.11.2 Operation Phase Heavy Vehicle Movements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation Phase Inputs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel, Explosive &amp; Additives</td>
<td>Mackay</td>
<td>26,752 T</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosives</td>
<td>Dysart</td>
<td>14,000 T</td>
<td>Single Articulated</td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≈ 40,752 T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation Phase Outputs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Oil, Sludge, Grease, Hoses, Metals &amp; Filters</td>
<td>Mackay</td>
<td>2,942 T</td>
<td>Single Articulated</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and Recyclable Waste</td>
<td>Moranbah</td>
<td>6,555 T</td>
<td>Single Articulated</td>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≈ 9,497 T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Input &amp; Output)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≈ 50,249 T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY TRANSPORT ROUTES

Source: Cardno Eppell Olsen, 2008.
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3.11.2 Environmental Value
The environmental value to be protected is the safety, health and well being of project employees, visitors and the community using State, local and mine-site road networks.

3.11.3 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Value
An assessment of the project’s traffic impact on the State and Council controlled road network was carried out by Cardno Eppell Olsen (2009), in accordance with Department of Main Roads (DMR) Guidelines for Assessment of Road Impacts of Developments. Traffic conditions were assessed for the year 2012, which is the anticipated last year of construction, 2013 the year operations are anticipated to commence and 2023 the 10 year post completion design horizon. The traffic assessment was completed for both with and without Caval Ridge Mine traffic scenarios to determine the incremental impact of the project on the State and Council controlled road network. The adjacent road network, particularly the Peak Downs Highway and Moranbah Access Road, are expected to experience significant traffic growth due to other industrial activities planned for the vicinity. Other BMA Bowen Basin Growth Project components (e.g. Daunia Mine and Goonyella Riverside mine) were been considered in addition to the expected high background traffic growth. Given the extent of the expected traffic growth (in the order of 5 to 10% p.a. compound), it is unlikely that this will be sustained for more than about ten years. Moderate growth rates were assumed beyond 2021.

The assessment found that traffic associated with the project will likely adversely impact upon the operation of nearby intersections and road links. In addition, the impact of project heavy vehicle traffic is likely to necessitate additional pavement maintenance on the Peak Downs Highway. These impacts are discussed in more detail below.

Project traffic will not significantly impact the existing school routes as school start and end times do not correspond with the proposed shift start and end times and goods deliveries are expected to be spread throughout the day. The impact of movement of staff between the Denham Village and other regional centres such as Mackay at the start and end of recreational periods will be assessed as part of a separate planning application for the village development.

3.11.3.1 Impacts on Intersections
In accordance with DMR’s scoping guidelines, the impact of the project was assessed at all significant intersections at which peak hour turning movement volumes are anticipated to increase by 5% or more beyond existing (2008) survey volumes as a result of construction or operation of the project, that is:

- Site Access/Peak Downs Highway (construction phase);
- Site Access/Peak Downs Highway (operation phase);
- Peak Downs Highway/Winchester Road; and
- Peak Downs Highway/Moranbah Access Road.
The operation of each study intersection was analysed with and without development using SIRDA Intersection 3.2. This program provides estimates for an intersection’s Degree of Saturation (DOS), queues lengths and anticipated delays. DMR defines the following standard DOS thresholds:

- Priority-controlled intersections 0.80;
- Roundabouts 0.85; and
- Signalised intersections 0.90.

DOS exceeding these thresholds indicates that an intersection is nearing its practical capacity and upgrade works may be required. Above these threshold values, users of the intersection are likely to experience rapidly increasing delays and queuing.

It is anticipated that traffic associated with the project will have a significant impact on the traffic operation of the Peak Downs Highway/Winchester Road and Peak Downs Highway/Moranbah Access Road intersections.

**Site Access/Peak Downs Highway (Construction Phase) Intersection**

A new priority controlled access off the Peak Downs Highway will be constructed to service the project during the two-year construction phase. Once mining operations commence, this access will be gated and used infrequently by oversized vehicles. The intersection will comprise short protected turn lanes on both approaches of the Peak Downs Highway (Figure 3.11.2).

![Figure 3.11.2 Site Access/Peak Downs Highway (Construction Phase - Intersection Layout)](image)

**Site Access/Peak Downs Highway (Operations Phase) Intersection**

A new priority controlled access to the Peak Downs Highway will be constructed to service the project during the 30 year mine operation phase. The intersection will comprise short protected turn lanes on both approaches of the Peak Downs Highway (Figure 3.11.3).
Winchester Road/Peak Downs Highway Intersection

The Winchester Road/Peak Downs Highway intersection (Figure 3.11.4) is currently a priority-controlled intersection. Based on background growth rates, this intersection is anticipated to operate outside DMR’s standard performance threshold prior to 2023 irrespective of the project proceeding. The project will generate significant additional through traffic at this intersection although only minor additional turning movements. With project traffic, the subject intersection will fail to meet DMR’s standard DOS criteria during 2016, approximately 2.5 years earlier than if the project was not to occur. The impact on this intersection is therefore classified as significant based on standard industry practise (i.e. accelerates failure by one year or more).
Given current driver expectations and the current speed environment, the intersection will be converted to a seagull form (Chapter 13 of the *Road Planning and Design Manual*) as shown on Figure 3.11.5 by 2016 to mitigate development impacts. However, this form does not operate within DMR’s standard thresholds at 2023 should traffic growth exceed 7.9% p.a. compound between 2008 and 2021.

**Moranbah Access Road/Peak Downs Highway Intersection**

The Moranbah Access Road/Peak Downs Highway intersection (Figure 3.11.6) is currently a priority-controlled intersection.

With project, the intersection will fail to meet DTMR’s standard DOS thresholds in early 2014, approximately 1.5 years earlier than if the project was not to proceed. The impact on the intersection is therefore classified as significant based on standard industry practise (i.e. accelerates failure of intersection by one year or more). As no upgrading works are planned by DTMR, the BMA will be responsible for upgrading the intersection. Given existing driver expectations and the current speed environment, the intersection will be converted to a seagull form by early 2013 (Figure 3.11.7). The seagull intersection will operate outside
DTMR’s standard DOS thresholds and industry standard critical delay criteria at 2023 irrespective of the proposed mine development proceeding. With project, the intersection in seagull form will operate within generally accepted performance criteria only if background growth does not exceed 6.9%p.a. compound between 2008 and 2021.

Figure 3.11.7 Moranbah Access Road/Peak Downs Highway – (Proposed Seagull Form)

3.11.3.2 Impacts on Road Links

Road Capacity
The impact on the State and Council controlled road networks for the following links was determined using DTMR’s Guidelines for the Assessment of Road Impacts of Development:

- Link A - Peak Downs Highway between Mine Site Access and Winchester Road;
- Link B - Peak Downs Highway between Winchester Road and Moranbah Access Road; and
- Link C - Moranbah Access Road between Peak Downs Highway and Moranbah Railway Station Road.

Traffic conditions were assessed for the years 2012, 2013 and 2023, corresponding to the anticipated last year of construction, commencement of mining operations and the 10 year traffic design horizon. For all assessment years, analysis was completed for both with and without project traffic scenarios to determine the marginal impact of the project on the surrounding road network. DMR states that for rural state-controlled roads generally remedial measures are sought to maintain existing Level of Service (LOS). Link A is expected to operate within DTMR’s standard LOS thresholds irrespective of the project proceeding. Links B and C are anticipated to operate outside accepted criteria prior to 2023 irrespective of the project. The impact of the project on the operation of these links is classified as insignificant in accordance with standard industry practice. No upgrade works or developer contributions are therefore warranted.

Road Alignment
The project site is bisected by Peak Downs Highway. To ensure the site can operate as a single integrated site with no requirement for mining vehicles to interact with the highway, grade separation of the internal mine haul routes and the highway is required. A vertical realignment of the highway will be undertaken, retaining the existing horizontal road corridor. The vertical realignment will therefore be entirely BMA’s responsibility.
3.11.3.3 Impacts on Pavement

The pavement impacts of heavy vehicle movements on the State controlled road network, generated during the construction and operations phases, were assessed in accordance with DMR’s “Guidelines for the Assessment of Road Impacts of Development and Notes for Contribution Calculations” prepared by the former DTMR Central District. The reduction in estimated service life on the Peak Downs Highway as a result of the proposed Caval Ridge Mine was calculated for the various sections of the highway. Reduction in estimated service life ranged from 0 – 0.8 years. Analysis indicated that, for all road segments, the increased heavy vehicle loading due to the project will be negligible and will not significantly impact the timing of pavement rehabilitation works (i.e. it will not accelerate works by one year or more). No developer contribution towards pavement rehabilitation is therefore warranted.

BMA’s obligation towards routine maintenance of the Peak Downs Highway was calculated based on the percentage increase in Equivalent Standard Axels (ESA) for each road segment upon which the project will have significant impact from 2011 to 2043. Developer contributions towards maintenance of the Peak Downs Highway between the site access and the Moranbah Access Road/Peak Downs Intersection are warranted.

3.11.4 Environmental Protection Objective

The environmental protection objective is to protect the safety, health and well being of project employees, visitors and the community using State, local and mine-site road networks.

3.11.5 Performance Criteria

The performance criteria for traffic management are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.
- Minimisation of adverse impacts to traffic on the Peak Downs Highway brought about by the mine’s construction and operation.
- Number of project related traffic health and safety incidents.

3.11.6 Control Strategies

Road works to mitigate the traffic impacts of the project comprise the following:

- Formation of a priority-controlled construction access on Peak Downs Highway to include a 220 m right turn bay and a 215 m left turn lane on the highway;
- Formation of a priority-controlled mining operations access on Peak Downs Highway to include a 220 m right turn bay and a 215 m left turn lane on the highway;
- Upgrade of the Peak Downs Highway/Winchester Road intersection to a seagull intersection in accordance with Chapter 13 of DTMR’s Road Planning and Design Manual; and
- Upgrade of the Peak Downs Highway/Moranbah Access Road intersection to a seagull intersection in accordance with Chapter 13 of DTMR’s Road Planning and Design Manual.

The assumed very high background traffic growths have a significant influence on the required works and joint infrastructure contributions will be considered at this location.
The additional heavy vehicle demands generated by the project do not warrant developer contributions towards pavement rehabilitation. Contributions towards pavement maintenance are however warranted for the section of the Peak Downs Highway between the site access and the Moranbah Access Road/Peak Downs Highway intersection.

Driver fatigue, particularly relating to personal driving to Mackay after completing a 12-hour shift, is a likely cause of crashes on the Peak Downs Highways. The Peak Downs Highway has been the focus of a number of fatigue measures including audible delineation, Driver Reviver awareness signage and regular rest areas. BMA will continue to review and improve their driver fatigue awareness program.

3.11.7 Commitments
- Control strategies in the EIS will be implemented.
- Implementation of road works identified in the control strategies to mitigate the traffic impacts of the project.
- A privately-operated BMA bus service will transport the workforce between the accommodation village and the mine.
- Continuing review of BMA’s driver fatigue awareness program.

3.11.8 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions
There are no proposed environmental authority conditions for traffic.

3.12 Community
3.12.1 Background
The project is located in a rural area with neighbours involved in grazing and coal mining activities. Relevant stakeholders are listed in Section 1. BMA is undertaking an extensive program of community consultation and stakeholder engagement, relating to the project and its broader growth plans. This program aims to:

- Identify community issues or concerns.
- Ensure BMA is responsive in addressing issues.
- Proactively work with stakeholders.
- Continue the long term relationship between BMA and the Bowen Basin community.

The community consultation process to date has engaged stakeholders at both local and regional levels, and provided project-specific information as well as information on the potential social, economic and environmental impacts, relating to the project. Key consultation activities included one-to-one discussions, information displays, hard copy and online information publications (such as fact sheets and newsletters), and consultation with service providers.

Opportunities for employment and growth are seen as key benefits of the project and community members consider the social amenities and connections as important features of the local area. Participants in the engagement process also highlighted that the cost of living and lack of facilities as ongoing issues for the
community. While generally the community showed support for the project and BMA’s growth, key issues and concerns relating to the project included:

- Accommodation options and locations.
- Environmental issues such as noise, dust and vibration.
- Timeframes
- Proximity to the Moranbah community.
- Infrastructure location
- Impacts on the lifestyles and business opportunities of nearby and adjacent property owners.
- BMA’s contribution to Moranbah lifestyles and community values.
- Where BMA would source employees from.
- Employment opportunities
- Opportunities to provide services
- Negative and positive impact of fly-in-fly-out workforces on the community.
- Pressures on social services and facilities including emergency and health services.
- Impacts on local roads.
- Mine rehabilitation
- The impact of the economic downturn on local employment.

3.12.2 Environmental Value
The environmental value to be protected is the lifestyle, including the wealth, health, safety, and wellbeing of the community surrounding the project site.

3.12.3 Potential Impacts on the Environmental Value
The project has the potential to adversely impact on community environmental values as a result of:

- dust;
- noise and vibration/overpressure;
- surface and groundwater levels and quality;
- traffic;
- lighting; and
- increased demand on housing and community facilities.

3.12.3.1 Economic Impact
The construction phase will have a short-term economic impact to the regional and national economy from increased spending and employment. Construction is expected to increase value added of all other industries in the Mackay Region by $479 to $599 million and raise output by $800 million to $1 billion in Australia on an annual basis. Expenditure during construction is expected to support the equivalent of approximately 7,009 to 9,285 full-time jobs in the Mackay Region and 3,675 to 4,900 full-time equivalent jobs in Australia on an annual basis. During operations, the value added to all industries in Mackay is increased by $133 to $167 million annually due to the project operation. Nationally, output is increased by approximately $152 to $190 million on an annual basis. The operation of the project will generate an additional 804 to 1,082 jobs in the Mackay Region and 744 to 992 jobs in Australia annually.
3.12.4 Environmental Protection Objective
The environmental protection objective is to:

- Minimise adverse impacts on the lifestyle and wellbeing of the community (including environmental nuisance to neighbours caused by the project);
- Construct and operate the project in a manner beneficial to the community where possible (e.g. employ local contractors); and
- Respond to community concerns expeditiously.

3.12.5 Performance Criteria
The performance criteria for community are:

- Compliance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority.
- Expeditious response - number of days by which a complaint is practicably addressed.

3.12.6 Commitments
BMA has an established and operational complaints procedure that includes:

- Maintenance of a register of complaints held on site;
- A process for receiving, handling and investigating complaints;
- Investigation expeditiously by and a response as soon as practicable; and
- A non-compliance notification will be given to any party whose actions have caused a complaint as a result of non-compliance with site environmental requirements.
- Consultation is maintained with the Isaac Regional Council, which serves as a forum for progressing community based initiatives and infrastructure programs.

3.12.7 Proposed Environmental Authority Conditions: Schedule G - Community

Complaint response
(G1-1) All complaints (which is neither frivolous nor vexatious nor based on mistaken belief in the opinion of the authorised officer) received must be recorded including details of complainant, reasons for the complaint, investigations undertaken, conclusions formed and actions taken.
This information must be made available for inspection by the administering authority on request.

END OF CONDITIONS FOR SCHEDULE G
4 Environmental Management

4.1 Monitoring

Environmental monitoring will continue to occur in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Authority.

The environmental monitoring will include rehabilitation success, surface water quality, groundwater quality and level, particulate and dust deposition and noise. Commitments and environmental authority conditions have been included in the relevant sections of this EM Plan.

An Environmental Monitoring Plan will be developed as part of Environmental Management System for the Project. The Monitoring Plan will outline the environmental monitoring to be undertaken, including monitoring sites, parameters and their frequency of measurement and also make reference to monitoring procedures and records. The Plan will be made available to the administering authority on request.

4.2 Reporting

External

The Proponent aims to provide timely, relevant and appropriately presented information to government authorities, the local community and the general public on the environmental performance of the Project.

Reporting commitments under the Environmental Authority and other legislation will be complied with and includes:

- Prepare Annual Returns as required under the Environmental Protection Act 1994 and BMA.
- Submit National Pollutant Inventory (NPI) reports as necessary.
- Report incidents that may potentially compromise compliance with the conditions of the Environmental Authorities immediately to operations management.

Internal

The site Environmental Manager will (in a timely manner) report any incidents or breaches of the EMP or EA conditions to the Site Senior Executive and report to the DERM in accordance with the requirements of the project’s environmental authority. Any incidents, breaches or complaints will be recorded in the site EMS corrective action register (Section 4.3).

4.3 Environmental Management System

The project operations will take place under an environmental management system. BMA’s approach will be to certify the EMS against the ISO14001 Standard within the first years of operation. This is a mandated BHP Billiton corporate requirement.

The EMS is the cornerstone of the operation’s due-diligence approach to environmental management, and encompasses the measures used to prevent or minimise environmental harm, ensure compliance and promote continuous improvement.

BMA as part of its EMS has a corrective action system which logs and delegates responsibilities for the closing out of identified issues that require corrective action. It is through this system that all environmental and community identified issues will be handled for the project.
4.4 Research
BMA is a major contributor to mining related environmental research through contributions to the Australian Coal Association Research Program (ACARP), and the research conducted by the Australian Centre for Mining Environmental Research (ACMER) and The University of Queensland Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation (CMLR).

4.5 Staff Training
BMA ensures that employees, contractors and visitors receive appropriate environmental awareness training. This is achieved through a variety of methods including induction training, formal presentations, and impromptu meetings.

Specifically, BMA requires that employees, contractors and visitors are aware of:

- their roles and responsibilities (including environmental incident reporting);
- the environmental impacts, potential or actual, of their activities on site;
- the potential consequences of poor environmental performance; and
- site emergency procedures.

Environmental awareness training occurs at induction, and is a regular feature of site-wide training. Records of training content and attendance are also be maintained. Employees and contractors required to undertake work at the site must undergo an environment, health and safety induction. Relevant environmental topics include:

- Environmental Policy;
- Duty of Care and Duty to Notify;
- Hazard / Incident Reporting;
- Environmental Awareness (Your Responsibility);
- Risk Management;
- Chemicals and Hydrocarbon management;
- Land Management;
- Water Management; and
- Waste Management

4.6 Environmental Auditing and Review
BMA will conduct environmental audits to assess compliance with regulatory requirements and the performance of the site EMS.

The objectives of the Environmental Auditing and Review programs are to:

- monitor and report on compliance with statutes, EM Plan commitments and Plan of Operations, environmental policy, company standards, best practice guidelines and signatory codes;
- monitor the EMS for consistency with the principles of ISO14001; and
- ensure a senior management review of performance via consideration of the audit reports.

An environmental auditing program will continue to be implemented at the Mine. The program will include:
- Internal Environmental Audits - annually;
- Environmental Management System Review – annually;
- Plan of Operations Audits – with each Plan of Operations (usually annually); and
- Administering Authority Audits - at a frequency determined by the EPA.
5 Definitions

Words and phrases used throughout this licence are defined below except where identified in the Environmental Protection Act 1994 or subordinate legislation. Where a word or term is not defined, the ordinary English meaning applies, and regard should be given to the Macquarie Dictionary.

"acceptance criteria" means the measures by which the actions implemented to rehabilitate the land are deemed to be complete (same as completion criteria).

"administering authority" means the Department of Environment and Resource Management or its successor.

“anniversary day” means the anniversary day the authority is issued, whether or not it has been amended or transferred

“airblast overpressure” means energy transmitted from the blast site within the atmosphere in the form of pressure waves. The maximum excess pressure in this wave, above ambient pressure is the peak airblast overpressure measured in decibels linear (dB).

“ambient (or total) noise” at a place, means the level of noise at the place from all sources (near and far), measured as the Leq for an appropriate time interval.

"authority" means environmental authority under the Environmental Protection Act 1994.

“blasting” means the use of explosive materials to fracture –

   a) rock, coal and other materials; or
   b) structural components or other items to facilitate removal from a site or for reuse.

"bunded" means within bunding consistent with Australian Standard 1940.

“competent person” means the demonstrated skill and knowledge required to carry out the task to a standard necessary for the reliance upon collected data and/or protection of the environment.

"commercial place" means a place used as an office or for business or commercial purposes, other than a place within the boundaries of the operational land.

“contaminated land” has the meaning provided in schedule 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1994

“control measures” means actions that can be taken in order to minimise environmental impacts or environmental harm. Control measures can be, but are not limited to, planning, procedural or engineering controls. Control measures has the same intent as risk treatment in AS 4360 (Risk Management).

“cover material” means soil, alluvium, weathered basalt or other suitable plant growth medium. Cover material is typically non-crusting and low in salinity.
“dam” means a containment or proposed containment whether permanent or temporary, which is designed to contain, divert or control flowable substances. However this does not include a fabricated or manufactured tank or container designed to a recognised standard.

“design plan” in the context of a dam design is the documentation required under the “Code of Environmental Compliance for High Hazard Dams Containing Hazardous Waste” to describe the physical dimensions of the dam, the materials and standards to be used for construction of the dam, the procedures and criteria to be used for operating the dam and the decommissioning and rehabilitation objectives in terms procedures, works and outcomes at the end of the dam life. The documents can include design and investigation reports, drawings, specifications and certifications.

“dust and noise sensitive place” means –

- a dwelling, mobile home or caravan park, residential marina or other residential place;
- a motel, hotel or hostel;
- a kindergarten, school, university or other educational institution;
- a medical centre or hospital;
- a protected area;
- a park or gardens; or
- a place used as an office or for business or commercial purposes and includes the cartilage of any such place.

“dwelling” means any of the following structures or vehicles that is principally used as a residence:
- a house, unit, motel, nursing home or other building or part of a building;
- a caravan, mobile home or other vehicle or structure on land; and
- a water craft in a marina.


“environment” has the meaning provided in Section 8 of the Environmental Protection Act 1994.

"environmental authority holder" means the holder of this environmental authority.
“environmental harm” has the meaning given in the Environmental Protection Act 1994.

“environmental impact” means changes that occur in the environment as a result of the mining activities. Impacts could be positive, negative or neutral.

“environmental management system” means the part of the overall management system that includes organisational structure, planning activities, responsibilities, practices, procedures, processes and resources for developing, implementing, achieving, reviewing and maintaining the environmental policy and achieving compliance with the environmental authority and the general environmental duty.

“environmental value” has the meaning given in the Environmental Protection Act 1994;

“expected impact” means the predicted changes under normal conditions of a value subject to the influence of an authorised activity. Methods available for the determination of expected impacts include:

- predictions based on historical data;
- knowledge based institution;
- numerical analysis; and
- modelling

“financial assurance” means a security deposit, either cash or a bank guarantee, that is held by the administering authority to cover the potential:

a) potential costs to rehabilitate areas disturbed by mining activities: and
b) costs or expenses, or likely costs or expenses, mentioned in section 367 of the Environmental Protection Act 1994
“flowable substance” means matter of mixture of materials which can be forced to or otherwise flow under any conditions possible in a situation. It includes water, other liquids or a mixture that includes water or any other liquid or suspended solids.

“foreseeable future” is the period used for assessing the total risk of an event occurring. Permanent structures and ecological sustainability should be expected to still exist at the end of a 150 year foreseeable future with an acceptable risk of failure before that time.

“hazardous dams” are dams which contain or could contain hazardous substances and,

- on failure of containment;
- on collapse of structures;
- on uncontrolled release of contained substances including dust and gas emissions; or
- on access to contained substances by fauna;
- would or could adversely impact on the environment and environmental values, including ecological health, public amenity and safety.

“hazardous substance” means any substance, whether liquid, solid, or gaseous that could or would destroy life or impair or endanger health and includes hazardous waste.

“hazardous waste” means any waste substance, whether liquid or gaseous, that tends to destroy life or impair or endanger health.

“infrastructure” means water storage dams, roads and tracks, buildings and other structures built for the purpose of mining activities but does not include facilities required for the long term management of mining impacts or the protection of potential resources. Such facilities include dams containing hazardous waste, waste rock dumps, voids, or ore stockpiles and buildings or other structures whose ownership can be transferred and which have a residual beneficial use for the next owner of the operational land or the background land owner.

"LA, max adj, T" means the average maximum A-weighted sound pressure level, adjusted for noise character and measured over any 10 minute period, using Fast response.

"land" in the 'land schedule' of this document means land excluding waters and the atmosphere.

"land capability" as defined in the DME 1995 Technical Guidelines for the Environmental Management of Exploration and Mining in Queensland "noise sensitive place" or a "commercial place"

"land suitability" as defined in the DME 1995 Technical Guidelines for the Environmental Management of Exploration and Mining in Queensland.
"land use" term to describe the selected post mining use of the land, which is planned to occur after the cessation of mining operations.

“mg/L” means milligrams per litre

“mineral” means a substance which normally occurs naturally as part of the earth’s crust or is dissolved or suspended in water within or upon the earth’s crust and includes a substance which may be extracted from such a substance, and includes –

a) clay if mined for use for its ceramic properties, kaolin and bentonite;
b) foundry sand;
c) hydrocarbons and other substances or matter occurring in association with shale or coal and necessarily mined, extracted, produced or released by or in connection with mining for shale or coal or for the purpose of enhancing the safety of current or future mining operations for coal or the extraction or production of mineral oil there from;
d) limestone if mined for use for its chemical properties;
e) marble;
f) mineral oil or gas extracted or produced from shale or coal by in situ processes;
g) peat;
h) salt including brine;
i) shale from which mineral oil may be extracted or produced;
j) silica, including silica sand, if mined for use for its chemical properties;
k) rock mined in block or slab for building or monumental purposes;
but does not include;
l) living matter;
m) petroleum within the meaning of the Petroleum Act 1923;
n) soil, sand, gravel or rock (other than rock mined in block or slab form for building or monumental purposes) to be used or to be supplied for use as such, whether intact or in broken form;
o) water.

“National Pollution Inventory” is a database designed to provide the community, industry and government with information on the types and amounts of certain substances being emitted to the land, air and water.

"noxious" means harmful or injurious to health or physical well being, other than trivial harm.
"non-standard" means a mining operation that if in the opinion of the administering authority does not have a low risk of serious environmental harm and the activities can not comply with the criteria for standard mining activities prescribed in schedule 1A of the *Environmental Protection Regulation 1998*. The standard mining activity trigger criteria are as follows;

- the mining activities do not or will not cause more than 10 ha of land to be significantly disturbed at any one time;
- the mining activities do not or will not cause more than 5 ha of land to be significantly disturbed at any one time;
  a) in a riverine area;
  b) because of mine workings;
- the mining activities are not or will not be carried out in, or within 2 km of a category A Environmentally Sensitive Area;
- the mining activities are not or will not be carried out in, or within 1 km of a category B Environmentally Sensitive Area;
- the mining activities do not include a level 1 environmentally relevant activity;
- no more than 20 persons are carrying out or will, at any one time, carry out mining activities.

“NTU” means nephelometric turbidity units.

“nuisance sensitive place” includes –

- a dwelling, residential allotment, mobile home or caravan park, residential marina or other residential premises; or
- a motel, hotel or hostel; or
- a kindergarten, school, university or other educational institution; or
- a medical centre or hospital; or
- a protected area under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*, the *Marine Parks Act 1992* or a World Heritage Area; or
- a public thoroughfare, park or gardens; or
- a place used as a workplace, an office or for business or commercial purposes.

and includes a place within the curtilage of such a place reasonable used by persons at that place.

“offensive” means causing reasonable offence or displeasure; is disagreeable to the sense; disgusting, nauseous or repulsive, other than trivial harm.

"peak particle velocity (ppv)" means a measure of ground vibration magnitude which is the maximum rate of change of ground displacement with time, usually measured in millimetres/second (mms)

"protected area" means

- a protected area under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*; or
- a marine park under the *Marine Parks Act 1992*; or
- a World Heritage Area.
"progressive rehabilitation" means rehabilitation (defined below) undertaken progressively OR a staged approach to rehabilitation as mining operations are ongoing.

"referable dams" means dams which, on collapse or failure, could impact on life and are authorised by the Water Act 2000. Dams containing hazardous waste are not referable dams even though many such dams were once authorised as referable dams, either because of their size or hazard potential, under the Water Resources Act 1989 (now repealed). The terms of license of these dams have become conditions under an Environmental Authority or other permit under the Environmental Protection Act with the proclamation of the Water Act 2000.

"reference site" (or analogue site) may reflect the original location, adjacent area or another area where rehabilitation success has been completed for a similar biodiversity. Details of the reference site may be as photographs, computer generated images and vegetation models etc.

"rehabilitation" the process of reshaping and revegetating land to restore it to a stable landform and in accordance with the acceptance criteria set out in this environmental authority and, where relevant, includes remediation of contaminated land.

"representative" means a sample set which covers the variance in monitoring or other data either due to natural changes or operational phases of the mining activities.

"residual void" means a void that has been excluded from further mining activity.

"risk assessment" means the overall process of risk analysis and risk evaluation as shown in the Australian Standard for Risk Management (AS/NZS 4360:1999).

"risk evaluation" means the process used to determine risk management priorities by comparing the level of risk against predetermined standards, target risk levels or other criteria.

"risk evaluation criteria" means the level of change in an environmental value that exceeds the tolerable limits and initiates a risk management response to prevent environmental harm.

"risk management" means the culture, processes and structures that are directed towards the effective management of potential opportunities and adverse effects.

"risk treatment" means selection and implementation of appropriate options for dealing with risk, as described in the Australian Standard for Risk Management (AS/NZS 4360:1999).

"self sustaining" means an area of land which has been rehabilitated and has maintained the required acceptance criteria without human intervention for a period nominated by the administering authority.
“sensitive place” means;
- a dwelling, residential allotment, mobile home or caravan park, residential marina or other residential premises; or
- a motel, hotel or hostel; or
- a medical centre or hospital; or
- a protected area under the Nature Conservation Act 1992, the Marine Parks Act 1992 or a World Heritage Area; or
- a public park or gardens.

“significant disturbance” includes land

(a) if it is contaminated land; or
(b) it has been disturbed and human intervention is needed to rehabilitate it
   i) to a state required under the relevant environmental authority; or
   ii) if the environmental authority does not require the land to be rehabilitated to a particular state – to its state immediately before the disturbance.

Some examples of disturbed land include:
- areas where soil has been compacted, removed, covered, exposed or stockpiled;
- areas where vegetation has been removed or destroyed to an extent where the land has been made susceptible to erosion; (vegetation & topsoil)
- areas where land use suitability or capability has been diminished;
- areas within a watercourse, waterway, wetland or lake where mining activities occur;
- areas submerged by tailings or hazardous containment storage and dam walls in all cases;
- areas under temporary infrastructure. Temporary infrastructure includes any infrastructure (roads, tracks, bridges, culverts, dams, bores, buildings, fixed machinery, hardstand areas, airstrips, helipads etc) which is to be removed after mining activities have ceased; or
- areas where land has been contaminated and a suitability statement has not been issued.

However, the following areas are not included:
- areas off lease (e.g. roads or tracks which provide access to the mining lease);
- areas previously significantly disturbed which have achieved the rehabilitation outcomes;,
- by agreement with the DERM, areas previously significantly disturbed which have not achieved the rehabilitation objective(s) due to circumstances beyond the control of the mine operator (such as climatic conditions).
- areas under permanent infrastructure. Permanent infrastructure (roads, tracks, bridges, culverts, dams, bores, buildings, fixed machinery, hardstand areas, airstrips, helipads etc) which is to be left by agreement with the landowner. The agreement to leave permanent infrastructure must be recorded in the Landowner Agreement and lodged with the DERM;
- disturbances that pre-existed the grant of the tenure unless those areas are disturbed during the term of the tenure.
"stable" means geotechnical stability of the rehabilitated landform where instability related to the excessive settlement and subsidence caused by consolidation/settlement of the wastes deposited, and sliding/slumping instability has ceased.

“vibration sensitive place” means a noise sensitive place or a commercial place.

"waters" includes river, stream, lake, lagoon, pond, swamp, wetland, unconfined surface water, unconfined water natural, bed and bank of any waters, dams, non-tidal or tidal waters (including the sea), and any under groundwater, any part thereof "licensed vehicle"